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Abstract: The complexity of modern automation systems is growing steadily. In software engineering, model-driven development proved that it contributes significantly to cope with this complexity in development, while increasing efficiency and the quality of the development results. However, hardware-software dependencies, different types of requirements that must be considered in development and the large number of modeling languages are specific challenges for a model-driven approach in automation technology. In this paper a concept of model-driven system development is presented that takes into account these challenges, and thus provides the possibility to leverage model-driven development in industrial automation technology.

1 INTRODUCTION

Because of the integration of new functions and technologies necessary to fulfill customer requirements, environmental regulations or safety standards, the complexity of automation systems increases steadily (Ramebäck, 2003). Therefore, adequate development methods are needed providing the means to increase efficiency in development and to increase the quality of the development results. In software engineering, model-driven development has proven that it offers mechanisms to cope with the increasing complexity and to boost efficiency in development (Schmidt, 2006).

In this paper we analyze, why model-driven development is rarely used for the development of industrial automation systems and present a concept based on extended model transformations that allows to benefit from the advantages of model-driven development in industrial automation technology. Therefore we discuss specific challenges in development of industrial automation systems in chapter 2. Starting with a theoretical consideration of system development and the theory of model-driven development in chapter 3, the limiting factors regarding the application for automation systems development are presented in chapter 4. Based on the limitations a concept of model-driven development for industrial automation systems is deducted.

2 CHALLENGES IN INDUSTRIAL AUTOMATION

Industrial automation systems are complex hardware-software systems, whose objective is the control and supervision of a technical process. Many different disciplines as software engineering, hardware development, or electrical engineering are involved in the development of such systems. Modeling is seen as an important lever for coping with the complexity in, since any model allows to focus on specific aspects. Therefore, for system development, many different models are used. Since dependencies between the various models are not automatically managed, there is a high manual effort for multiple entries of the same information and for ensuring consistency of the models (Schenk and Schlereth, 2008). Therefore, we need concepts to manage the dependencies between the various models used during development.
In contrast to software engineering, there is no established, universal modeling language such as the UML in industrial automation technology. This is due to the various disciplines involved in development, using specific modeling languages tailored to their needs. Thus, the development method must be open to the use of different modeling languages.

The hardware of an industrial automation system consisting of sensors, actuators and processing units, and the software are highly integrated, leading to a large number of dependencies in development. In order to increase efficiency and the quality of the developed industrial automation systems, reusable partial solutions should be used whenever possible. These partial solutions consist both of hardware parts and a specification or implementation of the related software parts. Hence, the development method for industrial automation systems must provide reusable partial solutions and has to offer concepts to manage hardware-software dependencies.

In the development of an industrial automation system different types of requirements must be fulfilled. First of all, the technical process needs to be controlled and monitored. Further specific requirements for the automation system arise from the realization of the technical system, from existing legacy systems as well as from legal and economic constraints. These requirements can demand a specific property of the overall automation system as for example reduced energy consumption or a property of an individual subsystem, e.g. the manufacturer of a specific subsystem or a specific bus-system to be used. These requirements vary between different automation systems that realize the same technical process. Any development method in industrial automation technology must support these different kinds of requirements.

### 3 SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT

#### 3.1 Basic Definitions

According to Smith and Browne (1993) development is the creation of a system or artifact to solve a given problem. Therefore representations of the real world are used. In the representation of the problem \( R(P) \) the problem is described using the vocabulary, concepts and metrics of the problem space. Starting from this representation the representation of the solution \( R(L) \) is developed in several development steps. Based on the representation of the solution \( R(L) \), the system can be produced. There may be representations of different formalization degrees. A textual requirements specification, for example, is an informal representation of the problem to be solved; the source code of the automation software is a formal representation of the solution.

The system to be developed consists of a set of individual elements, which are related to each other and interact in a way, that they fulfill a common goal (Sommerville, 2007). The elements can be atomic or subsystems, i.e. in this case they are systems as well. The system properties comprise both the properties of the individual elements, e.g. the manufacturer of the element or subsystem, and the properties that arise from the interplay of the elements as for example energy consumption or reliability. The latter are known as global system properties.

Considering the requirements to be fulfilled by a system, they can focus on different aspects: First of all, there are requirements describing the problem to be solved by the system. Other requirements focus on the global properties, the system must prove. Examples for this class are requirements concerning energy consumption. A third class of requirements prescribes properties of individual elements. These latter two classes of requirements restrict the number of possible solutions of the problem.

During development, a multitude of design decisions have to be made, which affect the solution. These decisions are made by the engineer combining his own knowledge and expertise with the required system properties, available technologies and reusable partial solutions. In decision-making the engineer has to consider all interdependencies between the technologies and partial solutions. If there is a modification in the problem to be solved, in required system properties or in the partial solutions, all decisions must be checked manually for correctness under the new circumstances.

The aim of model-driven development is to automatically generate the representation of the solution \( R(L) \) from the representation of the problem \( R(P) \). Therefore the basics of model-driven development are described in the following section.
3.2 Model-driven Development

In model-driven development, the course of the development from problem analyses to design and implementation is defined by models (OMG, 2003), whereas a model is a formalized representation of some aspects of the problem to be solved or the system to be developed. The formalized representation of the problem is called conceptual model M(P). This model has a high level of abstraction. As shown in figure 2, transformations are used for the automated generation of the model of the solution M(L) out of the conceptual model M(P). A transformation is the conversion of a source model into a target model based on a formalized specification. As prerequisite for an automated transformation, all factors affecting the solution must be formalized.

To implement a transformation, a platform and transformation rules are needed. The platform contains all available reusable partial solutions of one dedicated level of abstraction, whose interfaces and properties are described in a formalized way.

The platforms used in the various transformations determine much of the system properties. In reverse requirements concerning system properties such as high reliability or the operating system to be used determine the platforms to be chosen for the development of the system.

Transformation rules formalize the part of the developer’s knowledge needed for the selection, linkage and configuration of the partial solutions of the platform, which are needed to implement the problems that can be modeled in the source model. When executing a transformation, the transformation rules link the problem modeled in the source model with the partial solutions of the platform.

Since the transformation rules link the model elements of the source model with the partial solutions of the platform, they depend on the modeling language of the source model and the description of the partial solutions. This is why standards for the specification of transformation rules such as QVT (OMG, 2008) define the structure of the rules using metamodels. These standards are very generic and require high efforts to define the necessary transformation rules when introducing model-driven development in a specific domain. Hence, currently transformations are usually realized for specific modeling languages and platforms within integrated development environments. Since in software engineering there are standard modeling languages as for example the UML or signal flow diagrams, model-driven development techniques are applied especially in application domains in software engineering that traditionally use these modeling languages.

The identification of the necessary transformations and the modeling languages used within a domain as well as the development of platforms and transformation rules takes place in a preceding, project-independent development phase, called infrastructure development. In this phase, domain engineering activities are executed in order to develop the artifacts, which will be reused within various projects later in the system development phase. In the system development phase, the platforms and transformation rules are reused.

In model-driven development dependencies between different views and partial solutions are used to define transformations allowing an automated generation of more detailed models, or source code from other usually more abstract models. In the following chapter, we discuss the limitations of the current transformation concepts regarding the application for the development of industrial automation systems and propose concepts allowing to leverage the advantages of model-driven development in industrial automation technology.

4 MODEL-DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT OF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS

4.1 Model-driven Development and Challenges of Industrial Automation Technology

In model-driven development platforms are used to manage reusable partial solutions, which are described in a formalized way and stored in a clear structure within the platforms. Partial solutions consisting of hardware-software parts, as needed in automation technology, are not supported by existing model-driven approaches. Therefore, an extension of the classical model-driven development approach is needed to support partial solutions with
hardware and software parts and to be able to manage hardware-software dependencies.

In classical model-driven development, the solution is built based on the conceptual model \( M(P) \) and the selected platforms. Platforms and transformation rules are not adaptable in system development. Hence, besides the conceptual model \( M(P) \) and the selection of the platforms, there are no further possibilities to influence the solution in the system development phase. Requirements concerning system properties can only be fulfilled, if there is a platform, which has exactly the required properties. As in automation technology there are a lot of requirements focusing on system properties, we would need an enormous number of platforms for any combination of required system properties. As this is not feasible in practice, we propose an extension of the classical model-driven development approach allowing to specify required system properties and thus to influence the solution.

Existing implementations of transformations cannot be used in automation technology due to the variety of modeling languages and the close hardware-software dependencies. To reduce the efforts in infrastructure development and to make the concept applicable to engineers, the generic concepts for the definition of transformation rules must be concretized. Standards as AutomationML (Drath et al., 2008) define metamodels for the description of automation technical solutions. As engineers are getting familiar with these standards, the description of the platform elements is based on these standards.

The integration of these approaches into a concept for hardware-software integration is described in the following paragraph in more detail.

### 4.2 Platforms for Hardware-Software Integration

In industrial automation technology, reusable partial solutions are composed of hardware and software parts. On the level of models, this means that the use of a partial solution has an impact both on hardware models (e.g., circuit diagram) and on software models such as source code. One partial solution has representations in several models. In order to integrate the representations belonging to one partial solution the concept of platforms is extended by views. The platform for model-driven development in automation technology consists not only of software components but integrates partial automation technical solutions of one abstraction level. An automation technical partial solution is created by the encapsulation of the representations of the partial solution for the hardware and the software models and a general description. For example, the partial solution representing a speed sensor is composed of a representation for the circuit diagram, for the simulation model and a software driver.

![Figure 3: Transformation generating the hardware and software model.](image)

All automation technical partial solutions of one abstraction level are merged into one platform. If, during the execution of a transformation, a partial solution is selected by a transformation rule, the corresponding representation of the partial solution is instantiated in any model generated by the transformation. Figure 3 illustrates the course of a transformation, which generates several target models from one source model.

#### 4.2.1 Unified Metamodel of Automation Technical Partial Solutions

The knowledge, which partial solution should be selected during the execution of a transformation, how it must be connected and configured, is encapsulated in the transformation rules. The selection, linkage and configuration dependent on many parameters. The required properties of a partial solution are extracted from the source model. Then, the suitable partial solution is selected from the platform. To avoid that for any combination of required properties a new transformation rule must be created, the properties of any partial solution are subsumed within its formalized description. Thus transformation rules can select partial solutions by a set of required properties. This leads to a greatest possible decoupling between the transformation rules and the partial solutions allowing to add new partial solutions to the platform without having to modify the transformation rules. The description of each partial solution follows the metamodel presented in figure 4. Any partial solution disposes of features that are either fixed (property), optional (option) or can be configured (parameters).

The representations of a partial solution in different types of target models are encapsulated in the partial solution (target model representation).
Each representation is assigned to a specific view (View). A partial solution is linked with other partial solutions using ports (Port).

Since dependencies between the hardware and software parts of a partial solution are known best by the developer of the partial solution, these can be integrated as consistency rules. Configuration rules adapt the representations within the different types of target models to the actual configuration of the partial solution. For example, if there is a parameter allowing to adjust the value range of a sensor, the configuration rules set the configuration values in the source code of the driver and adjust the values of the series resistor in the circuit diagram. When a partial solution is selected, linked or configured during the execution of a transformation, these rules are evaluated. This allows automatic internal adjustments of the partial solution to ensure consistency between the different views.

### 4.2.2 Transformation Rules

One benefit of the unified metamodel of the partial solutions within the platforms is the possibility to define a general structure of the transformation rules in automation technology. There are three major types of transformation rules:

- **Transformation rules of the third type**, called configurational (CF) rules, ensure a consistent configuration of the selected partial solutions within all target models.

- **Selective (SL) transformation rules** are applied first, followed by the selective rules and in the end the configurational rules. If a set of transformation rules produces several possible solutions for a given source model, there is the possibility to optimize the solution concerning specific system properties.

Platforms and transformation rules are developed in infrastructure development preceding the systems development, where they are reused within many systems. This allows increasing efficiency in development and shortening the development time within individual projects. Crucial disadvantages are the high initial costs for the project-independent development of transformation rules and platforms, and the inflexibility regarding the consideration of requirements concerning individual system properties in the transformations. A solution to this problem is presented in the following section.

### 4.3 Adaptability of Transformations

In order to be able to specify the different kinds of requirements and thus to fulfill them, the concept of transformations of the classical model-driven development is extended by two additional variation points. These variation points can be used to modify the solution. In analogy to framework theory, these variation points are called hot spots of the transformation. The first hot spot allows to adapt the transformation rules to the requirements of a specific project. This adaptation allows to model and thus to respect required global system properties within the transformation, i.e. properties that arise from the interaction of the system elements. During the tool-supported execution of the transformation different architectural variants of the solution can be analyzed in terms of meeting the required global system properties. Finally, the one that meets best the requirements is selected. For example, a reliable temperature measurement can be realized using one highly reliable sensor or a redundant measurement with two or even more standard sensors. The knowledge of the possible architectural variants and the calculation of the reliability is formalized in the transformation rules. Using the hot spot, these
transformation rules are configured or even completed by new rules formalizing the know-how on the reliability that should be reached in the current project, on possible architectural alternatives and on their properties. The decision, which alternative is best suited within the specific project, is made during the execution of the transformation. If the properties concerning reliability of the available sensors are known, this decision for one architectural variant can be made automatically depending on the required reliability.

Required properties of individual system elements such as the supplier of a specific partial solution can be specified using the second hot spot. This hot spot allows to access all properties of the partial solutions of the platform and thus to select or exclude specific partial solutions by any property. The project-specific adaptation of the platform at this hot spot prepares the set of partial solutions from the platform, which may be used in the specific development project.

Furthermore, the concept of hot spots allows upgrading the transformation rules or the platform within a development project. Thus, model-driven development can be introduced iteratively, since the missing transformation rules and partial solutions can be added at the corresponding hot spot.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In model-driven development the course of development is defined by models using the principle of abstraction to focus only on the relevant aspects in any development steps. Transformations are used to transform the abstract models of the problem to more detailed models of the solution.

The mature concepts of model-driven software development can not be applied directly for the development of automation systems, since they miss concepts for the high variability concerning the requirements on specific system properties and hardware-software dependencies. Furthermore, a concept for model-driven development in industrial automation technology must be adaptable to different modeling languages as there are no standard languages as for example the UML.

In this paper we presented a concept for model-driven development of industrial automation systems. Central aspects of this concept are platforms built on automation technical partial solutions, hot spots in the transformations and a metamodel based definition of automation technical partial solutions. The platforms allow reusing partial solutions consisting of hardware and software parts. Hardware-software dependencies are modeled by the developer of the partial solution and are taken into account when using a partial solution within a transformation. Hot spots enable the developer to adapt the transformation in order to fulfill requirements concerning specific system properties. The metamodel-based definition of the partial solutions allows to reuse transformation rules and to adapt them to different modeling languages.

The presented concept allows applying model-driven development to the development of industrial automation systems and leads to a better controllability of the complexity, increases efficiency and shortens the development time within individual industrial automation projects.
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