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Abstract: The i* framework proposes a goal-oriented analysis method for requirements engineering. It is a systematic approach to discover and structure requirements at organizational level where functional, non-functional requirements and their relations are specified. A Domain Specific Language (DSL) has the purpose to specify and model concepts in some domain, having several advantages in relation to general purpose languages, such as it allows expressing a solution in the desired language and at the desired abstraction level. In order to create such a DSL, normally it is necessary to start by specifying its syntax by means of a metamodel to be given as input to the language workbenches that generate the corresponding editors for it. With a proper editor for the language we can specify models with the proposed notation. This paper presents a DSL for the i* framework, with the purpose to handle complexity and scalability of its concrete models by introducing some innovations in the i* framework metamodel like mechanisms that will help to manage the models scalability.

1 INTRODUCTION

The i* framework (Yu, 1995; Yu, 1997) main objective is to discover and structure requirements at an organizational level. Systems and their environments are specified in terms of intentional relationships among strategic actors. Actors are intentional as they have desires and needs, and are strategic since they are concerned about opportunities and vulnerabilities. To acheive that purpose the i* framework proposes two models, Strategic Dependency Model (SD) and Strategic Rationale Model (SR). The SD model describes a group of dependency relations between the organizational actors. The SR model describes relations between the internal components that With these two models, we expect that the i* framework gives us some answers at modeling level to questions about the system behaviour.

Although using the i* framework helps in discovering requirements from stakeholders needs, the produced i* models get visual complexity very easily, preventing them from being accepted in industry. The current tools (Matulevicius et al., 2006; The i* wiki, 2008) propose editors to i*, but they do not provide enough mechanisms to deal with the complexity problem, and when they do, that is not reflected in the metamodel. This means that the language syntax is not correctly specified, leading to editors that allow to build sintatically incorrect and inconsistent models.

In order to build a tool that is able to implement the i* framework and handle the complexity of its models, we use a properly defined i* based Domain Specific Language (DSL). The purpose of a DSL is to specify and model concepts of some domain (Kelly and Tolvanen, 2008; The DSL wiki, 2008), in this case the domain is the i* framework. Therefore, the purpose of this tool is to create a graphical editor for i* based models and contribute with some new features, such as mechanisms to tackle the model visual complexity.

In order to create the i* based DSL with success it is necessary to specify a metamodel that can represent correctly the framework and its rules, so we can specify correctly the desired DSL. In this paper we create a metamodel for the i* framework having as base some existing i* framework metamodels (Alencar et al., 2008; Ayala et al., 2006; Lucena et al., 2008). The proposed metamodel is an extended version of these metamodels so that it could incorporate new properties to help managing and reducing the scalability of i* models.

This article is organised as follows. Section 2 shows in detail the two models that constitute the...
framework in study. Section 3 shows the metamodel used to build the i* based DSL in order to implement the new constructors, the i* based DSL itself and its new constructors. Section 4 shows an evaluation of the i* based DSL editor. Section 5 presents the conclusions and some future work.

2 BACKGROUND

In the i* framework (Yu, 1995; Yu, 1997) the Strategic Dependency Model (SD Model) describes a configuration of dependent relations among several organizational actors.

The Strategic Rationale Model (SR Model) describes the internal relations between the several internal elements of an actor.

Using the SD and SR models offered by this framework we can make questions relatively to actors and respective relations and give some answers to the questions made. The stakeholders’ needs can be resolved through alternative solutions.

To illustrate the i* framework as well as our proposal, the Health Watcher system case study is used. This system allows citizens to make several kinds of complaints about questions that may put in risk public health and security, and also get information about diseases and vaccines. Those complaints will then be analysed by professionals designated for that task.

2.1 SD Model

The SD Model purpose is centered in making a modeling using several actors interacting with each other. With this approach, the SD Model offers an analysis method that allows to study in more detail a process when compared with other conventional analysis methods, which do not support an intentional modelling. So this model helps to identify the stakeholders, helps to discover vulnerabilities and opportunities in relation to the analysed system. It also recognizes relations between the participant actors, helping to find solutions for the detected vulnerabilities during the system analysis.

A SD Model (Figure 1) consists of a group of actors (e.g., User and Health Watcher), goals (e.g., Consult Complaint and Make Complaint), tasks (e.g., Get Info and Give Info), resources (e.g., Complaint Info and HW Info), softgoals (e.g., Performance and Usability) and dependencies between those elements (see relationships between User and Health Watcher actors).

In this model it is not mandatory that all of these elements exist and it is possible to have more than one element of the same kind.

2.2 SR Model

The SR Model (also depicted in Figure 1) contains all the features that have been seen in SD Model, plus the possibility to expand actors and model its internal behavior. When an actor is expanded there is the possibility to have a group of goals, tasks, resources, softgoals and links between those elements. These links can be of three different kinds:

- Task-Decomposition Links determine that an element can be decomposed in several sub-elements (e.g., Record Complaint task is decomposed into Verify Complaint task and Fill Complaint goal).
- Means-End Links allow explaining how a certain goal is achieved by using a alternative solutions (e.g., Fill Complaint goal can be achieved by either Fill Food Complaint, Fill Animal Complaint or Fill Special Complaint alternative tasks).
- Contribution Links determine how certain elements contribute positively or negatively to a attain softgoals (e.g., Verify Complaint task contributes positively to attain Security softgoal).

An expanded actor can have an undetermined number of elements. It is not mandatory all the elements to be present. It may even happen that the actor has no elements at all. An actor can also have a non-determined number of links between elements.

3 DSL SPECIFICATION

Domain Specific Languages are used to specify the solution model for some specific problem at a particular domain. The recent technologies for implementing DSLs by means of language workbenches can be quite an advantage if the DSLs are at the right abstraction level and use proper notations. Meaning that, is they are able to express some problem in a more concrete way when compared to other existing general purpose languages.

A domain is a viewpoint of a specific area like a family of products or a subject area. For instance, we can have a domain to simulate combats or a domain to resolve a company billing problems.
The DSLs can be used at any abstraction level, e.g. requirements, design or implementation level. They can be either a diagrammatic language or a textual language (Kelly and Tolvanen, 2008; The DSL wiki, 2008).

The advantages of DSLs consist of having the possibility to express a solution in the desired language and at the desired abstraction level passing by the domain problem that has to be solved. Thus the domain specialists can understand, validate and even modify the DSL.

DSLs allow code generation to the specified domain. Thus it is possible at the domain level to validate the DSL, so it may be considered that if the DSL is problem free, then any problem that is resolved using that DSL can be considered problem free as well. Due to this characteristics DSLs increase productivity, dependability, portability and reusability when applied to a certain specific domain (Kelly, 2008, The DSL wiki).

3.1 The i* Metamodel

In order to create the i* based DSL, we use Ecore (The DSL wiki 2008; The Eclipse/GMF page, 2008) to specify the language that will be built. We use Ecore model as it is a graphical way to specify the language, thus being easier to specify the i* framework Metamodel.

An Ecore model consists of a specific metamodel for a determined DSL. Using that Ecore model all the rules necessary to create the DSL could be built.

To build the Ecore metamodel that specifies the i* framework, several specific i* metamodels were used as reference (Alencar et al., 2008; Ayala et al., 2006; Lucena et al., 2008) and only the essential components present in those metamodels were used. Thus, it was possible to create a valid Ecore Model to represent a DSL for the i* framework.

However, in order to introduce new features to the i* language, it has been necessary to create new elements in the Ecore model. Those elements were not present in the original i* framework metamodel and will be explained next.

In order to resolve the complexity problem that had always affected this framework, two new elements were created: the “ElementContainer” and the “SoftGoalContainer”. These two new elements added to the framework are containers for the intentional elements that compose a dependency, so it is possible to group several elements (e.g., goals, tasks, resources and softgoals) inside these new elements, thus diminishing the number of dependencies between actors. These new classes still have the possibility to be expanded or retracted, thus allowing focused analysis to elements that constitute a dependency between two actors, something that is not possible using the original i* framework. Figure 2 shows parts of the created metamodel that refer to the SD model and it is possible to see these two new elements and the way they relate with other elements.

Another improvement we have made is the possibility to use actors as compartments so that
they can be expanded and retracted individually, maintaining the organization structure of its internal elements. This is a feature that other tools, such as (The i* wiki, 2008), have not implemented with great success. Thus, it is possible to analyse individually the internal elements and links concerning each actor, as it is possible to go from a SD Model to a SR Model and vice-versa without the need to create another model.

### 3.2 i* based DSL

After the metamodel that represents the i* framework is built, it is possible to generate the DSL that will implement that metamodel.

Once the code was generated for the metamodel, the graphical editor for the i* based DSL could be defined, as well as all the mappings responsible for the interactions between elements and their connections. Finally, after this process was concluded, the i* based DSL editor could be generated. Figure 3 shows a picture referencing the i* based DSL editor, where at the right hand side there is the palette responsible for having all the elements and links that can be used in this tool, and the rest of the canvas is for the editing area.

The model presented in Figure 3 is a SR Model created using the i* based DSL for the Health Watcher case study. Besides the features included in other tools that this DSL implements as well, this DSL addresses the scalability problems presented in this framework. This solution used the containers referred earlier. Using these containers will decrease the number of dependencies between actors.

There are two kinds of compartments, one just for softgoals that exist between two actors and another compartment for the other elements (Goals, Tasks and Resources). In this way, it is possible to separate efficiently non-functional requirements (softgoals) from functional requirements and the scalability and complexity of models is reduced.

With the compartments, it is also possible to make an individual analysis by compartment, showing the elements contained in a dependency between actors. This helps to better understand the models that are being analysed, reducing their complexity.

Figure 3 also shows an example where compartments are highlighted for analysis purposes. It is still possible to build models without compartments, using only the original i* framework.

### 3.3 Comparison with other Tools

To model the Health Watcher case study, both the OME tool (The i* wiki, 2008), in Figure 1, and the i* based DSL tool, in Figure 3, were used. Next, all the evaluation results will be shown and also all the differences and advantages in both tools. In both tools (the i* based DSL editor and the recent version of OME tool), it is possible to expand and
retract actors, so it is possible to migrate from a SD Model to a SR Model and the other way round. With this feature it is possible to analyse individually each actor’s content.

Figure 1 shows the Health Watcher system SR Model created in OME. In this picture, we can see that there are several dependencies between actors, making it difficult to read and comprehend medium and large scale models.

4 EVALUATION

In order to perform a valid evaluation, it is necessary to define the evaluation scope. With that line of knowledge the evaluation method used in (Murray et al., 2000) has been chosen to perform this evaluation.

The purpose of this evaluation is to gather qualitative data and to use that data to compare and demonstrate that the i* based DSL and its editor bring innovation and a better method to manage scalability when compared with other tools that implement the i* framework.

Ten Master’s students from the Computing Department of the New University of Lisbon, Portugal, with previous knowledges about the i* framework were chosen to perform this evaluation. It was necessary to choose individuals with those capacities so that valid results and a valid analysis between tools could be obtained. Next, the results of this test will be presented and analysed.

To the question “Q1: How easy did you perform the given tasks?”, all of the testers said it was easy or very easy.

To the question “Q2: Compared to other tools did you find this one easier to use or harder?”, all of the testers said it was easier to use this tool.

To the question “Q3: Compared to other tools did you think the methodology used in this tool is similar to the methodology used in other tools?”, all of the testers said that there were some similarities and some differences. This answer is expected because of the new features that were introduced in the i* framework as, for example, the element containers and softgoal containers. Thus, these new features change some aspects of the standard i* framework.

To the question “Q4: Do you think this tool brings innovation to the i* framework?”, all of the test subjects said that it brought some innovation or a lot of innovation when compared to other tools.

To the question “Q5: Do you think this tool helps to manage the scalability in the i* models?”, all of the test subjects said that this tool helps or helps a lot to reduce and manage the models scalability.

These answers are justified because of the new features introduced in this tool, which helps to simplify the use of this framework and helps to reduce the models scalability.

Figure 4 shows the results of this evaluation. The “x” axis represents the question number and the “y” axis represents the satisfaction level of the
test subjects towards each question. The satisfaction level values go from 1, which represents a low degree of satisfaction to 5, which represents a high degree of satisfaction. It can be seen that to all the questions made the satisfaction level is always above 3.5 thus showing that the test subjects were pleased with the new tool.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This paper focused on the definition and implementation of a DSL for the i* framework, with the purpose to formalize its models and tame their complexity. A tool was designed and developed to implement the i* based DSL, in which the notion of compartments was introduced to control the visualization of dependencies between actors.

It has been done a comparative study between the i* based DSL editor and the OME tool. It was shown what are the common aspects of both tools and it was possible to show the innovations implemented by the i* based DSL. The tool was assessed by ten Master’s students, so that we could have quantitative measurements. After the evaluation phase and having analysed the resulting data, we have concluded that the i* based DSL has corresponded to the expectations. Thus, after the data analysis and the test subjects opinions, we can conclude that the i* based DSL really brings innovative features, helps to reduce models complexity and is more user friendly than other tools.

Relatively to future work, we have as an objective to study other goal-oriented approaches such as KAOS, so that we can identify common aspects between it and the i* frameworks and which aspects would be necessary to introduce in order to build a more efficient framework for goal-oriented methodologies.
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