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Abstract: Research in email marketing is divided into two broad areas: spam and improving response rate. In this paper we propose a methodology which allows companies to experiment with their email campaigns to increase the campaigns’ response rate. This methodology is particularly suited for companies that are reluctant to experiment with their customer’s data fearing a drop of the response rate due to unsuccessful changes of the email campaign. The goals of this research have been achieved in two steps. Firstly, homogenous groups of customers are identified, eliminating largely any hindering heterogeneity. Secondly, customers that are not clicking and/or having a low click rate within their homogenous groups are identified.

1 INTRODUCTION

Although practitioners and academics have identified key success factors and key barriers to the development of an effective email campaign, few have attempted to apply existing theories and models. Similarly, although email marketing studies have been conducted either by online surveys, by in-depth interviews, by controlled experiments or by tracking behaviour patterns such as click-through links and the visiting patterns, few research have investigated the effects of email characteristics on consumer attitudes and behavioural intentions.

There are two types of research in email marketing. The first includes focus specifically at reducing spam from a wide range of perspectives. The second includes studies from the marketing literature that examine factors which affect and improve response rates, open rates and click rates for email marketing campaigns. The focus of this research will be situated in the second stream of email marketing research.

The context of this research falls in the first category of email marketing, which is improving response rate as we will analyze data of email campaigns sent to customers to increase response rate.

Marketing campaigns and products can be customised to appeal better to groups of customers, or the individual. Recent studies look specifically at email communication. For example, a model of online clicking behaviour by Ansari and Mela, attempts to predict and improve response rates for email communications (Ansari and Mela, 2003).

Another proposed technique is permission marketing (Godin, 1999), which seeks permission in advance from consumers to send marketing communications. Consumers provide interested marketers with information about the types of advertising messages they would like to receive. The marketers then use this information to target advertisements and promotions. The aim is to initiate, sustain and develop a dialogue with customers, building trust and over time stimulating the levels of permission, making it a more valuable asset (Kent & Brandal, 2003). Permission marketing has three specific characteristics that set it apart from traditional direct marketing (Godin, 1999) Anticipation, Personalization and Relevance.

With email marketing, using preferences stated by customers to select email content can be straightforward and based on common sense. However, there might be other customer-related factors, besides content matching stated preferences, which have an influence on the customer’s open and click behaviour. However, most companies are reluctant to experiment with their email campaigns because they fear that the response rate will drop due
to wrong experimenting. What we need is a methodology which allows experimenting with email campaigns yielding a high potential of increasing response rate levels while at the same time lowering the risks of detrimental effects due to unsuccessful experimenting. In this article we propose such methodology.

2 METHODOLOGY

The main idea is to identify homogenous groups of customers which are not/low responding to email campaigns. Because of their current low response level, these groups of customers have a high potential to increase the overall response rate, at the same time, experimenting with these groups has a low risk of decreasing the response rate if experiments fail. Identification of such groups is achieved in two steps:

- Find homogenous groups of customers based on socio-demographic or other type of customer information.
- Segment customers within each homogenous group based on their response/open/click rates.

Both steps are accomplished through the use of data mining techniques. Data mining can be defined as the nontrivial process of identifying valid, novel, potentially useful and ultimately understandable patterns in large amounts of data (Fayyad et al, 1996). Depending on the objective of the research, two major categories of data mining can be recognized predictive and descriptive techniques.

For the first step of finding homogenous groups of customer we opted for the descriptive data mining technique of cluster analysis. This technique seeks to separate data elements into groups or clusters with similar characteristics, such that both homogeneity of elements within clusters and the heterogeneity between clusters are maximized (Hair et al, 1998). This step is important because heterogeneity can hide real effects: applying changes to marketing campaigns for a heterogeneous group of customers might work for some part while be detrimental to another part resulting in a zero net result.

Cluster analysis has been applied in a wide variety of fields, (Everitt et al, 2001). According to Fraley and Raftery (2002) cluster analysis is based on heuristics that try to maximize the similarity between in-cluster elements and the dissimilarity between inter-cluster elements. These similarity-based clustering techniques use a specific distance function for elements with qualitative features. For elements consisting of both continuous and qualitative features, a mapping into the interval (0,1) can be applied such that a distance measure can be used. Among the similarity-based techniques, two major approaches can be detected, namely the hierarchical approach (i.e. Ward’s method, single linkage method) and the partitional approach (i.e. K-means). Following the maximum likelihood approach, the unknown parameter vector is often estimated by means of the expectation-maximization algorithm. Outliers are handled by adding one or more classes, representing a different multivariate distribution for outliers (Fraley and Raftery, 2002).

After finding homogenous groups of customers based on customer-related information, we analyse each cluster in search for non-clicking or low-clicking customers segments. This is done by means of Decision Trees (DT). Decision trees are mainly used for classification of unknown cases, but in the scope of this research we used DT as a segmentation technique to segment existing known cases according to the criteria defined by the class variable, which will be Click criteria.

Decision tree learning is a method for approximating discrete-valued target functions, in which the learned function is represented by a decision tree. It performs many tests and then tries to arrive to the best sequence for predicting the target. Each test creates branches that lead to more tests, until testing terminates in a leaf node. The path from the root to the target leaf is the rule that classifies the target. The rules are expressed in if-then form (J. Quinlan, 1992).

Decision trees have obvious value as both predictive and descriptive models. Prediction can be done on a case-by-case basis by navigating the tree. More often, prediction is accomplished by processing multiple new cases through the tree or rule set automatically and generating an output file with the predicted value or class appended to the record for each case.

Given the properties and nature of classification of decision tree algorithms and the nature of our data, as discussed in the next section, we decided to use the C4.5 decision tree algorithm. C4.5 is not restricted to binary splits and it produces a tree of more variable shape. C4.5 algorithm uses the fact that each attribute of the data can be used to make a decision that splits the data into smaller subsets. It should be noted that decision trees are mainly used for classification of unknown cases, but in the scope of this research we used DT as a segmentation technique. DT will segment the set of known customers into groups with similar values for the class variable, which will be any response-related criteria. It should also be noted that due to our exploratory use of DT, we are less interested in the generalisation power of the learned model. The DT model will merely allow us to identify once again
homogenous groups of customers with a low response level to email campaigns.

3 DATA

The data collected contains information on 32 weekly electronic newsletters during the period from June 2007 until the end of January 2008, from a customer of Ideaxis that is using the ADDEMAR® platform. The content of the newsletters is divided on the basis of six areas of interest; these areas of interest are wine, Recipes, new products, promotions, health & bio-products and member cards. The layout of the newsletter is depicted in Figure 1, as shown on top of the newsletter the six areas of interest are listed and for each consumer only the areas he has chosen will be enabled. On registration, subscribers can choose the relevant areas of interest.

The content of the newsletter is automatically personalized for each recipient. Also, it is possible for consumers to choose the format of the newsletter so the subscriber has the choice of a simple text email or an HTML email. The downside to text emails is that they are not measurable in terms of open rate (Walrave, 2004), so the open rate will not be considered in this study with regards to customers.

The number of contacts is 31,385 whose 19,609 of them is Dutch-speaking (NL) and 11,776 are French-speaking (FR) customers. In the scope of this study only the Dutch speaking customers are studied for the sake of homogeneity in the data, and that the Dutch speaking customers are almost 63% of the overall contacts, furthermore after analyzing those customers we found out that not all of them received the same number of newsletters since some consumers subscribed late, so we filtered out customers who received all 32 campaigns, which result in a 1172 customers (n=1172). For each customer we collected information such as, gender, email format, interests, number of interests, total and total emails clicked, after that we calculated the click rate for each customer, furthermore, for segmentation purposes, we categorized the click rates to non-click, low-click and high-click rates.

4 EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

As stated in our problem statement, the focus of this study is to identify homogenous segments of customers which are not responding and/or having a low-click profile to the email newsletters.

4.1 Cluster Analysis

As outlined in the methodology, we start with performing a cluster analysis to remove big parts of heterogeneity in our data. We performed a Latent Cluster Analysis by means of the software LatentGold®, version 2.0.9, and used the values of BIC, AIC and CAIC to choose the optimal number of clusters. These statistical figures measure the model fit, and alongside correct for the model’s complexity (a lower score is better).

Customers' interests were used as indicators or attributes for clustering customers into homogenous groups, choosing 2-6 clusters. We summarize the results in Table 1, the results shows that the values of BIC, AIC and CAIC first goes down when adding more clusters, but at a certain points starts to increase. For all three statistics, the minimum is reached at the 3-cluster model. So, as the values of BIC, AIC and CAIC suggest, the 3-cluster model is the best model. It has the best trade off between model complexity and model fit.

Table 1: Cluster analysis results comparing BIC, AIC and CAIC values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>L² (LL)</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>CAIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-cluster</td>
<td>121.673</td>
<td>-182.148</td>
<td>35.6732</td>
<td>-225.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-cluster</td>
<td>121.657</td>
<td>-132.704</td>
<td>49.6579</td>
<td>-168.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-cluster</td>
<td>18.6379</td>
<td>-136.805</td>
<td>1993.95</td>
<td>2242.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next, we want to identify each cluster as a specific type of customer. To define each cluster we used the 50% rule. If customers have a probability larger than 50% of having a specific interest, we state that customers of that cluster are interested in the related topic. Table 2 shows that the first cluster or group of customers is interested in receiving newsletters related to recipes, the second group is interested to receive newsletters with topics about all 6 topics, and the third group are interested in all topics except promotions and member cards.
Table 2 summarizes the distribution of customers across the clusters with some extra statistical information about the distribution of our areas of study within groups of customers. As we can see the majority of customers are in the first cluster. Furthermore, an interesting figure in table 2 is the distribution of email format (HTML and TEXT). More than half of customers in cluster 1 prefer a text-formatted email, while customer in cluster 2 and 3 prefer an HTML formatted email. Table 2 also reveals that customers of cluster 1 have a much lower click rate than customers of cluster 2 and 3.

Table 2: Statistical information of customers in Clusters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>percent HTML</th>
<th>percent TEXT</th>
<th>Click Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Recipes</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>All Categories</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Promotions and member cards</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fact that customers of cluster 1 are only interested in 1 single topic, indicate that these customers are most likely less or not interested in email marketing. Unluckily for this company, this is the largest cluster. Therefore, these results on its own already provide useful information for the company with regards to their current email marketing campaign. It’s clear that they should focus on customers of cluster 1 in the first place.

### 4.2 Decision Tree Analysis

The second step of our methodology performs a decision tree analysis for each cluster in order to find homogenous segments of customers with a low/non clicking profile. To this end, we categorized the click rate into three categories, i.e. non-click, low-click and high click and we will use this categorized variable as the DT class variable. Click rate was categorized as follows: customers who have click rate evaluated to zero have a non-click criteria, customers who have a click rate less than 10% are categorized as low-click, and finally customers having a click rate more than 10% are categorized as having a high-click profile. Besides, having the click criteria as the class variable, we used the gender, email format, interests, and the period of time the customer opened the emails variables as attributes to build the decision trees.

Figure 2 shows the decision tree for customers of cluster 1 (customers interested in recipes) and illustrates that these customers can be divided into two groups, i.e. a first group of 543 customers which preferred TEXT format emails and a second group of 483 customers which preferred HTML email. It also shows that 488 out of 543 customers, who preferred a TEXT email, are not responding to emails, while the other 55 customers are low-clicking customers (note that this can’t be seen on the figure).

![Figure 2: Decision Tree for cluster 1.](image)

This segment of customers is perfect to experiment with. In the worst case you could turn 55 customers from low-clicking into non-clicking, but in the best case, you could turn 488 customers into low or even high clicking customers.

Figure 3 shows the decision tree for customers belonging to the second cluster, which forms almost 8% of all customers. The DT shows two customer segments which are good candidates for experimenting with. Firstly, there is the group of customers which preferred a TEXT email; this group of 30 people have 20 customers which are not responding to emails, while the other 10 have low-click behaviour. Secondly, there is a group of customers which prefer HTML emails and have no interest in information about new products or wine. This group of 10 customers contains 7 low clicking customers are interested in receiving newsletters related to recipes identified by clustering.

![Figure 3: Decision Tree for cluster 2.](image)

Finally, for cluster 3, there are three candidate groups for experimenting. In contrast with the previous two clusters (Figure 4), we can now
identify two different groups among the customers which preferred a TEXT email. Among these customers, we can discern between those which prefer information about new products and those which don’t. The first group contains 10 customers, which are all non-clicking. This group is a perfect experimenting group as you can not decrease the overall click rate by experimenting. The other group, which is not interested in information about new products, contains 4 customers from which 3 are low clicking. Note that this might be considered a too small group for experimenting. One could decide though to group them with customers which are interested in new products. Furthermore, among the customers which are receiving HTML emails, an interesting experiment group are those which receive the newsletters in the afternoon and are not interested in Recipes. This group contains 12 customers among which 9 are not clicking any links inside the newsletters.

What is interesting in the DT for all customers is that customers interested in Recipes have a high-click rate; this explains the first group of customers who are interested in receiving newsletters related to recipes identified by clustering.

4.3 Recommendations

The objective of this research is to identify homogenous groups of customers which are good candidates to experiment with in order to increase the overall response rate. One could of course always experiment with those customers which currently are not/low clicking any emails. However, this would not lead to homogenous groups and the heterogeneity present could obscure the effects of the experiments. For this reason we suggest the methodology outlined above. The fact that all three clusters reveal a different decision tree indicates the benefit of the clustering step. Figure 5 shows the decision tree when performed on all customers, i.e. without a prior clustering step.

It’s clear that it identifies less potential experimenting segments. Based on the results of our analysis, we can formulate the following recommendations:

- Convince customers of cluster 1 (i.e. only interested in recipes) to change their choice of receiving TEXT emails to receive HTML emails. This proves the fact that TEXT format emails are not motivating because it does contain images or videos.
- Filter out customers which receive HTML newsletters and who are likely to be interested in all categories (cluster 2). Try to change the layout or other aspects of the newsletters for this group of customers
• Change the sending time of newsletter for customers of cluster 3 receiving HTML emails from afternoon to late evening.
• Change the sending time of newsletter sent in the evening for customers of cluster 3 which are not interested in recipes to the late evening.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we analysed and examined customers receiving weekly newsletters as a part of an email marketing campaigns, the data studied was from a leading email marketing solution provider in Belgium, the aim of our study is to identify customers who have non-low-click behaviour to allow companies to experiment with those customers. Our methodology of analysis has been performed in two steps, first by identifying homogenous groups of customers according to interests, and step two by applying decision tree analysis as a segmentation technique for each cluster using the click rate categorized as the class variable.

After identifying target customers to be experimented for increasing the response rate, we recommended some actions to be taken to those customers. The future work will be to set up experiments for the identified candidate groups and to evaluate the effect of these experiments on the overall click rate.
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