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Abstract. The Cycab is a new public vehicle with fully automated driving capabilities which aims at offering other alternatives to the private car. This work is done as part of the Tacos project. The objective of this project is to define a component-based approach to specify trustworthy systems from the requirements phase to the specification phase in the Cycab domain. Due to the long time required to experiment the Cycab vehicle prototype, it becomes very important to deal with this kind of system from the requirements phase to the test phase. In this paper, we propose an approach that provides a process specifying in a flexible way a Cycab requirement model. This process is based on two models: a generic model and a variant model. The former captures in integrated view the large variety of the systems-to-be and the latter identifies and explicitly expresses the features having an interest for the Cycab domain.

1 Introduction

The Tacos$^1$ Project [18] aims at defining a component-based approach to specify trustworthy systems from the requirements phase to the specification phase in the land transportation domain. It is well accepted that a gap still remains between the initial specification of the requirements of a system and its software specification. Thus, it becomes imperative to consider this first step in order to increase the global control of the development of those systems and to ensure their reliability and safety.

The paper focuses on this phase of requirement engineering. These systems which are both distributed and embedded require a rigorous requirement engineering approach integrating adaptation and tailoring. In the Cycab domain, very few studies have focused on expressing the requirement at a high level. Moreover, the Cycab system building is subject to requirements$^1$ change according to the effective tests on the Cycab prototype. Therefore, the issue is how to take into account those changes and integrate them in the current specification.

Our approach consists in defining a process for the application engineer allowing him to create the Cycab requirement model and to adapt it when the requirements

$^1$ The TACOS project (Ref. ANR-06-SETI-017) is partially supported by the French National Research Agency.
change. This process is based on two models: a generic model describing the Cycab domain and a variant model to help the application engineer to define situations according to the future Cycab features.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the domain context and the proposed approach. Section 3 respectively describes the variant model and the generic model. Section 4 focuses on the Cycab model building process. Related work is given in section 5. Section 6 concludes with some remarks about the results and future works.

2 Overview of the Research Project

2.1 Domain Context

Land transportation and particularly Cycab has been chosen as the application domain of the project. The Cycab concept is an experimental platform already used in several research projects [2]. Cycab, are small self-service electric vehicles designed for restricted access zones: historic city centers, airports, train stations or university campuses. They have fully automated driving capabilities controlled by embedded software. Two years of work have been necessary to design and implement the first two prototypes [13]. Prototype development takes time and requires frequent testing and thus needs constant evolution. In order to handle these changes, it is necessary to define a requirement engineering process giving a requirement model capable of evolution and adaptation.

2.2 Our Approach

These issues related to Cycab requirement model induce to consider a generic Cycab model from which one can obtain specific models; for instance, "a Cycab with the GPS localisation mode" or "a Cycab with the Wifi and GPS localization" or "a Cycab with an automatic driving without doors" and so on.

We believe that Goal-Oriented Requirement Engineering (GORE) methods like KAOS [3], I* [20], CREWS [15], GBRAM [1] are suitable to specify the generic Cycab model. We have adopted the first one to which we have integrated the variability concept. The KAOS approach allows to express "goals and their operationalization into specifications of services and constraints (WHAT issues), and the assignment of responsibilities to agents such as humans, devices and software pieces available or to be developed (WHO issues)" [9].

The purpose of variability is to be able to consider and express the discriminatory elements between the applications of a given domain. Several approaches study variability at an early requirement engineering step [7], [10]. Our approach of variability is in continuation to our previous works [16] except that we now use the KAOS method.

The objective of the approach is threefold: Firstly, it takes into account the requirements at the highest level of abstraction with a goal orientation. Secondly, all
options and alternatives of the Cycab domain should be represented made possible thanks to the variability paradigm. And thirdly, a building Cycab process must be defined and thus that it will provide the possibility of creating, adapting and evolving the requirement model in a flexible way.

To achieve this, we apply a two-step approach. In the first step, the domain engineer with the domain experts elaborates a generic domain model and a variant model named product models. In the second step, the application engineer builds a Cycab model according to the situation it should satisfy.

In the sequel, we focus on the product models used as input of the Cycab model building process.

3 The Product Models

3.1 The Variant Model

The variant model aims at capturing the variable aspects in the Cycab domain. It is used as input point of the Cycab model building process.

![Fig. 1. The variant meta-model.](image)

The variant model is defined as an instance of the variant meta-model. Figure 1 presents this meta-model as an UML class diagram. In this model, a *facet* represents a feature having an interest for a given domain. For instance, the Cycab transportation domain has several facets like: the *localization mode* which deals with the Cycab localization from external sensors, the *Road type* to precise the kind of route the Cycab takes and so on.

To a "facet" is attached one or more possible *variants*. A "variant" defines a valued characteristic. For example, the *localization mode* may be attached to the following set of variants: {GPS, Bluetooth, Wifi}; and the *road type* facet may also have the set: {the pedestrian route, the dedicated route, normal route}. Finally, a given facet may depend on other ones; this is captured in the "Depends on" relationship. The latter can be refined to a number of more specific relations like requires, restricts, enables, excludes or ensures. For example, a *manual driving mode* may restrict the *localization mode* to only GPS.
3.2 The Generic Model

The generic model aims at capturing in an integrated view, the common and variable elements of the system-to-be, describing thus the large diversity of options the system may take. It is based on an extension of the KAOS goal-driven methodology [9]. In order to respect space constraint, we mainly focus on goal model.

The goal model allows to capture and to express all the possible options of the Cycab system at the requirements level. It then represents all possible ways by which stakeholders may achieve their goal.

![A portion of the generic meta-model.](image)

The goal model is constructed as an instance of the meta-model of which a portion is illustrated in Figure 2. The central concept of this model is the concept of goal; a goal is defined as an objective to be achieved by the system-to-be. For example, an objective for the Cycab would be to have any passenger transportation request eventually satisfied. For more details about the KAOS meta-model, see [3], [9], [12].

The KAOS approach has not been found to address a class of systems of a domain but a specific system. The concept of alternative in KAOS is a mechanism representing a kind of variability that is local to a goal. But, variability may have an impact on different parts of the goal model. Consequently, we think that the concept of `<Facet-Variant>` as we propose, related to a reduction link, allows in addition to take into account different situations which could be considered by the Cycab designer.

Let us consider the high-level goal "Cycab transportation requests satisfied." There are many ways to fulfill this goal according to the situations considered by the application engineer. The concept of facet makes it possible to represent variability which consists in indicating, according to the context to be reached, if the goal is reduced or not in a sub-goal. It is thus defined as a property attached to the "reduces" relationship, and then is represented in the model as an UML association class.

In order to illustrate this, let us consider the facet named "Cycab calling mode" with the following two associated variants: automatic (a Cycab stopping at each station) and on demand (it stops at a station only if there is an external or internal demand).
Figure 3 shows the refinement of the above goal taking account these variants, some reduction links are annotated with a couple \textit{<facet variant>} representing the variants that it addresses.

Consequently, Figure 3 presents two possible options that the Cycab system may take when achieving the goal "Cycab transportation requests satisfied". So, according to the "on demand calling" variant, for the Cycab transportation requests to be satisfied, the transportation must be requested and transportation request not cancelled and then, passengers brought to their destination. With "automatic calling" variant, this goal is just reduced to the \textit{Passengers brought to their destination} subgoal.

4 Cycab Model Building Process

In a traditional process, requirements are identified from documentation and domain experts' interviews. In the proposed process, requirements are selected and adapted thanks to both generic model and variant model. The process consists in four main steps:

- **Step 1**: the application engineer selects a facet from the variant model. For instance, let us consider the choice of the facet 1 related to Cycab calling mode.
- **Step 2**: he (she) selects the variant of the chosen facet. For instance, with the facet 1, the variant V2 is selected so we obtain the couple \textit{<F1: Cycab calling mode, V2: Automatic>}. If all couples (facet-variant) are selected, continue to step 3. Otherwise, do again step 1 and 2.
- **Step 3**: The choice of a set of couples (Facet-Variant) leads to one situation. Indeed, choosing several facets defines one situation to implement. The step 3 con-
sists in matching the selected features with the generic goal model. This step performance leads to the requirement model of a Cycab system.

- **Step 4**: This step consists in validating the model obtained in step 3.

Figure 4 shows the results corresponding to the selected features.

![Two specific Cycab Goal Models](image)

**Fig. 4. Two specific Cycab Goal Models.**

The matching performed in the step 3 gives two specific Cycab models: the situation 1 on the left and the situation 2 on the right. Thus, the situation 1 leads to the specific Cycab model with manual calling mode, manual doors opening mode and automatic driving mode whereas the situation 2 defines another specific Cycab model with automatic calling mode, automatic doors opening mode and automatic driving mode.

## 5 Related Works

Variability is considered as the key challenge in building reusable infrastructure. There are several approaches to express variability [4]. Intensive works have notably been done in the domain of software product lines [19], [6] where ‘variability’ is expressed by the variation points and variants concepts: a variation point defines a point in the model where variation occurs, while a variant is a manner of reaching variability. Variability has also been studied in domain analysis. Among domain analysis methods, the FODA method [7], [8] has been the first one to propose the concept of feature, defined as a prominent or distinctive user-visible aspect, quality or characteristic of a software system or systems. The feature model highlights, in the form of hierarchy sets, the characteristics that discriminate systems in a domain.
In our project, we are interested in applying this concept of variability at goal-based requirement level [17]. The approaches as Foda or SPL do not deal with variability at goal-oriented requirement level. However, some approaches have studied variability at an early requirement engineering stage [10], [11].

We use the KAOS alternative link (OR-decomposition) that states that the subgoals represent alternative ways to achieve the parent goal. Nevertheless, we think that it is not sufficient to acquire the variability effectiveness with only the OR-reduction Link and we propose the couple <facet-variant> concepts. The concept of facet represents a viewpoint or dimension of domain; it allows classifying and organizing domain knowledge. The notion of facet has been pointed out in library science to classify library domain [14]. In our work, it makes it easier to understand and organize domain knowledge. Finally, the couple <facet-variant> enables first to represent a richer variability while reducing combinatorial explosion, and second becomes an effective support to designers in building a domain application.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we have proposed a process whose objective is to offer a means to specify a Cycab requirements model. This process is based on two models: generic model describing possible needs of the Cycab domain and variant model expressing the features of the same domain. The latter is useful to define situations to meet

The benefit of such approach is to be able to specify a Cycab model in a flexible way and to adapt it according to the constant requirements' change.

We are currently validating the approach through a software prototype [5]. This work is still at an early stage. Many further investigations have to be done. The first one will concern the completeness of the variant model. The second one will be to formally express requirement model which will help the mapping from requirements model to software design.
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