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Abstract: We consider the problem of the interpolation of irregularly spaced spatial data, applied to observation of Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) anisotropies. The well-known interpolation methods and kriging are compared to the binning method which serves as a reference approach. We analyse kriging versus binning results for different resolutions and noise level in the original data. Most of the time, kriging outperforms the other methods for producing a regularly gridded, minimum variance CMB map.

1 INTRODUCTION

In this article we consider the problem of the interpolation of a set of data points for mapping Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) anisotropies.

CMB is a relic radiation emitted when the Universe was about 380,000 years old. Almost homogeneous and isotropic, it has small brightness irregularities of the order of one part per 100000, which are imprinted by the tiny inhomogeneities which will give rise to the large scale structures observable in the Universe today, about 12 billion years later (Barreiro, 2000).

The observation of these anisotropies permits to constrain the possible scenarios for describing the content and evolution of our Universe. Many experiments dedicated to these measurements have been conducted in the past 20 years, included two space missions and many balloon-borne or ground-based experiments. ESA is planning launch the Planck spacecraft, for yet another space mission for observing these signals with unprecedented accuracy. The scanning strategy, which defines the scanning pattern on the sky, is set by external constraints, which results in somewhat irregularly gridded observations. The real measurements of CMB experiments are affected by noise. This is modelled by adding a Gaussian noise with the standard deviation in the range 0.2 to 1, so that the SNR of measurements is typically between unity and a few (units are arbitrary in the simulation). Although the real cosmological measurements are made on a sphere and therefore are determined using angles, we consider local Cartesian coordinates. The simulated measurements are the $x,y$ coordinates and the corresponding anisotropy. Figure 1 shows $x$ coordinates plotted versus $y$ coordinates of the data. From this plot one can see the lines formed by the scanning pattern. The total amount of points is 47914, 13 of them correspond to the large point source in the data.
3 INTERPOLATION METHODS

We now present the interpolation experiments using the simulated CMB data described above, with the objective to identify the method best suited for resampling the CMB data on a regular grid.

We specifically address the following problem. For x and y coordinates varying between 0 and 511, make an image with the size $128 \times 128$, $256 \times 256$ and $512 \times 512$. This task considers the complete zone, with low density of measures in some regions. This task should also be performed on the data with noise.

We investigate several techniques that may be used for the CMB measurements interpolation. We consider triangle-based linear interpolation, kriging and binning. Among those, we would like to choose the best interpolation method according the root mean square error (RMSE).

Binning, which simply consists in averaging measurements “falling” in bins, is very simple and fast. It is traditionally used for CMB observations.

Triangle-based interpolation is a method which estimates the value of the observation at each sampling point using the Delaunay triangulation, as a linear combination of data values at the vertices of the appropriate triangle.

Kriging is similar to spline interpolation (Billings et al., 2002) that can be presented as an energy minimization problem (Wolberg and Alfy, 2002). The disadvantage of the cost function minimization is the use of the coefficient for the regularization term. The change of this coefficient will change the results (Zinger et al., 2002).

The advantage of kriging over energy minimization is that the parameters for kriging are obtained by the analysis of the experimental variogram, that is obtained from the original data, while the coefficient for the regularization term in the energy expression is the parameter to tune.

3.1 Linear Interpolation

Linear interpolation is based on Delaunay triangulation of the original data. Triangle-based linear interpolation applies barycentric coordinates to the data at the vertices of the triangle (Watson, 1992). Triangle-based linear interpolation does not give good results on the noisy data (Figure 2).

3.2 Kriging

Kriging considers measurements as samples from a realization of a stationary random process and analyses the spatial behaviour of the corresponding parameters. The interpolation in this case consists of making a weighted sum of the data points. The weights are calculated using the variogram – a function that expresses the spatial dependency between data. Most of the research on the nature of the CMB anisotropies characterizes them as a stationary random process of the second order. Therefore the assumptions for kriging (Cressie, 1991) are well verified for the CMB data. Before using kriging it is necessary to determine the three parameters of the variogram: the nugget, the sill and the range (Billings et al., 2002). So the experimental variogram is calculated, then these parameters are obtained, and the used in the formula of the theoretical variogram.

We perform the kriging interpolation on neighborhoods of size $10 \times 10$ units. Kriging on a fixed neighborhood allows quick performance on large data sets - it is an advantage for a real data interpolation.

The parameters estimated for the theoretical variogram from the noisy data are: range is 43, nugget is 1, sill is 1.8. An example of a resulting image is in Figure 3.
From the kriging results it is evident that the algorithm suppresses noise.

### 3.3 Binning

A common and simple approach, that is often used in astronomy, is binning. The method consists of averaging the data values inside each bin centered around a pixel to be computed. After having found the data points located inside the area of a bin, the average of these points values is attributed to the pixel. If there are no data points inside a bin, then this pixel stays empty, no value is assigned to it. If the bin size is taken to be 2 units, then much less points fall inside pixels. And when the bin size is 1 unit, then more than 80% of the pixels are empty and the pixels that have data points, assigned to them, have just one point. So in this case averaging is not possible. Figure 4 shows results of binning.

From the RMSE values it is clear that kriging gives better results than the other two interpolation methods. The performance of binning becomes better if the size of the pixel increases, since the larger the bin, the more data points inside it. It is even possible that binning gives better results than other methods when the bin size is larger (and therefore, the resolution lower). Kriging has an advantage over binning, because of using weighted average of the data and because the weights depend on the data statistics. The quality of binning obviously depends on the density of data points per regular grid pixel. Figure 5 demonstrates it.

We can see that averaging on 10 or more pixels often leads to the smaller errors than the ones of kriging. So binning can outperform kriging only when the density of points is quite high.

### 4 COMPARISONS WITH THE REFERENCE

Since our data is simulated, we can have a reference image to estimate the quality of the interpolation methods. The root mean square errors (RMSE) between the reference and interpolation results are in Table 1.

Table 1: RMSE between the reference and the interpolation results, x and y coordinates vary between 0 and 512.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interpolation method</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binning</td>
<td>0.9836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>0.7152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kriging</td>
<td>0.2930</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The quality of binning obviously depends on the density of data points per regular grid pixel. Figure 5 demonstrates it.
5 KRINGING VERSUS BINNING: PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

From the previous results it is obvious that kriging outperforms several other methods that we tried. The reference method - binning - works worse especially in the cases of small grid sizes, i.e. high resolution.

From the experiments presented above we can see that kriging is the best, but binning can improve its performance if the size of the pixel is enlarged, possibly at the price of reduced map resolution. When the standard deviation of noise is 1, it is the case for the Archeops acquisition system. We vary the pixel size in order to see how it influences the interpolation results.

Figure 6 demonstrate the RMSE measured between the reference and kriging or binning interpolation results for different sizes of the pixel. Solid line represent kriging, dashed - binning.

It is easy to see that the larger is the size of pixel, the better is the binning result. The important advantage of kriging is that its performance does not depend on the choice of the resolution for the image. The stronger is the noise, the larger pixel size is needed in order to get binning results as good as the ones of kriging. The grid size is 10 units when both methods start having the same performance in the presence of strong noise.

From the practical point of view, the size of the grid equal to 7 or to 10 units is much too coarse. If one wants to have the size of the grid the same as the average density of the original scattered CMB data, then it should be approximately 3 units.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Several methods can be used for the interpolation of CMB anisotropies observations. These measurements are irregularly distributed 3D points. In practice, they are affected by noise and by other radiation sources. We have considered the simulated data, composed by the CMB anisotropies and point sources and the noise.

For the data with noise we tried linear interpolation, kriging and binning. Adding the noise complicates the problem, especially because the range of the noise is almost as large as the range of the data. In this case an interpolation technique should be able to decrease the effect of noise as much as possible. The best results are obtained with the kriging technique, because it allows to take the noise into account through the parameters of the variogram. Binning is often used in astronomy, it averages the values of the data inside each pixel and so can decrease the noise. The disadvantage is that the density of data points should be at least ten times higher than the density of the regular grid in order to get good results. It is also desirable for binning to have evenly distributed data points. Taking binning as the reference method, we make a detailed comparison between this method and kriging. We find that these two methods can be equally good when the regular grid size of the image to find is very coarse. Otherwise, for acceptable grid sizes kriging outperforms binning.
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