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Abstract: In this paper, we present a hybrid parameterization system from classical and graphologist features, as the existing percentage of cohesion in the writing of each individual, as well as the smaller and greater axes of the ovals and loops. They have been used on the writer identification together with other parameters applied to handwritten words. That set of characteristics has been tested with our off-line database, which consists of 70 writers with 10 samples per writer and as well each sample is composed of 34 words. We have got a success rate of 96%, applying as classifier Neural Network, and after, the technique of “more voted” algorithm, with 10 Neural Networks.

1 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, advances on Computer Science and the proliferation of computers in the modern society, it is an unquestionable fact. But the great importance that continues having the handwritten document and the own writing, is true.

For this reason and its wide and extented use, many handwritten documents are exposed to possible forgeries, deformations or copies, and generally, with illicit use. The tasks of Graphologist or Forensic Expert are very hard and tedious, due to the sequencial and manual work developed, whose task is to certify and to judge the authenticity or falsehood of handwritten documents (for example: testaments) in a judicial procedure.

Nowadays, the Graphologists have to investigate and use so much time to extract features that allow to drawing conclusions about the body of writing. Therefore, they have to work with graph paper and templates in order to obtain parameters (angles, dimensions of the line, directions, parallelisms, curvatures, alignments, etc.). Too, they have to use magnifying glass with graph paper in order to do measures of angles and lines.

The motivation of this present work is to develop an automatic system for the help in this field. It is possible because our proposal try extracting information biometric of the writing. The scientific bases for this idea are from the brain human. If we try to do writing with the less skilful hand, there will be some parts or forms very similar to the writing with the skilful hand, due to those orders are sent by the brain, and each brain is intrinsic of each person (Romero et al., 2007).

The act to write is a phenomenon governed by the brain and integrated in the psychomotricity of the individual; in contrast to mimic movements, the handwriting movements are fixed toward a plane that allows its study and measurement.

The writing like codified and dynamic message that reflects a certain biometric information of the individual in its communication with the others is fundamentally individual, recognizable, univocal and unique; that it makes possible the people identification.

Generally, this effect is projected toward the writing by two types of forces (Romero et al., 2007), they are:
- Conscious or Known: because it can do a control of the own free will.
- Unconscious: because it escapes to control of the own free will. This is divided into: forces of type mechanical and emotional, where are harboured feelings.
All the persons transmit their writing by their brain, and simultaneously, the handwritten impulse, which is the symbolism of the space. It is obtained the dimensions of the writing, which are adapted of proportional form, maintaining the size of the text or a balance to the natural size, if the individual was forced to write in a reduced space.

Nowadays, the writer identification is a great challenge because these researches are not as studied as the identification based on fingerprints, hands, face or iris (other biometric techniques), due mainly to the operation of the brain is very difficult of parameterize. On the other hand, the mentioned techniques use widely researched biometric information.

Most of the characteristics implemented until the moment offer information of the static characteristics of the writing (Hertel et al., 2003), (Marti et al., 2001), (Shihari et al., 2001), because they are limited the formal aspect of the letters, its form and dimension. These characteristics are easier to modify or to falsify. Two or three years ago, some authors are starting to present graphologist features (Gupta, et al., 2007). In this work is introduced new graphologist parameters fusioned with some classical parameters. It will be showed our best combination of parameters for identification writer in the next sections.

The proposed characteristics in this paper are not limited to the aspect nor the static features, because this present work observes the handwriting writing like a performance of the movement in the space. For example, the cohesion and the analysis of the opening of the ovals and loops, that reflect to use the graphologist features in the writing, which are difficult to modify.

As the majority of the works proposed up to now, on biometric recognition, the framework of the system depends on the following basic steps.

- **Image pre-processing and segmentation:** Preparation and modification of images, so that the module of segmentation produce the results desired. The segmentation separates the zones of interest (lines, words or characters), and it is key for the success or error of the following analysis (Feature Extraction).
- **Feature Extraction:** They are qualitative and quantitative measures that permit to obtain a significant geometrical characterization of the style of writing, in order to differentiate writers among themselves. Pressure, speed, direction, inclination, cohesion, continuity, opening of ovals constitutes some of the graphologist features. The aspect of the letters, its form and dimension is some of the classical features. The graphologist features help to be more discriminating. In this present work, the most of our graphologist features are automatically got. In future works, we hope to reach it.

  - **Classification:** A statistical analysis of the extracted characteristics is carried out, which will permit the comparison with the samples of our database, seeking the writer, who possesses more similarities.

The most references about writer identification are using geometry features as angles, lengths, heights, relations between distances, etc., (classical parameters) (Zois et al., 2000), (Marti et al., 2001), (Shihari et al., 2001). Besides the most references use their own databases and with a size, minor to 50 writers (Hertel et al., 2003), (Said et al., 1998), (Zois et al., 2000), (Marti et al., 2001), (Romero et al., 2006). For this reason in this present work, we have introduced new feature extractions, and we have built a database lager than in the most references.
section, the procedure for the extraction of the characteristics is explained. Section 5 contents the used methods for classification. And finally in section 6, the conclusion of this work is written up.

2 DATABASE

For the building of our database, we have used a paragraph of 15 lines, on Spanish language. That text is from “Don Quijote de la Mancha” from Miguel de Cervantes, and we have used the same text for each writer. With this size of text, writers can show their personal characteristics, because they keep their writing habits.

This database has been built with 70 writers, and each one has made 10 times this template (paragraph of 15 lines). The size of paper was DIN-A4 format (297 mm. × 210 mm). The sheet was written with a pen of black ink. Each writer of our database had one week for doing the writing, and therefore, it is considered like an effect of temporal invariance on this database.

The creation conditions of our database were the normalized with the same type of paper (80 gr/m2), ballpoint pen, and similar place of support (for doing the writing). Of this way, our work is centred on the writing and the efficiency of proposed parameters. In the future work, we are going to change the rest of variables.

The samples are scanned 200 dpi, obtaining images on grey scale, with 8 bit of quantification. Do not add any text to the headers (do not set running heads) and footers, not even page numbers, because text will be added electronically.

3 IMAGE PRE-PROCESSING AND SEGMENTATION

The first step of the image pre-processing consists of utilizing Otsu’s the method, in order to get the binarization of the samples (Otsu et al., 1979).

As a result of the binarization, in most cases, the line of writing remains with irregular aspect. For that reason, we have implemented other image pre-processing for skew elimination. We developed a algorithm in order to detect the maximum projection of the word, using histogram tool. This permits to smooth out the baseline, so, the baseline remains well defined (see figure 2). Besides, it is eliminated the existing noise in the images after scanning process, by morphological mathematics. As previous step to the separation of words or components connected (by labelling), the detection and elimination of the punctuation marks (points, accents and comma) is carried out, by a size threshold. In particular, we have removed components connected if they were minor than 60 pixels.

4 FEATURE EXTRACTION

In this present work, we have introduced some new parameters (graphologist characteristics), and they have been joined to some classical parameters (Leedham et al., 2003), (Romero et al., 2007), in order to improve the previous references.

Handwriting cohesion is called to the percentage of unions that appear between the letters of the same one; when saying unions talk about the final strokes of the letters are continued with the initials of the following letters without ballpoint pen rises of the paper.

In order to make an estimation of the cohesion in the handwriting, the images of the 34 words of each sample are selected and binarized and their components connected with connectivity-8 are labelled to them. As soon as the quantity of components connected of each word is obtained, it is proceeded to calculate the average and the variance of the components connected. Those words have been selected by their size, the largest.

As for the analysis of the ovals and loops of the words, segmentation is carried out obtaining an image where only appears the above mentioned characteristic (see Figure 2) (Leedham et al., 2003). The ovals and loops are calculated by labelling, the closed zones are obtained, and a threshold is established in order to remove the shortest ovals and loops, minor than 60 pixels.

Then, it is done the measurement of the minor and major axes of each ovals and loops from 34 words. Axes are calculated by maximum projection using histograms. Finally, it is calculated the average size of the above mentioned axes of the handwriting sample in analysis.

Also it was studied the eccentricity feature for both ovals and loops, but it was rejected because it was producing a decrease of successes rate, because of the fact that the eccentricity value was very similar for the inter-classes and intra-classes relation, and with great variance.

Giving an estimation of the speed, the cohesion and the oval shapes also it is analyzed particular features of following letters “a, d, g, q, b, p, o”,...
which consist on a rounded part or oval that can be joined or not with the following stroke or with an ascending or descending. This letters are found by a labelling of closed area, and non with letter recognition, because there are writers, who writes open letters, without loops and ovals.

This new characteristic has been included in the list of the classical characteristics already developed in (Romero et al., 2007), (Hertel et al., 2003):

- length of the words,
- quantity of pixels in black,
- estimation of the width of the letters,
- height of the medium body of writing,
- heights of the ascending and descending,
- height relation between of the ascending and medium body,
- height relation between descending and medium body,
- height relation between descending and ascending,
- height relation between medium body and the wide of writing,
- proportionality index.

The quantity of black pixels and the length of words (horizontal size of the image), they will give us an estimation of the dimension and thickness of the line, the wide of letters and the height of the medium body. Besides these are distinctive characteristics of the style of writing.

The estimation of the width of letters is carried out, seeking the row with greater quantity of black to white transition (0 to 1). It is counted the number of white pixels between each transition, this result is averaged.

In order to measure the height of the medium body of the words, the goal is to determine the upper and lower baseline through maximums and minimum values and to measure the distance among them (see figure 2).

In order to approach baselines of each word, it was decided to use the adjustment of minimum mean square error that is based on find the equation (see expression 1) that better be adjusted to an set of points "n" (Chin et al., 1997). The equation is the following:

$$y = ax + b$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the coefficients “a” and “b” determine the lineal polynomial regression by means of the following expressions:

$$a = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \right)}{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$b = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i - a \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Those values of “a” and “b”, based on the coordinates of minimums or maximums detected in the contour of the word, are different baselines. Minimums are to approach the lower baseline and the maximums for the superior baseline.

The extraction of the proportionality index is semi-automatic system because the sample of the word is displayed in the window of the screen and the operator will mark on the zoomed window, the interest points using the mouse. This process will be automated in future works.

The selection of the points is done with the most representative sites as they can be the ascending ones, descendant, terminations, etc.

Then, it is united the marked points (to see figure 3); each line formed between two points is considered as a segment. Next, we measured the length of each segment obtaining a list of lengths.

Using this list, we calculated the average length and its variance, obtaining proportionality indexes.
5 CLASSIFICATION AND RESULTS

The identification can be seen as a problem of classification of N classes, in our case N writers. There are two variations of interest when are compared the samples: about the writing of a same writer and between the writings of two different writers. The variation of a writer among their own samples should be smaller than the variation among samples of two different writers.

In order to give solution to this problem, the methodology of the used identification was independent supervised classification. Therefore, we have a system with two modes, training and test mode.

For the training, we have used the 50% of our database, and the remainder to carry out the test mode. That is, five samples have been chosen to training and other five for the test, since we have 10 samples for each writer. Besides, a total of 34 words have been extracted from paragraphs, and there will be 34 words by sample.

Like our parameters depend on words used and its writer, we have used the same word for this process; in particular, we have used a set of 34 words from the paragraph of 15 lines. But, the samples set for training and test mode of these 34 words are different, being obtained from 10 different samples of each writer. Therefore, this system works with a close set of words (34 words).

In order to calculate the characteristics, we have used 170 words (34 x 5 samples/writer) on the training process. The criterion of selection to choose the previous 34 words was their length, mayor of 5 letters, because with this length, they offer information more general than a word with a shorter size.

Experiments have been carried out in five times, for which the results are shown by their averaged rate and their standard deviation (see table 1). In each time, the training and test samples were chosen randomly, with an independent training and test of sample.

As classifier, we have used a Feed-Forward Neural Network (NN) with a Back-propagation algorithm for training (Bishop, 1995) (Juang et al., 1992), where the number of input neurons is given by the dimension of the vector of features wit 374 parameters (11 parameter x 34 words). And the number of output neurons is given by the number of writers to identify.

Too, we have researched with different number of neurons in the hidden layer, and finally, 180 neurons were used, because they have presented the better results. The average success rate for recognition is 93.02 %, with a standard deviation of 0.83. But this result was improved using the method of the ‘more voted’ algorithm, where we have built a schedule with 10 neural networks (see figure 4), and we have reached a recognition rate of 96 %, with a standard deviation of 0. Those results can be observed on the following table.

Table 1: Comparison of results without new features vs. with new features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classical features</td>
<td>81.08%</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical Features+Cohesion</td>
<td>89.28%</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical Features+Cohesion+Axis</td>
<td>93.02%</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical Features+Cohesion+Axis and using ‘More voted’ Algorithm</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Classification System with ‘more voted’ algorithm, based on NN.

Table 2: Comparison of results among different published methods vs. our work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Number of writers</th>
<th>Success Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Said et al., 1998)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>95.00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zois et al., 2000)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>92.50 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Martí et al., 2001)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90.70 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Srihari et al., 2001)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>82.00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hertel et al., 2003)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90.70 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bensefia et al., 2005)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>86.00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Schomaker et al., 2004)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95.00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Romero et al., 2007)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>94.66 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>This present work</strong></td>
<td><strong>70</strong></td>
<td><strong>96.00 %</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is a difficult to do a comparison between the different references, because each one uses a
different database. Therefore, in the table 2 is showed the number of writer and its success. For this present work has been obtained a better success rate with more writers. In the future works, we are working on the increase of our database and the creation of novel and discriminative parameter.

6 CONCLUSIONS

For Graphologist or Forensic Experts, the combined features (classical and novel graphologist parameters) contribute more reliable information in order to identify a person.

Therefore in this work, we have developed a combined parameterization between classical and novel graphologist characteristics, in order to be used in the writer identification from handwriting documents. In fact, the use of these novel parameters has improved the classical system.

We have used a back-propagation NN for the classification. And in order to improve results, we are implemented a ‘more voted’ algorithm. The success rate is 96% for 70 writers.

The experiments carried out with our database to evaluate the power of discrimination of the implemented characteristics, it is allowing us to show the considerable increase of the success rate with all the developed characteristics.
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