TYPICAL PROBLEMS WITH DEVELOPING MOBILE APPLICATIONS FOR HEALTH CARE
Some Lessons Learned from Developing User-centered Mobile Applications in a Hospital Environment
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Abstract: This paper provides an overview of the experiences gained during the design, development and implementation of mobile applications for use within the clinical domain. Current problems and issues that arose during the development of the software are documented and discussed. Medical professionals' opinions, both medical doctors and nurses, and their input were coupled with front end development (user interface design) and back end development (software engineering) to decide on the most optimum development path and to select the most appropriate environments. Most of all, this project can be seen as a further example that User-Centered Development (UCD) is necessary, however it is not sufficient when developing mobile, cross-platform, and future-proof applications for medicine and health care.

1 INTRODUCTION

During the past few years, improvements in the technology of touch screens, further miniaturization, lower power consumption, and longer battery life has made it possible to design and produce better mobile computers, tablet PCs, and small mobile devices such as PDAs or smart phones. The market for such mobile computing devices is rapidly expanding whilst at the same time the technological performance of these devices is steadily increasing. (Antinicsa Di & Cecilia, 2007). In this paper we concentrate on discussing the lessons learned during the development of specific user-centered software for tablet PCs and define the tablet PC as our mobile computing device (Prey & Weaver, 2007). However, although mobile computers have been available for a relatively long time in hospitals (Forman & Zahorjan, 1994), different studies show that health care professionals are reluctant to use poorly designed mobile systems, as the patient care workload is very time constrained and can be extremely hectic (Brekk a, 1995), (Holzinger & Errath, 2007). Mobile computer user satisfaction is certainly an issue and this has not been researched extensively (Ozok et al., 2008). Obviously, all aspects of Human–Computer Interaction (HCI) and Usability Engineering (UE) are of growing relevance, and must be especially considered when in the process of developing software for medical or health care purposes (Holzinger, 2007). This has resulted in a set of commonly accepted development practices, such as User-Centered Development (UCD) (Holzinger, Searle & Nischelwitzen, 2007), (Holzinger, Sammer & Hofmann-Wellenhof, 2006).

Although such considerations are important, we must accept that for an application to work well, all aspects must be taken into account, and there is the potential danger that HCI professionals and usability engineers tend to discount the underlying aspects of software engineering (SE) (Thimbleby, 2007).
2 MOBILITY IN HEALTHCARE

There are several areas of healthcare where mobile computers are necessary. On top of this, each area has its own unique requirements. In order to differentiate between them, we form two distinct sections: a) Round Assistance, which consists of help, or assistance, that a doctor might require when doing routine “rounds” of the wards to check the patients’ progress, etc.; and b) On-call Assistance, which consists of help or assistance that a doctor may need while on call-duty. These are not routine check-ups on patients; rather they are performed as and when deemed necessary by medical professionals who are on call-duty.

Two main clinical situations exist which determine whether or not a Patient Data Management System (PDMS) is used in the unit. Again, this depends on a number of factors. Wards that use such PDMSs face specific problems (Junger et al., 2001).

However, any pros of automatic data storage are also faced with cons: a) overloading the medical professional with data b) communication difficulties that occur with patient details. In both of the above cases, these problems will ensure that the future worth of mobile applications is secured.

All PDMSs have basic operation centers, which are generally PCs that are within a distance of two to three meters from the patient. There, the majority of the medical operations are performed (data observation, decision finding, drug prescription). Due to the huge amount of data available to the medical professional using the PDMS, it is difficult to gain a quick overview of the patient’s situation. Details can be found within the PDMS, but overviews are difficult to get. Switching between different patient details on the same computer/screen requires a lot of time and is actually not especially helpful.

One solution to this problem may be a set of two to three screens, on which data is displayed. Another solution would, of course, be the use of a mobile device, which communicates with the PDMS (via WLAN, for example).

A problem which is often encountered when doing rounds on the medical wards is that any discussion of a patient’s medical needs is often centered on the PC display belonging to the patient. Most often, one person sits while others stand around in a circle in order to view the display. This situation presents several problems, not least the difficulty in seeing smaller details on the screen (which does, of course, depend on the number of persons within group) and, furthermore, the situation is conducive to a communicative environment. An optimum solution to this problem would be to use mobile devices, which would aid communication and ease data visualization.

PDMSs are often located within intensive care units; units with standard care patients do not have PDMSs (this is due to the fact that in this case monitoring data does not have to be stored continuously). In such circumstances mobile applications could be used as information servers during a round (displaying laboratory data, etc.). Here mobile applications are essential.

In both the above scenarios the mobile device has to have a screen size and display resolution suitable for graphical data presentation. Therefore only tablet PCs or laptops are appropriate. Due to hospital budget shortages, duties-on-call have become more common. In such a situation a senior doctor is available by phone. Data presentation using mobile applications eases decision finding and is beneficial to the senior doctor. In such cases, mobile devices such as PDAs or smart phones may also be appropriate. However, this is true only in very specific circumstances.

3 METHODS AND MATERIALS

Within our project the prototype of a mobile system for visualizing a patient’s overall status during ward rounds was developed for the intensive care unit of the department of neonatology at Graz University Hospital, which is amongst the largest in Europe.

An automatic patient monitoring system stores a huge amount of various data at fixed intervals of 15 minutes for each patient. The measured data consists of vital signs, administered medications, expulsions, and so on.

The problem for the medical professionals was twofold: 1) The system’s user interface for viewing and analyzing the data is, however, very cluttered and containing extreme large amount of data in a unstructured way. Although a graphical timeline plot is provided, doctors often have to analyse the raw numeric data. The average time spent on the analysis of one patient is between 5 and 15 minutes, depending on the doctor’s experience. 2) The system is non mobile, requiring the medical professionals to proceed to the stationary PC’s.

The aim of our project was not only to provide mobility but also to significantly reduce the time spent on information perception, so the raw data only has to be analyzed if the patient is not in a good
condition. This is accomplished by providing instant visual feedback about the patient’s status using a combination of star plots and traffic light metaphor.

3.1 Device

The device used for the prototype was an LE1600 tablet PC by Motion Computing, which supports stylus and finger input. Extensive experiments about the differences between finger versus stylus input have already been undertaken (Holzinger et al., 2008b). Table 1 contains the technical specifications of this device.

Table 1: Technical specifications of the LE1600.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPU</th>
<th>Intel Pentium M at 1.6 GHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>1 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display size</td>
<td>12.1” XGA LCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display dimensions</td>
<td>247 mm x 186 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display resolution</td>
<td>1024 px x 768 px</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard disk size</td>
<td>60 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>1.4 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical dimensions</td>
<td>296 mm x 240 mm x 18.7 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Software

The software was written using Java 1.5 and the Swing user interface toolkit, where we had quite positive experiences from former projects (Holzinger et al., 2008a). Basically, services should be adapted at runtime to the features of the device. Also, end users should at any time specify that services are delivered to match certain parameters. For example, end users may request that an image be printed while specifying a particular resolution, format and/or number of colours (Stefano, Claudia & Luigi, 2007).

3.2.1 Visualization Front-end

The front end is based on so called “visualization modules”. Each module is created and configured by the medical doctor. It reflects a subset of the available data, containing interrelated values. The doctor chooses which values are contained within the module. The module “Circulation” for example would contain heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, etc. For each of these values the medical doctor sets three intervals for defining the alarm states of good, mediocre and bad. Each module has an overall alarm state, which can too be good, mediocre or bad. This overall alarm state is defined by the individual values’ alarm states.

If, for example, value A is bad, the overall alarm state of the module becomes bad too. In the visualization the alarm state is represented using the traffic light metaphor, i.e. the background of the star plot is filled with green, yellow or red.

3.2.2 Database Interface

The database in question was an Oracle 8i database, for which there are JDBC drivers available. Java Database Connectivity is an API (Application Programming Interface) which allows database-independent connectivity between the Java programming language and a wide range of databases, including Oracle 8i (Oracle, 2008).

Oracle have available a JDBC compliant driver for this database which allowed for relatively quick development of the interface between the Java program and the patient data.

Of course, speed was also an issue – without good response times the software would not be useful as a way of accessing data quickly and easily. However, in this regard JDBC and Oracle perform extremely well. Database and driver support, therefore, was not a technological issue when developing the application; however it was a factor which played a role in deciding which programming language to chose. It is also worth pointing out that were the JDBC drivers not available, this would have led to an extremely long development cycle and may not have been possible at all.

This is an absolutely crucial aspect that must be considered when developing mobile applications that access an external database: your programming language/platform/database combination must have:

a) the ability to perform the required task and, less obviously,

b) should have available quality drivers and libraries to ease development.

4 LESSONS LEARNED

4.1 General

As already mentioned in the introduction, mobile devices have increased in capability by many factors over the past few years, in terms of both features, such as integrated cameras, and raw processing power. Coupled with the fact that mobile devices now contain many of the attributes that constitutes a PC, several software development platforms have become available by various vendors, most notably...
Google, Microsoft, and Sun Microsystems, among others. However, their usefulness in the area of medicine must be discussed.

Interestingly, the proliferation of mobile phones, and jointly, the popularity of games for mobile phones have cemented Java’s position as the environment of choice for developing mobile applications for small devices.

Having said this, developing applications in the area of medicine requires that a platform inhibits far different attributes than those platforms used to develop mobile games. In this section, we discuss the problems, and currently available solutions for developing real-world, cross-platform solutions for mobile devices.

4.2 Currently Available Cross Platform Development Environments

In the classical development world, if you wish to develop a piece of software you must usually first decide on the device on which you will develop your application. Palm, for example, offer an SDK for their devices which eases the development of applications. Therefore, software developed for Palm devices can only be run on Palm OS.

Limiting yourself to one single platform seriously diminishes your potential market when selling your software, or, in the case of the medical profession, may demand redevelopment (if, for example, a device or platform is no longer available when inventory is recycled). Cross-platform development, in many respects, eases this as you can develop software that runs on any device where the runtime environment is available (Bishop & Horspool, 2006).

Since Java’s philosophy of “write once, run anywhere” was incepted, several vendors have delivered cross platform languages.

Microsoft has developed .NET, and its Micro Edition competes with Java’s Mobile Edition in the mobile domain. A new player in this area is Google, who, along with 30 other technology companies, is currently touting the Android platform (Android, 2008). If a doctor or medical professional were to carry a device with them at all times, it would suffice to say that the device must just be portable. Tablet PCs have the advantage of having high system resources, large screens, and most run the ubiquitous Windows operating system.

On Windows, there are any number of cross-platform development environments that one could choose from, varying from the obvious, to the slightly more abstract yet equally capable alternatives (such as Adobe’s Air, and Microsoft’s Silverlight).

4.3 Java SDK

Creating game applications using the Java SDK seems to be the de facto way of producing applications for small devices, but here we must judge its worth as a platform for more serious application development.

A number of aspects of the Java SDK were analysed. For example, Java has been known to render fonts very poorly on screen, and has been a topic of discussion for quite some time, with various workarounds and techniques available to cure the problem. Another severe restriction is the missing support for floating point numbers in MIDP (Mobile Information Device Profile) versions prior to 2.0, though there exist third party workarounds for this problem. So long as the mobile device is MIDP 2 compliant, this is no longer an issue, however this is something which must be ascertained before development begins and could potentially be an issue in the future lifecycle of your software.

4.4 Alternatives to Java - AJAX

AJAX is a term used to describe a number of currently available technologies that when combined form a framework with which you can build desktop-like applications for the web (Turner & Wang, 2007).

The often touted examples are Google’s AJAX applications such as Gmail, Calendar or Maps – they allow drag & drop, ‘refreshless’ updating of information, and offer a desktop like and feel.

Of course, being AJAX applications, they can run on any supported browser such as Firefox, Safari, or Internet Explorer, eliminating the need for the developer to worry about which operating system the user is running, as long as the operating system itself supports the browser. This has the added advantage that most of the high-end technology can reside on a server rather than on the user’s device. An AJAX application can therefore access an Oracle database, without the programmer having to worry about Oracle drivers being available for their framework/operating system combination.

One of AJAX’s advantages stems from the very fact that it was conceived as an internet platform – it is geared towards users implementing a point and click device rather than a keyboard. This bodes well for small devices, as input is generally carried out.
using a stylus rather than a keyboard. Using Google Maps on a traditional PC, for example, it is possible to find your street and house without having to input a single character into the keyboard (assuming some knowledge of geography). Creating applications, therefore, using AJAX geared towards mobile devices that utilize styli should demand no extra effort of the part of the programmer; in fact the inverse is true – it should be more instinctive to generate applications that do not require keyboard input.

4.5 Java Limitations

Java’s Runtime Environment (i.e. the Java Virtual Machine) is not platform independent. It is simply a runtime which is available on a (diminishing) number of platforms. Its source code, however, is platform independent, but this is also true of C/C++ code, often referenced as being platform specific. Consider the following example in Java:

```java
for (int i = 1; i <= 10; i++)
{
    System.out.println("Number: "+i);
}
```

And the following code written in C++:

```cpp
for(int i = 1; i <= 10; i++)
{   
cout << "Number: " << i << endl;
}
```

Both these source codes are transferable between platforms. The C++ code requires that a compiler is available for the platform that you want to run your code on, but the same is true of Java – it requires that a Java VM is available on the machine you wish to run your code on.

The main difference is that the compiled output is not transferable, but the code is. Of course, programs are far more complicated than this, and even primitive types, such as integers, vary in size from C++ compiler to C++ compiler. And Java’s compiled output is transferable from one platform to another, without even the need to recompile, something impossible to achieve with a C++ compiled application. However, this still begs the question: is Java useful as a platform in the medical domain where usage on mobile or small devices is a must? The authors thinks so. While Java’s Virtual Machine is available only for Windows, Mac OS and Linux/UNIX, these are only operating systems available for tablet PCs as of the time of writing. It also seems very unlikely that another operating system will appear in the foreseeable future.

One more thing to consider, however, is that Java is not available for Windows Mobile or Palm (discontinued since the 12th of January, 2008). It is therefore the author’s opinion that AJAX could still be considered the most optimal solution in creating cross platform applications. However, it is unlikely that PDAs have the required resolution and screen size required to view patient information effectively. Of course, that is not to say AJAX cannot run on tablet PCs, this is certainly what AJAX was designed to do. Consider also the quickly changing medical field – AJAX applications when run, by definition, are always up to date (this, however, is also true of Java’s Webstart). Therefore, ruling out AJAX altogether would be foolish, it certainly has its niche, but perhaps not in the medical domain, or rather not in our specific area of patient care in the medical field.

4.6 .NET

Microsoft’s .NET framework is as platform independent as Java, in the sense that the runtime can be ported to any platform. Currently Microsoft only supplies a runtime for the Windows line of operating systems, but because Microsoft submits the specification for the Common Language Infrastructure to both ECMA and ISO, it is an open standard. Therefore, it is possible for third parties to create implementations of the framework on other platforms. This is currently the goal of the Mono project, which aims to port the framework to Linux. However, for our requirements in the medical domain, we required a far more concrete implementation, and Java officially releases several versions of its framework, something that ruled out .NET at this time for our purposes.

Again, inter-platform operability was an absolute requirement for us, as potentially many different machines and platforms would be using the software across the university hospital, and because we have no control over what devices the hospital purchases for its medical professionals.

4.7 Chosen Platform

The decision was made to opt for Java as the language of choice. The medical domain demands unique considerations that eventually ruled out most platforms that are currently available. Several years from now, .NET may be a contender, and AJAX was certainly a consideration. However, AJAX lacks the maturity and robustness required for the purposes of this project, and Java’s large library meant
development could be performed as rapidly as possible. It can be seen that the field of medicine demands far more considerations when developing a piece of software. Everything must be considered, from screen resolution, platform availability, speed, portability, library capability, and supported technologies. Java’s maturity, concrete standards (such as the JDBC API) and wide ranging third party support makes it the choice for medical software development.

5 CONCLUSIONS

It is clear that special considerations must be made when developing applications in the medical domain, especially if these applications should be platform independent, future proof, and mobile. There are a plethora of frameworks, environments, and programming languages available, each with their own specific advantages and disadvantages but only some are suitable for the medical domain. By reading this paper, it should be possible to save anyone a lot of research and work if you they are considering writing a cross platform, portable application in the medical domain. Almost all considerations were taken into account, from screen resolution, doctors’ wishes, language suitability, and operating system capability. By working close to medical professionals, UI experts, and software engineers, it was possible to ascertain what special considerations must be taken into account when working in this field. By analysing these considerations, a number of concrete factors could be defined which eventually led to development path and programming environment that was chosen.
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