Keywords: Human-Computer Interaction, Reverse engineering, Workflow, Cooperative methodology.

Abstract: Reverse engineering has arisen as a fundamental alternative in all reengineering processes. Its objective is to recover design specifications and workflows (WF) to construct a representation of the system with a high degree of abstraction. This paper describes the basic aspects of the EXINUS tool, enabling the generation of process specifications and user interfaces in an organisation or business. The main advantage is the possibility of modelling specifications of both the organisation’s current status and new methods generated in the system. We also propose a cooperative work system in which users participate in system development, using the advantages of the proposed tool. This methodology provides a high degree of reliability in the development of the new system, creating competitive advantages for the organisation by reducing times and costs in the generation of the information system (IS).

1 INTRODUCTION

Due to the rapid evolution of computer systems, the appearance of new platforms and the logical needs of future workflows, many systems either become aged or at least require maintenance to adapt them to organisations’ needs (Champy, J., Hammer, M., 1994).

Software maintenance is not always possible, due to multiple difficulties, such as (Chikofsky E. and Cross J., 1990):

- Size and storage space constraints.
- The tools with which the system was created are no longer used.
- The changes made to the information system to adapt or improve it have made it less consistent with current specifications.
- Impossibility of contacting with the engineers who developed the system.
- Having used a traditional software engineering method based on supposedly correct specifications.

One solution for the problem is the use of reengineering and reverse engineering techniques (Briand, L. C., Y. Labiche and Y. Miao., 2003), examining and reconstructing the system in order to guarantee satisfactory communications between the users and the experts.

We propose involving the user in system design and analysis, to adapt the specifications to current requirements. This paper provides a description of the EXINUS (Extraction of Information from the User) tool, the purpose of which is to support and help both users and experts to obtain specifications of the system’s external design and to define the workflows or functions involved.

In section 2, we study the basic aspects of the tools, justifying the need for the interface to be the focal point of the description. Section 3 approaches the language to be used to describe the interfaces automatically obtained from the user’s interaction with the tool. This is followed by a description of the language used to model the workflows involved in the interface processes. Section 5 contains an example and, finally, section 6 contains our conclusions and shows the present state of
development of the tool and the work to be completed in the future.

2 BASIC ASPECTS OF THE EXINUS TOOL

The primary objective of the EXINUS tool is to help end users and experts to obtain appropriate specifications with which to obtain a new system that satisfies users’ needs. It is a cooperative tool based on the following principles:

• Users have most information about the IS; they are aware of its functionalities, where there is room for improvement and what will be required in the near future.

• Ease of use so that users become involved in the process.

• Cooperation of users to attain a common objective, sharing information between them.

• Obtaining specifications which can be exported to a system development environment.

The use of reverse engineering techniques as part of the reengineering process is fundamental for obtaining the conceptualisation of the IS, enabling us to obtain the system design and workflow specifications required for a representation with a high degree of abstraction, for a better quality IS with more efficiency, correction, usability, etc. (Woods, S., Carriere, S.J., Kazman, R., 1999).

Reengineering is a solution to the problem of remodelling an application. These techniques, however, although they obtain high quality applications with major advantages, can generate programs which do not fully satisfy the user’s requirements (Leiva, J.L., J.L. Caro, A. Guevara, 2006). Therefore we suggest that the user should provide the information required to obtain the necessary present and future interface design and workflow specifications. The method is based on providing the user with the simple tools required to create an external (interfaces) and internal (workflows) of the IS, so that users are involved in the development of the new system.

EXINUS is based on a model enabling the specification of workflows on several levels: management level (use of primitives), automation (XML language) and demonstrasion (temporal modal logic) (Caro, J.L., Guevara A, Aguayo A., Leiva J.L., 2004).

2.1 User Interfaces as a Descriptive Focal Point

End users find it easy to understand and describe the processes they run on each of the interfaces they use, so user interfaces are a focal point of our method, aimed at obtaining as much information as possible.

One of the characteristics of EXINUS is that it exports the specifications obtained in a language with a high degree of abstraction.

There are currently different types of language for describing interfaces and processes. Their primary objective is to provide a simple description of the structure of the interface, with a high level of abstraction, so that this specification can be used to generate the final user interface.

We have conducted a study of different languages, including AAIML, AUIML, UML, XIML, XUL and XFORMS (Azevedo, P, Merrik, R. Roberts, D., 2000) and concluded that we need a language with the following characteristics:

• It must be able to describe the interfaces and processes involved.

• It must enable the definition of systems designed in different environments, regardless of the platform, programming language, etc.

• It must clearly distinguish elements from the previous and future system. In other words, it must be capable of defining interfaces and processes of both the present system and the system to be constructed.

• It must be flexible enough to enable us to generate prototypes in any programming language.

Figure 1 shows how users participate in the design process and how the focal point of our model (Leiva, J.L., 2003) is the interface, from which not only do we obtain specifications of the interfaces themselves but also of the functions that the user performs between them.

Each user involved in the project can define and design different interfaces, with some of them being shared by several users, so the tool has to allow for cooperative work (Arenas, M.A, Guevara, A., Caro, J.L., Leiva, J.L., 2007), enabling one user to consult and change other users’ forms providing he/she has the appropriate permission to do so.

Each interface designed by the user defines a set of processes which can be basic (pertaining to the designed object) and grouped on different levels. In turn, processes can belong to one or several interfaces (Leiva, J.L., 2003).
2.2 Basic Features of the Tool

Following is a general description of the main features provided by the EXINUS tool, showing how powerful it is. Its basic features include:

- Creation of engineering/reengineering projects.
- Creation of work teams, grouped on levels.
- Creation-Editing of user interfaces, defined both by the user himself/herself or by other users.
- Creation of workflows on different levels.
- Grouping of workflows from a lower level on a higher level.
- Generation of specifications.
- Obtaining XML files for interfaces.
- Obtaining XPDL files for processes.

To restructure the system, it is essential to compile information provided by the user, based on the interface and process models.

Once the external appearance of the interface has been designed, the data related to its functionality is collected in order to obtain the required workflow diagrams.

Besides creating forms, the tool enables the creation of tasks aimed at grouping together the interfaces involved in the same process. The tasks can be described when the design of an interface is completed.

For users to describe different interfaces, they are supplied with an easy-to-use toolbar with different objects (text fields, labels, lists, checkboxes, option buttons, command buttons, etc.).

The environment is attractive enough for all users to actively participate. Each defined object will have a series of properties, some related to their external appearance (size, colour, position) and others with their individual behaviour (for instance, a given command button remains disabled until a certain value is entered in given text fields, etc.)

Each time the user performs an operation on the form, he/she is providing information of different kinds. Part of this information is automatically used by EXINUS to change styles, fonts, positions, and so on, and the other interacts with the tool’s assistants.

In any event, the use of assistants is optional, although advisable, for end users.

The assistant is easy to use and obtains all that is required to define the interface’s specifications and the basic tasks related to the defined objects.

3 LANGUAGES FOR THE DEFINITION OF INTERFACES AND OBJECTS

The EXINUS tool is based on a set of languages oriented towards the representation of process and interface requirements. We now go on to describe the storage structure used by EXINUS. Each project or IS is determined by a structure referencing both the users involved in the project and the interfaces it contains. Each interface is determined by a file containing properties organised in a structure based on XML, characterised by a high level of abstraction. The following structure is used to store project data:

```
<EXINUS>
  <ID>123</ID>
  <NAME>Project Name</NAME>
  <PROJECTS>
    <PROJECT>
      <TITLE>Project Title</TITLE>
      <PROJECT_OWNER>Owner Name</PROJECT_OWNER>
      <INTERFACES>
        <INTERFACE>
          <NAME>Interface Name</NAME>
          <PROPERTY/>
          ...
        </INTERFACE>
        ...
      </INTERFACES>
    </PROJECT>
    ...
  </PROJECTS>
</EXINUS>
```

Figure 2: XML structure of a project.

After the information about the users involved, the defined interfaces are identified. When a project is created, a structure is generating indicating the name, the owner of the project, the users with access to the project and the interfaces. It only stores references to the user interfaces in the system, as there will be an XML file with the properties of each interface.

```
<INTERFACE>
  <NAME>Interface Name</NAME>
  <PROPERTY/>
  ...
</INTERFACE>
```

Figure 3: XML structure of a form.
This structure includes general information about the interface (name, description, etc.) to subsequently define each of the objects involved and their attributes. The internal appearance of a form’s description can be divided into two different parts: part defining the properties of the interface and part defining the components of the interface.

4 LANGUAGE FOR THE REPRESENTATION OF WORKFLOWS

Once the external appearance and intrinsic functionalities of each of the described objects has been defined, the tool has to obtain information about workflows in order to define their specifications. It has to distinguish between the workflows in the present IS and the changed or new workflows in the new system (Leiva, Caro, Guevara, 2006). The user can define two types of task: performed on several interfaces or performed on a single interface.

Figure 4: Task architecture of EXINUS.

The core of the tool is the definition of tasks in ‘bottom-up’ mode, based on basic or intrinsic tasks of the form’s objects, which are grouped in others on a higher level. For each form designed, the tool shows the set of primitive tasks related to the objects used in the design. The end user this groups tasks into others on a higher level (Figure 4) and so on.

The following figures show the primitives (Leiva, JL., Caro, JL., Guevara A., 2006) which will form part of the workflow diagrams obtained with the tool:

Figure 5: Workflows description primitives.

Following are the characteristics of each of the primitives which will form part of the workflow diagrams generated with EXINUS:

- Workflow component: each workflow represents a sub-task which forms part of the workflow. The flows represented with dotted lines (c and d) show that this flow is not in the present system but required in the future; double line flows (b and d) show that the task is primitive and not divided into more sub-tasks, whereas single line workflows (a and b) can be broken down. Continuous line flows (a and b) are current workflows.

- Interface transition: this component is used to indicate the changeover from one interface to another. In the transition, there may be a parameter step, either input (E), output (S) or both (E/S).

- Object: representation of each of the objects forming part of the interface. The description mat involve a list of preconditions and/or post-conditions which must be met before and/or after the appearance of said object on the interface.

- Workflows of an object: represented in the same way as the previous workflows, except that we use an ellipse instead of a box.

- Start and end: start and end of the workflow.

- Alternation and junction of workflows: these components indicate the possibility of running several workflows simultaneously. A basic workflow is divided into several sub-flows, of which one or several may be run.

- Division and junction of workflows: these constructors refer to the parallel running of workflows, creating new sub-flows of undetermined duration which will at some time become synchronised by the junction constructor.

- Optional status of workflows: this component runs one of the flows, depending on the result of predicate P.

- Repetition block: set of workflows which will be repeated as long as condition P is met.
An XML structure is defined for each workflow, to store a lot of properties as type, name, mode, description, objective, clients, describers, interfaces, resources, code, …

As we mentioned earlier, in the definition of the interface the system creates tasks automatically, so in EXINUS, when the user is going to define a workflow, the existing tasks must be taken into account. Figure 6 shows how the user can select the tasks forming part of another task on a higher level from a list of basic tasks.

5 ANALYSIS OF A FORM USING THE EXINUS TOOL

This section includes an example showing how, with the help of the user, the flow diagram can be obtained with the proposed method.

The example shows how a system user performs a search to book a hotel in a given city. Using a simple interface creation assistant and a set of tools, the user involved in the system maintenance process designs the forms he or she regularly uses in the present system, changing its characteristics and creating forms for the future system. In this practical case, the user designs the form shown in figure 7:

Figure 7: Form designed in EXINUS for hotel booking in a central reservation system.

While the user creates the form, the EXINUS tool creates interface definition structures. For each object that the user defined on the form, external characteristics and inherent primitive tasks can be defined. Also, each created object has a very important attribute determining whether the object:

- Is present in the current system and will remain in the future system.
- Is not in the current system but will be included in the future system.
- Is not in the current system, but will be included in the future system replacing other objects from the current system.

Once the form has been designed, it has to be associated to processes. The principal workflow in our example is Hotel Booking process. The workflow is triggered manually by the user upon receipt of a customer’s request for a hotel booking or by another event such as an e-mail message from the customer, requesting information.

Figure 8: Hotel Booking workflow.

The above diagram shows how the end user proceeds to make a hotel booking:

- Propose type of booking: he/she indicates the type of room, type of accommodation, arrival and departure date.
- He/she searches for hotels satisfying the requirements entered in the previous task.
- The user sees a new interface with a list of hotels meeting the desired requirements. The end user decides to close the process if there is nothing available or make a query if there are several possibilities, to find which is the best.
- If there is nothing suitable available, he will end the process, but if there is, he/she will perform the task of confirming the reservation (it is important to observe the type of primitive used, as this task is not primitive and will also be broken down into other sub-tasks), thus completing the HOTEL BOOKING process.

Figures 9 show how the tool generates this type of diagram, together with complete specifications of both the forms and the workflows, this showing information about tasks on different levels: from the highest to the primitive (primitive tasks) level.
The specifications can be divided into several levels:

- General information about the project
- Information about the users and forms involved and the tasks performed in the system.
- Information about each interface and its components.
- Information about the highest level workflows.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a method for cooperative software maintenance enabling the use of the knowledge of system users, who can clearly and precisely identify aspects which can be improved (related to the user interface and workflows) in the information systems they operate.

The main contribution is the EXINUS tool, the main characteristic of which is its ease-of-use and the quality of the specifications it generates.

If the end users and experts are capable of joining forces to automate operations with this tool, they will attain their objectives more efficiently.

In the future, we intend to include new functionalities in EXINUS, making task description and management even easier, with the work process definitions created by experts compatible with the processed designed by users.
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