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Abstract: According to the requirements of our ongoing research on algorithms of robot vision and manipulation, we present a newly developed simulation framework for mobile robot environments called SIMORE. A dynamic 3D environment has been created in which simulated robots, sensors and actors can be manipulated. Multiple methods to operate a robot are provided including control by manual input devices, graphical user interface and program commands. The interface to the simulator is transparent so that the control commands can be directly transferred to the real hardware platform after successful simulation tests. In addition to the 3D graphics engine the simulator has a physics engine to guarantee a correct physical behavior. The modeling of all objects (visual and dynamic) can be done in modeling software. Simulations can run either in an offline mode, in which actions are predefined, or in an online mode, where an operator can directly manipulate the simulated system by manual input devices. The simulation framework is designed to be modular and flexible in order to allow future extensions and enhancements such as inclusion of additional sensors.

1 INTRODUCTION

In many technical areas the need for simulation environments is increasing. Different applications are realized in several fields such as virtual manufacturing (VM) (Chen et al., 2007), military aspects (Wang et al., 2005), training (Aragon and Hearst, 2005) and entertainment (Zyda, 2005). The mentioned references present only some of the numerous examples for simulation applications.

The usage of virtual environments for simulating mobile robot platforms provides several advantages: Virtual environments have – compared to the real world – almost no limitation to the number of used robots and the complexity of the environment. Furthermore, they offer more debugging capabilities and the ability to switch off disturbing effects such as sensor inaccuracies during development which allows the developer to concentrate on the main problem. Additionally, the virtual environment provides ground truth data for all sensor measurements.

Another aspect is the possibility to test validity and performance of complex robot vision algorithms without any risk of damage or even destruction of the system in case of an incorrect operation. Thus, we get the opportunity to evaluate action-perception-cycles in the virtual environment (VE) for efficiency and safety before running them on a real hardware platform.

One of the main characteristics of SIMORE is the creation of robot models via the 3D modeling software 3D Studio Max (3ds max, Autodesk, 2006)). We can set up collision detection by creating collision objects in addition to virtual objects. The creation of sensors which can be set to the robots in modeling software is one of the major tasks in our research. At runtime, a physics engine provides the base for collision detection, dynamics and sensor computation.

The advantage of the virtual environment is the reproducibility of scenes with manually adjustable complexity regarding the number and appearance of objects so that different vision algorithms can be benchmarked with completely identical input scenes. So, the possibility of comparing algorithms yields a new aspect in the field of machine vision.

Finally, mobile platforms are usually limited resources in a research group, but with a simulation environment we can create a multitude of robots which
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can be used for evaluation purposes of students’ or researchers’ work in progress. Additionally, the proposed simulation environment offers a good possibility in education to deepen theoretical knowledge with practical problems.

In the next section we give a short overview of other robot simulators, whereas in section 3 we present the actual hardware platform which is modeled in the virtual environment. The simulation framework is the main topic in section 4 and we finish our contribution with some experimental results and their discussion.

2 RELATED WORK

In the research field of virtual environments a multitude of robotic simulators exists. In this section we will concentrate on the ones for mobile robot platforms with respect to the proposed framework.

The simulation environment Simulator Bob offers the most similarities to the proposed framework (Stellmann, 2003). Similar to SIMORE, a graphical user interface for manual control is realized. The different simulation scenarios are described in a XML format so that it is possible to create new models or combine other objects. The dynamics of the modeled platforms is considered, thus collisions cause an impact on the involved objects. Depending on the modeled system, different sensor readings can be displayed. But the main drawback in our context is the missing software interface. Thus, Simulator Bob can only be used for simulation purposes whereas no interaction except manual maneuvering is possible. Furthermore, the system is only available for a Windows operating system and it does not offer a graphical editor to modify the virtual scenes.

Another project is the Gazebo project of Robotics Research Lab at the University of Southern California. It is a multi-robot simulator for outdoor environments (Koenig and Howard, 2004) and is capable of simulating a population of robots, sensors and objects, but does so in a three-dimensional world. It generates both realistic sensor feedback and physically plausible interactions between objects as it includes an accurate simulation for rigid-body physics. Gazebo maintains a simple API (application programming interface) for the integration of newly modeled objects and is realized as a client server system. Thus, client programs can interact with the simulation environment over TCP/IP.

The open source project USARSim (Carpin et al., 2007; Balakirsky et al., 2006) is a simulator based upon the Unreal Engine 2.0. Its modeling and programming can be done by tools which are part of the engine. This project supports several sensors and robots implemented in the Unreal Script. It also provides the possibility to capture images from a vision sensor and to send these to an external application. Finally, it provides an interface for the Player client of the Gazebo project. Compared to our simulator the programming can be done by a script language. Furthermore, our API provides functions for evaluating camera images directly within the application.

Another simulation environment for mobile systems is called Webots. This is a commercially available product of Cyberbots (Michel, 2004). It offers similar functionalities as Simulator Bob such as libraries for different sensors (distance, light, touch, encoders, cameras etc.) and actors (servos, differential wheel motors, LEDs, gripper, emitter etc.), an interactive manual interface to manipulate the scenes during simulation and a physics simulation based on the Open Dynamics Engine (ODE). Additionally, there is a possibility to integrate own algorithms in the simulator to evaluate them. After a successful simulation the control algorithms can be transferred to a real robot. Unfortunately, there is no software interface, so a user has to become acquainted with the simulator in detail to integrate newly implemented algorithms.

Darwin2K and OpenSim represent two open source robot simulators developed besides the focus of mobile robot platforms. Darwin2K was created at Carnegie Mellon University as a tool for evolutionary robotics (Leger, 2000), whereas OpenSim is mostly used for research into inverse kinematics of redundant manipulators with constraints for tool use (for environmental restoration and dismantlement tasks) and has some attractive features for constructing and debugging articulated joint chains. However, it does not have the capability to render realistic scenes and has only a limited set of simulated sensors.

Finally, we want to mention COSIMIR which is also a commercial package primarily designed for industrial simulation of work flows with robotic systems (Freund and Pensky, 2002). It offers advanced modeling and physical simulation capabilities, the ability to program movement in non-robotic models such as assembly lines and tools for analyzing the simulated systems.

3 THE TELE SENSORY ROBOT TSR

As a real model for a simulated mobile robot platform we have chosen the Tele Sensory Robot (TSR). This mobile platform is completely developed and set up
at our lab for research purposes in the field of tele robotics and autonomous robotics (Stemmer et al., 2003). Due to the fact that our framework is realized in a hierarchical manner the simulation is not limited to this robot. So an exchange of the robot platforms is easily possible.

The system consists of two principal components: the robot itself and an operator PC which acts as a man machine interface to realize an intuitive interaction with the radio controlled robot (see figure 1). To achieve a full immersion, the information transfer between the operator and the remote environment via the mobile platform and operator PC is of particular importance. Not only the data acquisition must be adapted to the human perception but a suitable presentation of the information is also necessary.

The robot comprises several sensors (e.g. a stereo camera and proximity sensors) and actuators (e.g. pan-tilt unit of the camera head). The acquired data is transmitted to the operator PC via different radio links.

3.1 Control Interfaces

As motivation for the design of the desired control interfaces in the simulation environment, we shortly present the interfaces for the TSR.

First, there is an intuitive man machine interface: the stereo images enhanced with further information are presented to the operator on a head mounted display (HMD). So, for the simulation framework we require a manual control interface and a head tracker. Furthermore, we have to display a virtual stereo image pair on the HMD.

Secondly, we have input devices for the control of the robot: a steering wheel and a joystick both with force feedback characteristic. These input devices transfer tactile information. In this way, vibrations of the robot or centrifugal forces as well as approaches to obstacles are directly transferred into force actions for the input devices, providing a deeper immersion of the operator and a more realistic driving situation. Thus, we need an interface for such manual input devices as well.

The aforementioned interfaces are required for the tele operated mode. In its autonomous mode, the robot’s sensor and actuators can be controlled by an action planning module. The robot is e.g. able to show visual attention while actively exploring the environment. For this purpose, we have to provide a software interface for controlling the virtual robot by another system such as an artificial attention model. First results are described in (Aziz et al., 2006) and (Shafig and Mertsching, 2007).

4 SIMULATION FRAMEWORK SIMORE

The goal of our simulation framework is to simulate robots and their environments with respect to the evaluation of image processing algorithms and navigation strategies. It is completely implemented in C++ and mostly independent from any operating systems except the 3ds max plug-ins. The performance depends on the used graphics card. Its object model is based on the principle of design patterns (Gamma et al., 1997). Its structure has a modular nature and the framework offers a simple API to an unskilled programmer for developing an external application based on a complex robotic scenario or a simple test image demand.

The SIMORE framework consists of several components which will be described in detail in this section. Figure 2 shows a functional diagram of these components. The simulation component represents the dynamic engine for collision detection and force based physics. The scene graph component encapsulates a scene graph and camera models. It is the 3D graphics engine which forms the base of the visualization component that provides the scene rendering and much more capabilities (e.g. image read back functionality of the camera sensor). Window system, API and scheduled events will be processed in the event handling. The API in context with possible applications is shown in figure 3.

On the basis of the simulation component the sensor handling calculates the values of all available sensors. The synchronization component keeps all other components synchronized and defines the time-base for the simulation.
coupling of various types described in the physics part. Some extra nodes for sensor and meta information have been included as well.

The enhancement of the existing scene graph allows us to rely on an existing library and an additional open source project that handles export from 3ds max called OSGExp. This project was extended with our nodes so that developers are able to edit whole scene data in 3ds max with physical and sensorial parameters. The NodeKit consist of three libraries, the main node kit library, an export-import library for OpenSceneGraph and finally an object library for 3ds max.

4.2 Dynamic Simulation

Simulating a dynamic scene means simulating the occurring physical processes next to the generation of virtual images. The necessary computations for this are accomplished by a physics engine. By means of such a simulation e.g., statements about the possible behavior of a robot in the real world can be met. For the physics simulation in SIMORE the Open Dynamics Engine is used which is an open source library for simulating rigid body dynamics (Smith, 2007). For providing and simulating a dynamic scene, ODE offers a large number of features such as the integration of different connecting joints, assigning masses and mass distributions, creating geometric hulls for collision detection and much more. In the following, we illustrate the various features using the virtual model of the TSR.

A typical scene generally consists of an accumulation of static (walls, cabinets, …) and dynamic objects (robot, balls, …). The starting point of the structure of such a scene is the model of a dynamic world and setting its global physical dimensions such as gravitation. The physical characteristics of an object are described in ODE by Bodies. A body contains information about the mass, the emphasis as well as information about its position and rotation in the virtual area. By effect of forces on a body, for example gravity, the dynamic behavior of the bodies can be calculated. After each simulation step the position of a body can be obtained to draw a 3D model at this place.

Further bodies can be connected among themselves by means of joints. Several different connecting joints are offered by ODE such as slider joints, which permit only a movement along a given axes, hinge joints for connections with one or two rotation axes, ball joints for rotations around all three axes or fixed joints for fixed connections between two rigid bodies. However, bodies contain no information about their geometrical structure. Thus no statement on a collision between two bodies can be made. This
The computation is fulfilled by the collision detection.

### 4.3 Collision Detection

Collision detection is a matter of recognizing the contact or the penetration of two bodies. In ODE, these bodies are called Geoms which describe the spatial form of an object. Geoms can be placed in a virtual space or can be assigned to bodies. The computation of collisions for complex geometric objects is a very time-consuming task. In order to keep the cost of computation as low as possible the geometries for the collision computation are simple primitives (e.g. box, sphere, cylinder, ...) in contrast to the complex graphical objects. As an example, we chose the computation of the tires as spheres because it is one of the fastest collision computations in ODE. In figure 4 the TSR model is presented in 3ds max as a visual representation with more than 5,000 polygons (solid model) and as collision model which consists of 15 primitives (wireframe model).

![Figure 4: Modeling of collision objects in 3ds max.](image)

In order to give the users a possibility to simply create their own physics model we implemented a 3ds max plug-in with which a given 3D model can be extended with physical components. Like the 3D model the physics model can be constructed by the GUI (graphic user interface) of 3ds max. The modeling of the physical objects is as simple as the modeling of 3ds max objects. Further information such as mass or friction can be specified with these objects. Finally, all objects will be connected to each other in a hierarchical model which can be exported to the OpenSceneGraph file format.

In figure 5 a few examples are shown that have been created in 3ds max and which can be exported to be used in the simulator (dynamic objects during collision, chained objects and objects with displaced center of gravity (skipjack)).

![Figure 5: Possible dynamic behaviors implemented in SIMORE.](image)

### 4.4 Camera Sensor Read Back

As mentioned before we use OpenSceneGraph for representing the hierarchical world model. In the scene graph and visualization components the scene graph is handled and used for generating sensor information and viewing images. One camera sensor for single view or two camera sensors for stereo view can be simulated by the camera perspectives from device views based on camera models. The different camera perspectives of the acting robots can be rendered into graphics memory and transferred afterwards into main memory or for later processing on hard disk (read back). Thus, it is possible to have different camera sensor views in the scene and a view from an independent perspective in the application window. In figure 6 an example for different views is presented. Furthermore, the stereo view which shows the visual information acquired by the robot platform has been changed by simple image processing algorithms.

For the realization of the read back functionality different methods can be implemented. Hardware accelerated offscreen rendering techniques such as frame buffer objects (FBO) and pixel buffers (PBuffer) can be easily used within OpenSceneGraph. These OpenGL extensions provide buffers which can act as render targets, e.g. textures (render-to-texture). The content of the textures can be read back and images can be created. PBuffers have a disadvantage because they depend on the used operation system and require a render context switch which reduces the performance significantly. Another way to read back images from the graphics memory, which we use in our framework, is to use the frame buffer of the application with multiple viewports. The different viewports are placed behind each other in the direction of their rendering order (from back to front) so that the camera sensor outputs can be read back immediately. Finally, the global view of the scene will be rendered into the main viewport which covers all other...
4.5 Additional Components

For testing navigation strategies or visual attention algorithms we will offer a plug-in interface that is at least time-based benchmarkable and can be attached to the sensor equipment. This interface will dynamically load the plug-in library and apply its processing methods on data events such as the next simulation step. By offering users a simple implementation method it should be possible to use the simulator for educational and scientific purposes.

The used physics library does not support transmission of motors and servos so we need a time-dependent controlling model for the simulator. This will be solved by having a controller model that allows the attachment of transform functions such as an output curve of a motor. External or internal inputs such as a motion API call or joystick will be transformed by this function and allow a realistic behavior.

Therefore, we will implement a scheduler for executing events at specified times. This enables us to have a programmable simulation that can be executed under changing conditions without changing the source code.

4.6 Synchronization Concepts

A major problem in the simulator framework is keeping all components synchronized. SIMORE itself has a multi-threading architecture in which sensor and control handling, simulation and visualization are concurrent tasks that need to be synchronized. It is in the responsibility of the synchronization module to provide consistent data for the other tasks. For this reason, changes to the actual situation due to internal or external events must be propagated.

At the moment, only the simulation and visualization components are synchronized because the visual representation depends on the simulation output. Synchronizing the control and sensor handling is in progress. Once it is developed the user can control the robot manually by multiple input devices such as mouse, joystick or force feedback steering wheel. So far, the keyboard offers the only possibility for manually controlling the robot. An automatic control using the API control function calls is also implemented.

In addition to the robots all other moveable objects can be affected by the user in future. An object editor is under development to specify the objects’ visual representation and to define their position and movement. A major advantage compared to the real world is the possibility of saving and reconstructing scenes in a way that visual attention algorithms can operate on equal terms and conditions.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this contribution, we have presented a simulation environment for mobile robot platforms. The main advantages compared to existing robot simulators are the variable control possibilities, the transparent interface to the real robot TSR and the scene modeling technique using the modeling software 3ds max. This method includes modeling of the collision objects, physical sensors and setting up hinges and joints for dynamic simulation. So far, we have modeled the TSR as a mobile platform in different virtual environments. But due to the hierarchical structure based on OpenSceneGraph the framework is not limited to our robot or the virtual environment. To date, we are able to control our simulated robot manually via keyboard.
or mouse actions. The development of the control interface for the head tracker and the force feedback steering wheel is still in progress.

Currently, we can provide visual information to an operator, and due to the read back functionality different perspective views are possible. Experiments on NVidia graphics cards (NVidia 7600GT, PCIe Bus) while rendering an image with an image size of $512 \times 512$ pixels yield a frame rate of 42 fps if transferring the images into the main memory and 22-28 fps for saving them on hard disc (depends on the speed of the hard disk drive). Our goal is to accelerate the performance by avoiding redundant copy instructions on the GPU.

Future research concentrates on implementing a scene editor to provide an intuitive graphical user interface to users for generating their own scenes with the required complexity without having deeper knowledge of computer graphics. Another extension for our environment is to model the visual or dynamic features of additional robots (air robot, P3-AT) and to integrate them into the simulation framework. Finally we aim at a realization of a network access to the simulation framework so that a virtual robot platform can be controlled via intranet or even internet.
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