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Abstract: Molecular biology models such as DNA evolution can provide a basis for proprietary architectures that achieve high degrees of diffusion and confusion and resistance to cryptanalysis. Proprietary encryption products can serve both large and small applications and can exist at both application and network level. This paper briefly outlines the basis of the proprietary encryption mechanism which uses the principles of DNA replication and steganography (hidden word cryptography) to produce confidential data. The foundation of the approach includes: organization of coded words and messages using base pairs organized into genes, an expandable genome consisting of DNA-based chromosome keys, and a DNA-based message encoding, replication, and evolution process. Such an encryption model provides “Security by Obscurity”.

1 INTRODUCTION

Mobile Ad-hoc Networks (MANET) require the ability to distinguish trusted peers, and transmit and receive information confidentially, yet tolerate the ingress and egress of nodes on an unscheduled, unpredictable basis. Because the networks by their very nature are mobile, self-organizing and self-assembling, use of a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI), X.509 certificates, RSA and nonce exchanges becomes problematic if the ideal of MANET is to be achieved. The use of evolutionary computing and a DNA (Deoxyribonucleic acid) inspired approach are key in developing true MANET architectures. Future network organizations could include corporations, retail outlets, financial institutions organized into self-assembling MANETs of convenience, entering and leaving the network as necessary. Such networks might be better served by encryption approaches not widely available to the public.

This paper presents a new encryption technique which utilizes DNA-inspired coding, a dynamic fitness algorithm and trust metric vision for ad-hoc routing, and a rapidly evolving basis of encryption. Because of the dynamic, evolutionary nature of this approach, potential intruders must continually intercept decoding instructions between source and destination. Missing one generation of genome decryption information seriously corrupts the decryption process. Missing multiple generations eventually renders previous decryption analyses useless.

2 BACKGROUND OF DNA CRYPTOGRAPHY

The use of DNA as a cryptographic medium is not new. DNA encryption systems are one of the paths taken in the field of molecular computing. Systems using DNA as a one-time code pad (Gehani, 1999) in a steganographic approach have been described. An image compression—encryption system using a DNA-based alphabet (Bourbakis, 1997) was demonstrated including a genetic algorithm based compression scheme. Schemes utilizing DNA encryption utilizing dummy sequences of DNA have been published (Leier, 2000). The steganographic approach is highly desirable because DNA provides a natural template for the hidden message approach (Clelland, 1999). Clelland is a pioneer in this field. It also appears in recent applications such as DNA watermarks (Heider, 2007).
3 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Figure 1 displays a MANET routed message from Jack to Jill routed at two different times, through secure and potentially malicious nodes. A truly ad hoc network permits routing in the presence of untrusted peers. In this case, message traffic is between Jack and Jill. Nodes A, B and C are trustworthy nodes at time t1 and nodes α and β are potentially malicious nodes. At time t2, the situation is reversed.

The problem of successful routing of messages over potentially untrusted nodes requires:
- Routed messages arrive at the destination intact
- Routed messages remain confidential in transmission
- Cryptanalysis of message traffic passing through nodes other Jack or Jill is unlikely to be successful.
- Nodes enter and leave the network at will.

4 ENCRYPTION PROCESS

- Two or more users define a plaintext dictionary, and a DNA based dictionary. The users define the method by which plaintext is represented by the four DNA bases. The DNA dictionary is the source of messages and encryption keys (chromosomes).
- Messages are pre-coded from plaintext into DNA using a system of linear equations relating word position in the message and the ordinal position in the dictionary.
- Chromosomes encrypt multiple permutations of the message.
- The permutations are tested for fitness and the most fit permutation is selected for transmission by the source.
- The recipient decrypts the message with the same chromosomes.
- The genome is expanded by mutating the chromosomes with each other or with message sequences.

The system is based upon operations upon words and not individual characters. The only individual characters that are encrypted are one character words.

Users of the DNA encryption tool are endowed with a starter genome which provides the equivalent of a small dictionary for initiating messages, an intended recipient capable of possessing a secret, shared key, and a secret encryption/decryption sequence to initiate communication. Chromosomes are “long” compared to message sequences.

Let D represent a dictionary (lexicographically ordered set) of all words such that D₀ represents the first word in the dictionary and that sender and receiver compose messages of Wᵢ words (genes). A function U converts words to sequences of DNA bases Bᵢ as shown below:

\[
Dᵢ₋₁ < Dᵢ < Dᵢ₊₁ \quad \forall \ i < n \quad (1)
\]

\[
Wᵢ \subseteq Dₙ \quad (2)
\]

\[
Dᵢ = U(Wᵢ, Bᵢ) \quad (3)
\]

There exists a one-to-one mapping between the plaintext dictionary and DNA dictionary built from Bₙ={A,T,C,G} and. The binary coding for the bases is shown in table 1. Note that A and T, and C and G are inverses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Binary value</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Binary value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenine</td>
<td>0011</td>
<td>Thymine</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytosine</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>0110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given an alphabet of n characters, words of character length m, each plaintext word codes into a DNA word (gene) of x basepairs in length creating cᵢ possible combinations of DNA words for each plaintext word and Y total combinations DNA words for the dictionary as shown below.

\[
\log₂ (n) = x \quad (4)
\]

\[
cᵢ = 2^{(xₙᵢ)} \quad (5)
\]

\[
Y = \Sigma cᵢ, \ i=1,…,iₘₐₓ \quad (6)
\]

For n=8 with a character set consisting of \{a,e,i,o,u,n,s,t\}, and m= 3, there would be 584 total entries. Selected entries from such a dictionary are shown in table 2. Sequences of nonsense words can be inserted between plaintext words. As the character set and character length increases, the number of possible words (mostly nonsense words) increases exponentially. Actual words can be padded with interspersed nonsense words to increase security. Figure 2 shows displays the maximum size of the DNA dictionary for 8, 32 and 256 character...
alphabets, word lengths ranging from 1 to 10 characters.
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Figure 2: DNA Dictionary Size.

Table 2: Sample DNA dictionary entries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordinal</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>DNA code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>TTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>ia</td>
<td>TTACAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>ie</td>
<td>TTATAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>452</td>
<td>san</td>
<td>ACACACGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>453</td>
<td>sas</td>
<td>ACACAGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>454</td>
<td>sat</td>
<td>ACACATAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>sea</td>
<td>ACCACACCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plaintext coding process yields message \( M \) consisting of a sense string \( M_{\text{sense}} \) of bases and a anti-sense string \( M_{\text{anti-sense}} \) of bases. Chromosome \( (C_{1}, \ldots) \) sense and anti-sense strands generated from the DNA dictionary encrypt \( M_{\text{sense}} \) and \( M_{\text{anti-sense}} \) to produce encrypted mutants. Given \( j \) chromosomes in the genome, \( m \) message basepairs, \( k \) chromosome basepairs, \( 2^j \times (k-m) \) rounds of encryption on the sense and anti-sense message strands are possible. The message slides down the chromosome between rounds. One encrypted mutant is produced per round.

Table 3: Encryption Process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encrypt</td>
<td>( E(C,M) \rightarrow \text{Cipher} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anneal</td>
<td>( A(\text{Cipher}, B(q')) \rightarrow \text{ACipher} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust for ( p ) routes</td>
<td>( T_p(\text{FREQ}_p, \text{RREQ}<em>p) \rightarrow \text{T}</em>{\text{max}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness(Diffusion &amp; Confusion)</td>
<td>( D(M, \text{ACipher}, C(M, \text{ACipher}) \rightarrow F )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select mutant</td>
<td>( S(g(F, T_{\text{max}})) \rightarrow \text{Output} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Encryption is a 5 step process as shown in table 3. The encryption step processes the message against the chromosome key to create a generation of two new mutants; A DNA fragment consisting of a sense strand from the message paired with a fragment of equivalent length from the sense strand of each chromosome moving from 5’ to 3’ end, and a DNA fragment consisting of an anti-sense strand from the message paired with a fragment of equivalent length from the sense strand of each chromosome key moving from 3’ to 5’ end. The process is summarized in figure 4. The chromosome is depicted as a series of segments. The functional output of the step is referred to as ‘Cipher’.

The process of aligning two dissimilar DNA strands results in numerous mismatches. Figure 5 demonstrates the annealing process via recombination and mutation by use of virtual bases \( B_q' = \{a, t, c, g\} \). Use of the transformation: \( A \rightarrow g \rightarrow T, C \rightarrow a \rightarrow G, T \rightarrow c \rightarrow A, G \rightarrow t \rightarrow C \) simplifies the evolution of the code and anneals mismatches. Mutations are induced by the chromosome (encryption key) onto the message (plaintext). The rule is simple: if mismatch between the chromosome and a message base appears, the message is mutated to match the chromosome. For example, if a chromosome base ‘A’ is mismatched with either ‘A’, ‘C’ or ‘G’ on the corresponding message base, the message base is changed to a ‘g’ which mutates to a ‘T’. The unused bit patterns in the 4-bit binary representations of the DNA hold special codes for this transformation. The advantage of this technique is that it allows for rapid merging of chromosome and message strands and provides a path for substituting new bases into the chromosome strand and mimics the activity of creating molecules which rely on the DNA structure but have substituted new monomer units into the structure. This technique could also be combined with crossover between chromosome and message strand. The functional output of this step is referred to as ‘ACipher’ for annealed ciphertext.

The sender of the message would like to know how much trust should be placed in each potential route to the destination. Determining the level of trust to be placed is a factor in determining the fitness of the encryption. The source of trust information in the methodology is querying the network and tabulating successful forward and return route request messages (FREQ, RREQ). The value of this information decays between successive queries. Given the assumption that any route is only as secure as the weakest link, a trust metric for \( p \) routes at a given point in time can be defined as:

\[
T_p(\text{FREQ}_p, \text{RREQ}_p, \Delta t) = (e^{-\Delta t/r}) \times Z_{F_p} \times Z_{R_p}
\]

where \( Z_{F_p} \) and \( Z_{R_p} \) are the number of successful forward and return route requests over \( p \) routes and \( \Delta t \) is the delay from the baseline query. The rate of
Decay in trust can be adjusted by the factor $r$ to depending upon sender preference with the effects as shown in figure 3. The maximum value from all $T_p$ represents the most desired route and is referred to as $T_{max}$.
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Figure 3: Temporal route trust.

A fitness algorithm defining the desired level of diffusion and confusion (Shannon, 1949) produces a means for evaluating each potential encryption. Diffusion ensure that redundancy or patterns in the plaintext message are dissipated into the long range statistics of the ciphertext message. Confusion ensures a complex relationship exists between the plaintext and ciphertext. Each encrypted mutant is compared to the plaintext message on this basis. The output of these functions produces a fitness value, ‘F’, for each mutant.

The source can define a fitness goal, $g(F,T_{max})$ such that only an encrypted mutant that exceeds the goal is selected by function $S$ to become the transmitted message, referred to as the ‘Output’.

Conceivably, if no mutant exceeded the fitness goal, the sender could select one of the following options:

a. Reduce the magnitude of the fitness parameters diffusion and confusion
b. Query the network again, re-compute $T_{max}$ and determine if there is an encryption fit for transmission.
c. Conduct a second round of encryption by mating the most fit encrypted mutants, and re-compute their fitness parameters

d. Delay transmission of the encrypted message until a suitable $T_{max}$ is achieved.

Figure 6 displays the transition from plaintext to a pair of DNA strands 54 base pairs long (M sense and M anti-sense) to a pair of encrypted and annealed mutants with a sense strand from message and chromosome for one mutant, and an anti-sense strand from message and chromosome for a second mutant. The 8 letter dictionary $\{a,e,i,o,u,n,s,t\}$ and a chromosome designated as C4 having 1793 base pairs are used in this example.

5 MUTATION EFFECTS AND FITNESS

Life is intolerant of a high mutation rate in its genetic code. Ribonucleic acid (RNA) viruses have the highest mutation rate of any living species, $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-5}$ errors/nucleotide and replication cycle (Elena, 2006). The human DNA mutation rate has been approximated to be on the order of $10^{-8}$ errors/nucleotide and generation (Nachman, 2000). Injection of mutations into DNA encrypted messages is central to the encryption process. In evolutionary biology, fitness is a characteristic that relates to the number of offspring produced from a given genome. From a population genetics point of view the relative fitness of the mutant depends upon the number of descendants per wild-type descendant. In evolutionary computing, a fitness algorithm determines whether candidate solutions, in this case encrypted messages, are sufficiently encrypted to be transmitted.
By organizing the DNA dictionary into a codon based system and applying tools of evolutionary computing the encryption methodology can be adapted as a tool of computational biology for applications such as:

- Simulation of DNA mutations via crossover and translation
- Creation of DNA samples and mutagenic PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) primers for simulation
- Optimization of alignment of two DNA sequences
- Simulation of mutagenic agents on DNA
- Rate-based synthesis and mutation studies

Utilization of software based tools provides a fast, cost-effective means of testing strategies prior to performing laboratory analyses or cell-based techniques. DNA coding for biological applications require certain characteristics that are the opposite of those required for encryption. Diffusion and confusion must be minimized. Fitness would be defined in application specific parameters such as rate kinetics and reactant stoichiometry. Messages could be replaced by oligonucleotides of interest.

6 CONCLUSIONS

A DNA-inspired encryption technique that is highly resistant cryptographic analyses has been presented. It is a new variation on an ancient cryptography technique for use in mobile, ad-hoc networks and does not require the use of a public key infrastructure. To the best of our knowledge, this approach to providing confidentiality in a MANET has not been previously published. The utility of a rapid evolving encryption genome using transitional annealing bases also represents a previously unpublished concept.

Encryption users define the plain text dictionary, the conversion into DNA sequences, the level of trust to be conferred on the MANET and the fitness characteristics of the message. The technique can be used within MANETs without decryption to establish cryptographic checksums for message integrity, authentication, and secure electronic transactions. It can be used within MANETs with decryption for message confidentiality.

The methodology is extensible to the realm of computational biology to perform computer aided diagnostics of DNA mutations. It is also extensible to other polymer based encryptions: peptide nucleic acids, silicones, polysilanes, block co-polymers, etc. It provides a path to simulating processes which could be used for encoding messages into physical molecules for a variety of applications.
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