TOWARDS ON-DEMAND BIOMEDICAL KNOWLEDGE EXTRACTION

Vincenzo Lanza

Anesthesia Department, Buccheri La Ferla Hospital, Fatebenefratelli, Palermo, Italy

M. Ignazia Cascio

Sicilian Center for Training and Research in Public Health (CEFPAS), Caltanissetta, Italy

Chun-Hsi Huang

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA

Keywords: Knowledge Grids, Biomedical Computing.

Abstract: This paper outlines a UMLS-compatible distributed genomic semantic network. The system aims at providing cooperative reasoning on distributed genomic information, complying with the UMLS concept representation, from distributed repositories. The distributed semantic network has currently incorporated most of the 871,584 concepts (named by 2.1 million terms) of the 2002 version UMLS Metathesaurus, with inter-concept relationships across multiple vocabularies and concept categorization supported. Modern information and compute infrastructure is incorporated to allow seamless access to geographically dispersed users.

1 INTRODUCTION

The complete sequencing of numerous genomes has stimulated new cross-domain and cross-discipline research topics. Computationally, researchers have been exploring the massive genomic and proteomic information, attempting to generate new hypotheses for gene/protein functions, as well as novel targets for the development of insecticides, antibiotics, antiviral drugs, and health related drugs. *Semantically*, researchers study biological information from individual (clinical practice) to the population level (social health-care), as well as the infrastructure for highperformance, automated integration and analysis of these information, in an attempt to better individual and public health care.

It is crucial in most of these novel researches that the massive genomic data produced are well represented so that useful biological information may be efficiently extracted. A useful tool for effective knowledge representation is the *semantic network* system (Lee et al., 2003). A semantic network is a conceptual model for knowledge representation, in which the knowledge entities are represented by nodes (or vertices), while the edges (or arcs) are the relations between entities (Cercone, 1992; Fahlman, 1982; Brachman and Schmolze, 1985; Shapiro and The SNePS Implementation Group, 1998; Chung and Moldovan, 1993; Surdeanu et al., 2002; Moldovan et al., 1992; Evett et al., 1991; Stoffel et al., 1996). A semantic network is an effective tool, serving as the backbone knowledge representation system for genomic, clinical and medical data. Usually these knowledge bases are stored at locations geographically distributed. This highlights the importance of an efficient distributed semantic network system enabling distributed knowledge integration and inference.

The semantic network is a key component of the Unified Medical Language System (UMLS) project initiated in 1986 by the U.S. National Library of Medicine (NLM). The goal of the UMLS is to facilitate associative retrieval and integration of biomedical information so researchers and health professionals can use such information from different (readable) sources (Lindberg et al., 1993). The UMLS project consists of three core components: (1) the **Metathesaurus**, providing a common structure for more than 95 source biomedical vocabularies. It is organized by concept, which is a cluster of terms, *e.g.*, synonyms, lexical variants, and translations, with the same meaning. (2) the **Semantic Network**, categorizing these concepts

Lanza V., Ignazia Cascio M. and Huang C. (2008).

TOWARDS ON-DEMAND BIOMEDICAL KNOWLEDGE EXTRACTION.

In Proceedings of the First International Conference on Health Informatics, pages 102-109 Copyright © SciTePress

by semantic types and relationships, and (3) the **SPE-CIALIST lexicon** and associated lexical tools, containing over 30,000 English words, including various biomedical terminologies. Information for each entry, including base form, spelling variants, syntactic category, inflectional variation of nouns and conjugation of verbs, is used by the lexical tools. The 2002 version of the Metathesaurus contains 871,584 concepts named by 2.1 million terms. It also includes inter-concept relationships across multiple vocabularies, concept categorization, and information on concept co-occurrence in MEDLINE.

2 THE PILOT SYSTEM

We are currently developing a UMLS-compatible distributed genomic semantic network. This system aims at providing cooperative reasoning on distributed genomic information, complying with the UMLS concept representation, from distributed repositories. Representative inference rules (path-based) and commands (SNePS-like (Moldovan et al., 2003)) are briefed in Appendices A and B.

The infrastructure of the cooperative software components is extended from the TROJAN system (Lee et al., 2004; Lee and Huang, 2004). The pilot system emphasizes the task-based and messagedriven model to exploit parallelism at both task and data levels. The system also features multi-threading and task migration to support communication latency hiding and load balancing, respectively. In the task model, queries are decomposed into tasks and distributed among processors for execution. When a task is completed, a message is generated to either spawn new tasks or trigger further processing, depending on the property and current status of the task. This process is carried out by two collaborating components: the host system and the slave system. The host system interacts with users and processes information for the slave system, while the slave system executes compute tasks.

The host system is composed of the following major components. The *language front-end* interacts with the user and decomposes the commands into either knowledge or tasks. All the preprocessing and distributing are carried out in the *command processing module*. The *object-oriented packing module* is the communication channel between processors. When the slave module finishes a query, the answer messages are then sent back to the *host answer processing module* of the host system to be merged into a final inference conclusion. Some knowledge is kept in the *host knowledge base* for simple queries. Fig. 5

illustrates the host system.

The major components comprising the slave system are as follows. The *shared knowledge management module* stores and exchanges knowledge in the *shared knowledge base*. The *task execution module* is the kernel of task execution. Several sub-modules are embedded in the task execution module, including the *kernel message module*, the *task execution engine*, and the *load balancing module*, etc. The *duplicate checking module* records the answers that have been reached to save repeated executions. The *slave scheduler* schedules task execution and swapping. The *object-oriented packing system* is similar to that of the host. The slave system is depicted in Fig. 6.

Commands in our semantic network system are generally categorized into three groups: (1) network building (*e.g.* build and assert, etc.), (2) inferencing (e.g. find, findassert, etc.) and (3) others (e.g. nodeset operation commands, etc.). Commands in groups (1) and (2) usually need to communicate with slave PEs, while those in (3) are answered directly inside the host module. Our system provides three commands, build, assert and add, to construct the semantic network. The syntax of these commands are listed below:

- build: (build {relation nodeset}*)
- assert: (assert {relation nodeset}* contextspecifier)
- add: (add {relation nodeset}* context-specifier)

For example, the command

(assert member Saccharomyces-cerevisiae class yeast)

defines the concept "Saccharomyces-cerevisiae is veast". In the system, two base nodes Saccharomyces-cerevisiae and veast are generated by the command. The molecular node M1 (index depending on the current knowledge base state) is generated by the system, where "!" stands for the "assertion" concept. Two forward links member and class are defined by the user, two reverse links member- and class-, indicated by dash lines, are generated automatically. Hierarchical concepts can be constructed similarly by following the links of "subclass-" and "supclass".

To sum up, the network building commands put a node into the network with an arc labeled *relation* to each node in the following *nodeset*, and returns the newly built node. An attempt to build a currently existing node will immediately return such an existing node. build creates an unasserted node unless an asserted node exists in the network with a superset of the relations of the new node, in which case the new node is also asserted. assert is just like build, but creates the node with assertion. add acts like assert, but in addition triggers forward inference. *relation* has to be a unit-path and *non converse*. The converse relation *relation*- that connects each node of the nodeset to the built node is constructed implicitly by the system.

A heuristic approach is used to partition the semantic network to get around the *NP*-hardness of optimal partitioning (Cormen et al., 2000; Garey et al., 1976). Starting from PE_1 as the initial target PE, while a network construction command is issued, the host sends the newly built nodes to the target PE until a certain number of nodes have accumulated and then cyclically shifts to the next PE as the new target.

Several (path-based) inference commands are provided by our system, including the find family (find, findassert, findbase, findconstant, findpattern and findvariable). While a query is made, corresponding tasks are generated by the task preprocessor under the command of the parser in the language front end, and then stored in the host task queue temporarily while waiting to be dispatched by the task distributer. These query tasks are split according to the implied parallelism of the command. For example, when a path-based query is made, the command is usually in the format of (find $\{path nodeset\}^*$), which is equivalent to $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{j=1}^{f(i)}$ (find *path_i node_{i,j}*), where the \bigcap and [] are the nodeset intersection and union, respectively. These (sub)query tasks are formed and later dispatched.

Path-based inference is the fundamental inference mechanism of all semantic networks. By tracing the arcs between nodes, new knowledge can be derived. In our system, the relation between two nodes can be either explicit (direct arc between two nodes), or implicit (an arc across several intermediate nodes). The implicit relation is defined by the command define-path.

The command find, designed for path-based inference queries, has the following syntax:

(find {*path nodeset*}*).

This command returns a set of nodes such that each node in the set has every specified *path* going from it to at least one node in the accompanying *nodeset*. When the command

(find subclass (human animal))

is issued, the system answers (M2 M3) since M2 and M3 each has an edge subclass to *either* node human *or* animal.

The distributed semantic network has currently incorporated most of the 871,584 concepts (named by 2.1 million terms) of the 2002 version UMLS Metathesaurus, with inter-concept relationships across multiple vocabularies and concept categorization supported.

3 BASIC INFRASTRUCTURE

Modern Grid technology represents an emerging and expanding instrumentation, computing, information and storage platform that allows geographically distributed resources, which are under distinct control, to be linked together in a transparent fashion (Berman et al., 2003; Foster and Kesselman, 1999). The power of the Grids lays not only in the aggregate computing ability, data storage, and network bandwidth that can readily be brought to bear on a particular problem, but also on its ease of use. After a decade's research effort, Grids are moving out of research laboratories into early-adopter production systems, such as the Computational Grid for certain computationintensive applications, the Data Grid for distributed and optimized storage of large amounts of accessible data, as well as the Knowledge Grid for intelligent use of the Data Grid for knowledge creation and tools to all users.

Here we refer to the Cross-Campus (or Continent) Computational Grid as the C^3 -Grid; and the Cross-Campus (or Continent) Data Grid as the C^2D -Grid.

The development of the C^3 -Grid portal focuses on the establishment of a robust set of APIs (Application Programming Interfaces). The implementation of C^3 -Grid is largely based on the Globus Toolkit middleware (2.2.4) The web portal is served by an Apache HTTP Server located at the University of Connecticut. The C^3 -Grid database regularly aggregates compute platform statistics such as job status, backfill availability, queue schedule, as well as production rates. The job monitoring system provides real-time snap shots of critical computational job metrics stored in a database and presented to the user via dynamic web pages. Computation jobs are classified into a few classes, each with a pre-specified priority. Statistics for each job class are created in a real-time manner so as to provide intelligent management of resources.

The C^2D -Grid adds another dimension of functionality to the C^3 -Grid in terms of efficient management of the often-curated biomedical knowledgebase. Our goal is to transparently and efficiently manage the biomedical knowledge-base distributed across the participating campuses, providing access via a uniform interface (web-portal). Basic file management functions are available via a user-friendly and platform-independent interface. Basic file transfer, editing and search capabilities are available via a uniform interface. The logical display of files for a given (local or remote) user is also available. Data/file migration is implemented to minimize the bandwidth consumption and to maximize the storage utilization rate on a per user basis.

Additional technical and configuration details in regards to the compute and data grid infrastructure are elided, according to reviewers' comments and the page limit.

4 WORKFLOW CONTROL

The design of the our workflow control toolkit over the C^3 -Grid is largely based on the Genome Analysis and Database Update system (GADU) (Pearson, 1994; Shpaer et al., 1996; Mulder, 2003; Bateman et al., 2002; Henikoff et al., 1999; Pearl et al., 2003; Sulakhe et al.,). GADU has successfully used Grid resources with different architectures and software environments like the 64-bit processors in TeraGrid and 32-bit processors in the Open Science Grid or DOE Science Grid¹.

The opportunistic availability and the different architectures and environments of these resources make it extremely difficult to use them simultaneously through a single common system. GADU addresses these issues by providing a resource-independent system that can execute the bioinformatics applications as workflows simultaneously on these heterogeneous Grid resources. and is easily scalable to add new Grid resources or individual clusters into its pool of resources, thus providing more high-throughput computational power to its scientific applications. The workflow control toolkit has wide applications in genomics as the interpretation of every newly sequenced genome involves the analysis of sequence data by a variety of computationally intensive bioinformatics tools, the execution of result and annotation parsers, and other intermediate data-transforming scripts.

Our toolkit will act as a gateway to the C^3 -Grid and the C^2D -Grid, handling all the high-throughput computations necessary for knowledge inference and extraction from our semantic network. Analogous to GADU, our workflow control toolkit will be implemented in two modules, an *analysis* server and an *update* server. The analysis server automatically creates workflows in the abstract Virtual Data Language, based on predefined templates that it executes on distributed Grid resources. The update server updates the integrated knowledge-base with recently changed data from participating sites

¹http://www.doesciencegrid.org

The toolkit will execute its parallel jobs simultaneously on different Grid resources. It expresses the workflows in the form of a directed acyclic graph (DAG) and executes it on a specified Grid site using Condor-G (Frey et al., 2002). The toolkit will use the GriPhyN Virtual Data System (Foster et al., 2002) to express, execute, and track the results of the workflows that help in using the grid resources.

To sum up, this workflow controller will provide a resource-independent configuration to execute the workflows over the C^3 -Grid. It can submit jobs remotely to a resource, as long as the resource provides a Globus GRAM interface (*e.g.*, the Jazz cluster). All the transformations of a workflow are expressed as Condor submit files and a DAG using Pegasus. The Condor-G submits the workflow to a remote resource using the GRAM interface and also monitors the workflow. The toolkit will also automatically manage the dynamic changes in the state of the Grid resources using monitoring and information services along with the authentication and access models used at different Grids.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

Biomedical research increasingly relies on globally distributed information and knowledge repositories. The quality and performance of future computing and storage infrastructure in support of such research depends heavily on the ability to exploit these repositories, to integrate these resources with local information processing environments in a flexible and intuitive way, and to support information extraction and analysis in a timely and on-demand manner.

This paper outlines a UMLS-compatible distributed genomic semantic network. The system provides cooperative reasoning on distributed genomic information, complying with the UMLS concept representation, from distributed repositories. The distributed semantic network has currently incorporated most of the 871,584 concepts (named by 2.1 million terms) of the 2002 version UMLS Metathesaurus, with inter-concept relationships across multiple vocabularies and concept categorization supported.

The knowledge database and semantic network are to be installed within a cross-campus data grid framework. The knowledge inference will be decomposed into sub-tasks and distributed across the participating compute nodes for computation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The workflow control for the C^3 -Grid job execution was based on an earlier collaborative project and discussions on GADU with Dr. N. Maltsev at the Argonne National Lab, US.

REFERENCES

- Bateman, A., Birney, E., Cerruti, L., Durbin, R., Etwiller, L., Eddy, S., Griffiths Jones, S., Howe, K., Marshall, M., and Sonnhammer, E. (2002). The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 30:276–280.
- Berman, F., Fox, G., and Hey, T. (2003). Grid Computing: Making the Global Infrastructure a Reality. *John Wiley & Sons*.
- Brachman, R. J. and Schmolze, J. G. (1985). An Overview of the KL-ONE Knowledge Representation System. *Cognitive Sci.*, 9:171–216.
- Cercone, N. (1992). The ECO Family. Computers Math. Applic., 23(2-5):95–131.
- Chung, S. and Moldovan, D. I. (1993). Modeling Semantic Networks on the Connection Machine. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 17:152–163.
- Cormen, T. H., Leiserson, C. E., and Rivest, R. L. (2000). Introduction to Algorithms. McGraw-Hill.
- Evett, M. P., Hendler, J. A., and Spector, L. (1991). Parallel Knowledge Representation on the Connection Machine. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 22:168–184.
- Fahlman, S. E. (1982). *NETL: A System for Representing* and Using Real-World Knowledge. The MIT Press.
- Foster, I. and Kesselman, C. (1999). *The Grid: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure*. Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco.
- Foster, I., Voeckler, J., Wilde, M., and Zhou, Y. (2002). Chimera: A virtual data system for representing, querying, and automating data derivation. In *Proceedings of the 14-th Conference on Scientific and Statistical Database.*
- Frey, J., Tannenbaum, T., Foster, I., Livny, M., and Tuecke, S. (2002). Condor-G: a computation management agent for multi-institutional Grids. *Cluster Computing*, 5:237–246.
- Garey, M. R., Johnson, D. J., and Stockmeyer, L. (1976). Some Simplified NP-Complete Graph Problems. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 1:237–267.
- Henikoff, S., Henikoff, J., and Pietrokovski, S. (1999). Blocks+: a non-redundant database of protein alignment blocks derived from multiple compilations. *Bioinformatics*, 15:471–479.
- Lee, C.-W. and Huang, C.-H. (2004). Toward Cooperative Genomic Knowledge Inference. *Parallel Computing Journal*, 30(9-10):1127–1135.

- Lee, C.-W., Huang, C.-H., and Rajasekaran, S. (2003). TROJAN: A Scalable Parallel Semantic Network System. In *Proceedings of the 15th IEEE International Conference on Tools eith Artificial Intelligence*, pages 219–223.
- Lee, C.-W., Huang, C.-H., Yang, L., and Rajasekaran, S. (2004). Path-Based Distributed Knowledge Inference in Semantic Networks. *Journal of Supercomputing*, 29(2):211–227.
- Lindberg, D., Humphreys, B., and McCray, A. (1993). The Unified Medical Language System. *Methods Inf. Med.*, 32(4):281–291.
- Moldovan, D., Lee, W., Lin, C., and Chung, M. (1992). SNAP, Parallel Processing Applied to AI. *IEEE Computer*, pages 39–49.
- Moldovan, D. I., Pasca, M., Harabagiu, S., and Surdeanu, M. (2003). Performance Issues and Error Analysis in an Open-Domain Question Answering System. ACM Tran. on Information Systems, 21(2):133–154.
- Mulder, N.J., e. a. (2003). The InterPro Database, 2003 brings increased coverage and new features. *Nucleic* Acids Res., 31:315–318.
- Pearl, F., Bennett, C., Bray, J., Harrison, A., Martin, N., Shepherd, A., Sillitoe, I., Thornton, J., and Orengo, C. (2003). The CATH database: an extended protein family resource for structural and functional genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 31:452–455.
- Pearson, W. (1994). Using the FASTA program to search protein and DNA sequence databases. *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 24:307–331.
- Shapiro, S. C. and The SNePS Implementation Group (1998). *SNePS-2.4 User's Manual*. Department of Computer Science at SUNY Buffalo.
- Shpaer, E., M., R., Yee, D., Candlin, J., Mines, R., and Hunkapiller, T. (1996). Sensitivity and selectivity in protein similarity searches: a comparison of Smith-Waterman in hardware to BLAST and FASTA. *Genomics*, 38:179–191.
- Stoffel, K., Hendler, J., Saltz, J., and Anderson, B. (1996). Parka on MIMD-Supercomputers. Technical Report CS-TR-3672, Computer Science Dept., UM Institute for Advanced Computer Studies, University of Maryland, College Park.
- Sulakhe, D., Rodriguez, A., D'Souza, M., Wilde, M., Nefedova, V., Foster, I., and Maltsev, N. Gnare: automated system for high-throughput genome analysis with grid computational backend. J. Clini. Monit. and Comput., 19(4).
- Surdeanu, M., Moldovan, D. I., and Harabagiu, S. M. (2002). Performance Analysis of a Distributed Question/Answering System. *IEEE Trans. on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 13(6):579–596.

APPENDIX

Path Definition Primitives

- *unitpath* ::= *relation*.
- *unitpath ::= relation-*: A unit path can be either a relation or a converse of a relation.
- *path ::= unitpath*: A path can be either a unitpath or the composition of various pathes defined by the following definition.
- $path ::= (COMPOSE \{path\}^*)$ If $x_1, \ldots x_n$ are nodes and P_i is a path from x_1 to x_{i+1} , then (COMPOSE $P_1 \ldots P_{n-1}$) is a path from x_1 to x_n .
- *path* ::= (KSTAR *path*) If path *P* is composed with itself zero or more times from node *x* to node *y*, then (KSTAR *P*) is a path from *x* to *y*.
- *path* ::= (KPLUS *path*) If path *P* is a composed with itself one or more times from node *x* to node *y*, then (KPLUS *P*) is a path from *x* to *y*.
- *path* ::= (OR {*path*}*)
 If P₁ is path from node x to node y or P₂ is a path from x to y or ... or P_n is a path from x to y then (OR P₁, P₂... P_n) is a path from x to y.
- *path* ::= (AND {*path*}*) If P_1 is path from node *x* to node *y* and P_2 is a path from *x* to *y* and ... and P_n is a path from *x* to *y* then (AND $P_1, P_2 ... P_n$) is a path from *x* to *y*.
- path ::= (NOT path)
 If there is no path P from node x to node y, then (NOT P) is a path from x to y.
- *path* ::= (RELATIVE-COMPLEMENT *path path*) If *P* is a path from node *x* to node *y* and there is no path *Q* from *x* to *y*, then (RELATIVE-COMPLEMENT *P Q*) is a path from *x* to *y*. The situation can be seen in Fig. 1.
- *path* ::= (IRREFLEXIVE-RESTRICT *path*) If *P* is a path from node *x* to node *y*, and $x \neq y$, then (IRREFLEXIVE-RESTRICT *P*) is a path from *x* to *y*. The situation can be seen in Fig. 2.
- path ::= (DOMAIN-RESTRICT (path node) path)If P is a path from node x to node y and Q is a path from x to node z, then (DOMAIN-RESTRICT (Q z) P) is a path from x to y. The situation can be seen in Fig. 3.
- path ::= (RANGE-RESTRICT path (node path))If P is a path from node x to node y and Q is a path from y to node z, then (RANGE-RESTRICT P (Q z)) is a path from x to y. The situation can be seen in Fig. 4.

• *path* ::= (*path**) The definition is the same as (COMPOSE {*path*}*)



Figure 1: (RELATIVE-COMPLEMENT P Q).



Figure 2: (IRREFLEXIVE-RESTRICT P).



Figure 3: (DOMAIN-RESTRICT (Q z) P).



Figure 4: (RANGE-RESTRICT P(Q z)).

Grammars



 $\langle knowledge_base_command \rangle$ $\langle dup_check_command \rangle ::\Rightarrow DUPCHECK 'T$ DUPCHECK 'NIL DUPCHECK $(load_bal_command) ::\Rightarrow LOADBAL 'T$ LOADBAL 'NIL LOADBAL $\langle \text{knowledge_base_command} \rangle :: \Rightarrow \mathsf{PRIVATE} \langle \text{integer} \rangle$ PRIVATE ALL CACHE (integer) CACHE ALL (multi_node_command $) :: \Rightarrow (($ multiple_nodes)) $\langle node_command \rangle$ $(\text{multiple_nodes}) :: \Rightarrow (\text{node_command})^+$ $(\text{node_command}) :: \Rightarrow ((\text{inference_command}))$ ((display_command)) ((net_build_command)) ((nodeset_op_command)) (snepsul_var) (multi_dollar_node) (multi_hash_node) $\langle node_name \rangle \blacksquare$ (inference_command) ::⇒ FIND (multi_path_nodeset) FINDASSERT (multi_path_nodeset) FINDCONSTANT (multi_path_nodeset) FINDBASE (multi_path_nodeset) **FINDVARIABLE** (multi_path_nodeset) **FINDPATTERN** (multi_path_nodeset)(display_command) DUMP ::⇒ (multi_node_command) DESCRIBE (multi_node_command)(net_build_command) ASSERT ::⇒ (multi_relation_nodeset) BUILD (multi_relation_nodeset)(nodeset_op_command) ::⇒ & (multi_node_command) (multi_node_command) (multi_node_command) + (multi_node_command) (multi_node_command) (multi_node_command) (multi_node_command) (symbol) (multi_node_command)

(unitpathset)

 $| > \langle unitpathset \rangle \langle symbol \rangle \blacksquare$ $\langle \text{snepsul_var} \rangle :: \Rightarrow * \langle \text{symbol} \rangle \blacksquare$ $\langle multi_dollar_node \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle dollar_node \rangle$ $| (\langle dollar_nodeset \rangle) \blacksquare$ $\langle dollar_nodeset \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle dollar_node \rangle^+ \blacksquare$ $\langle \text{dollar_node} \rangle :: \Rightarrow \$ \langle \text{symbol} \rangle \blacksquare$ $\langle unitpathset \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle unitpath \rangle$ $| (\langle multi_unitpath \rangle) \blacksquare$ (multi_unitpath $) :: \Rightarrow ($ unitpath $)^+$ $\langle node_name \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle symbol \rangle$ (integer) $\langle multi_hash_node \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle hash_node \rangle$ ((hash_nodeset)) ■ $\langle hash_nodeset \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle hash_node \rangle^+$ $\langle hash_node \rangle :: \Rightarrow \# \langle symbol \rangle \blacksquare$ $\langle question_node \rangle :: \Rightarrow ? \langle symbol \rangle \blacksquare$ $\langle multi_relation_nodeset \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle relation_nodeset \rangle^+ \blacksquare$ (relation_nodeset) (relation) ∷⇒ (multi_node_command) (multi_path_nodeset $) ::\Rightarrow ($ path_nodeset $)^+$ (path_question_node) (multi_path_nodeset) $\langle path_question_node \rangle \blacksquare$ $\langle path_question_node \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle path \rangle \langle question_node \rangle \blacksquare$ $\langle \text{path_nodeset} \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle \text{path} \rangle \langle \text{multi_node_command} \rangle$ $\langle \text{path} \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle \text{unitpath} \rangle$ (COMPOSE (multi_path)) (KSTAR (path)) (KPLUS (path)) (OR (multi_path)) (AND $\langle multi_path \rangle$) (NOT $\langle path \rangle$) (RELATIVE_COMPLEMENT $\langle path \rangle \langle path \rangle$) (IRREFLEXIVE_RESTRICT (path)) (DOMAIN_RESTRICT ($\langle path \rangle \langle node_name \rangle) \langle path \rangle)$ (RANGE_RESTRICT $\langle path \rangle$ ($\langle path \rangle \langle node_name \rangle$)) $((\text{multi_path}))$ (multi_path $) :: \Rightarrow ($ path $)^+$ $\langle relation \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle unitpath \rangle$ $\langle unitpath \rangle$ - $\langle unitpath \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle symbol \rangle \blacksquare$ $\langle \text{digit} \rangle :: \Rightarrow [0-9]$ $(\text{non_neg_char}) :: \Rightarrow [0-9a-zA-Z_]$ $\langle \text{integer} \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle \text{digit} \rangle^+ \blacksquare$ $\langle \text{symbol} \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle \text{non_neg_char} \rangle^+ \blacksquare$ $\langle \text{string} \rangle :: \Rightarrow \langle \text{char} \rangle^+ \blacksquare$

Software Architectures



Figure 5: Host System Software Architecture.



