A NOVEL DYNAMIC GREEDYDUAL-SIZE REPLACEMENT STRATEGY TO MAKE TIME-CRITICAL WEB APPLICATIONS SUCCESSFUL
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Abstract: The GreedyDual-Size (GD-Size) replacement algorithm is a static-cache-size approach that yields a higher hit ratio than the basic LRU replacement algorithm. Yet, maintaining a given hit ratio needs dynamic cache size tuning, and this can only be achieved by the MACSC (model for adaptive cache size control) model so far. Since the GD-Size yields a higher hit ratio than the basic LRU, it is proposed in this paper to replace the LRU unit in MACSC with the GD-Size algorithm. The replacement creates a new and more efficient dynamic cache size tuner, Dynamic GreedyDual-Size (DGD-Size).

1 INTRODUCTION

In this paper mobile business (m-business) is used as an example to show how caching is related to time-critical web application success. M-businesses involve e-shops operating on the mobile Internet, and the key issue is how to galvanize customers quickly within their short attention spans (Venkatesh 2003). In fact, the galvanization power depends on consistent short client/server service roundtrip time (RTT). This power can be magnified by techniques.

Caching performance generally ties in with the cache hit ratio, higher the better. Although conventional caching strategies (Podlipnig 2003) may produce high hit ratios, they cannot maintain them because they work with a fixed-size cache. In contrast, the novel dynamic GreedyDual-Size (DGD-Size) replacement strategy proposed in this paper works with a variable cache size, which is adjusted adaptively on the fly. The DGD-Size strategy normally maintains a hit ratio higher than the given one. For this reason it is tremendously suitable for time-critical web applications such as m-businesses and telemedicine setups.

A higher hit ratio (i.e. higher chance of finding the requested object in the proxy cache) means a shorter RTT because it reduces the need for cyber foraging (Garlan 2002). If the proxy server cannot find the requested object in its local cache, it has to enlist help from other remote data sources (e.g. collaborating nodes, proxies, or web servers over the Internet). This enlisting process is cyber foraging, which involves the Internet domain name server (DNS) and thus a longer delay. Let us visualize this delay in terms of a m-business setup, which normally has two phases: mobile commerce (m-commerce) and electronic commerce (e-commerce).

a) M-commerce focuses on how to galvanize customers effectively with attractive promotional material. Via mobile devices (i.e. small-form-factor (SFF) devices such as PDA and mobile phone) the customers browse promotions to window-shop electronically. Some customers may end up buying goods from different e-shops and have their transactions handled by the e-commerce phase. In m-business, service RTT has two meanings: M-commerce service RTT for promotional purposes: This occurs between the customer and the “m-commerce hub”, which is modeled as a proxy server in Figure 1. This service RTT is the “first-leg” delay in m-business.
b) E-commerce service RTT for transactional purpose: This is the delay between the proxy server and the e-shop, modeled as the remote e-shop in Figure 1. This is the transaction-oriented “second-leg” interaction in m-business.

To summarize, a complete m-business venture should have two “legs” of service delays. If the customer only window-shops electronically, solely the first leg is involved. If an e-shop is involved (e.g. checking details of the goods or paying electronically), the second leg is also needed.

In reality, the m-commerce hub is an e-trading (electronic trading) platform/firm, which charges a fee for completing electronic transactions. For effective promotional purposes the firm would gather adverts of popular goods from different e-shops and cache them to function like an electronic catalogue. Then, the customers can browse these adverts without the need to go directly to the e-shops. Since only the first-leg delay is present, the response is much faster. How the adverts are selected, endorsed and organized is a matter of business policy. For example, the successful Japanese NTT DoCoMo i-mode (information mode) m-business setup, which operates over the mobile Internet by packet switching, maintains a list of e-shops endorsed by the company with stringent criteria.

The lower half (under the boundary) of Figure 1 models the generic 2-leg RTT for web applications. The upper half describes how the m-business operations fit into this generic model. The generic proxy server represents the m-commerce hub, which caches and manages the popular adverts (descriptions of the goods including places of origin, prices, and functions) as data objects. Customers browse these data objects via mobile or SFF devices.

2 RELATED WORK

Caching provides two main advantages as follows:

a) Shortening the service RTT between the client and server: This is achieved because the cache hit ratio \( \nu \) reduces the involvement of the “second leg” or leg-2 operation (Figure 1). For illustration purposes let us assume: \( \nu = 0.8 \), \( T \) as the average service RTT for the “first leg” or leg-1 operation, \( 10T \) as the average service RTT for leg-2 operation (due to the remoteness of the external data sources such as web servers), and \( S_C \) as the speedup due to caching. Then, the speedup is \( S_C = \frac{(T + 10T)}{[T + (1-\nu)*10T]} \), where \( (1-\nu) \) is the miss ratio. With the assumption above \( S_C \) is equal to \( \frac{11T}{(1+2)T} = \frac{11}{3} \approx 3.7 \) fold. The speedup ratio \( S_C \) increases with \( \nu \) and is independent of \( T \).
b) Reducing the physical RTT: Since the number of data objects to be transferred across the network is reduced by caching, more backbone bandwidth is automatically freed for public sharing. As a result less chance of network congestion reduces the T value above as a fringe benefit.

Our literature search indicates that researchers are incessantly trying to find effective caching techniques to achieve the following:

a) Yielding a high \( \nu \) without deleterious effects: The solution computation must be optimal in a real-time sense. That is, this solution should be ready before the problem has disappeared. Otherwise, the solution would correct a spurious problem and inadvertently lead to undesirable side effects (i.e. deleterious effects) that cause system instability or failure. The survey in (Wang 1999) presented the various ways (deployed and experimental) whereby \( \nu \) can be enhanced. But, the most researched topic is replacement algorithms, which decide which objects in the cache should be evicted first to make room for the newcomers.

b) Maintaining \( \nu \) on the fly: This school of thought is called dynamic cache size tuning (Wu 2004), and the only published model, which works with a variable cache size, is the MACSC (Model for Adaptive Cache Size Control (Wong 2003). In contrast, other extant dynamic caching techniques work with a static/fixed cache size; they may yield a high hit ratio but do not maintain it.

2.1 Replacement Algorithm

Some researchers compared the hit ratios by different replacement algorithms that work with a static cache size by trace-driven simulations (Cao 1997, Wasf 1996, Asawf 1995); LRU (least frequently used), LFU (least frequently used), Size (Williams 1996), LRU-Threshold, Log(Size)+LRU, Hyper-G, Pitkow/Recker, Lowest Latency First, Hybrid (Wooster 1997), and LRV (lowest relative value). The simulations showed that the following five consistently produce the highest hit ratios: a) LRU (least frequently used), b) Size, c) Hybrid, d) LRV (lowest relative value) and (e) GreedyDual-Size (or GD-Size). The GD-Size yielded the highest hit ratio of them all, but its hit ratio can dip suddenly because of the fixed-size cache, which cannot store enough data objects to maintain a high hit ratio. So far, the only known model for dynamic cache size tuning from the literature is the MACSC [Wong 2003]. All the available MACSC performance data, however, was produced together with the basic LRU replacement algorithm as a component. In effect, the MACSC makes the LRU mechanism adaptive for MACSC adjusts the cache size on the fly; the cache size is now a variable. Since the previous experience (Cao 1997) had confirmed that the GD-Size algorithm yields a higher hit ratio than LRU, it is logical to combine MACSC and GD-Size to create an even more efficient caching framework. This combination, which is proposed in this paper, creates the novel dynamic GD-Size (DGD-Size) replacement strategy. The DGD-Size should be able to maintain a higher hit ratio than the previous LRU-based MACSC’s.

2.2 The MACSC Concept

Figure 2 shows the popularity profile over time for the same set of data objects. The A, B and C curves represent the three instances of the profile changes. These instances were caused by changes in user preference towards certain data objects. Any such change is reflected by the current profile standard deviation, for example, \( \text{SD}_A, \text{SD}_B \) and \( \text{SD}_C \). From the perspective of a changeable popularity spread, any replacement algorithm designed to gain a high hit ratio with a fixed-size cache \( S_C \) works well only for \( S_{LV} \leq S_C \). That is, the cache size \( S_{LV} \) accommodates the given hit ratio equal to \( L \) times the standard deviation \( \nu \) of the data object popularity profile. If the expected hit ratio is for \( L = 1 \) (i.e. 68.3%), then the cache size \( S_C \) is initialized accordingly. In the MACSC case, \( S_C \) is continuously adjusted with respect to the current relative data object popularity profile on the fly. Conceptually, \( S_{LV} \leq S_C \) always holds; \( S_C \) is the adjusted cache size at time \( t \). From two successive measured standard deviation values the MACSC computes the popularity ratio (PR) for tuning the cache size. The PR is also called the standard deviation ratio (SR) as shown by equation (2.1), where \( CS_{SR} \) is the new cache size adjustment and the current SR is inside the brackets.

\[
CS_{SR} = CS_{\text{Old SR}} \left( \frac{\nu \text{This-popularity-profile}}{\nu \text{Last-popularity-profile}} \right) \quad (2.1)
\]
Conceptually the MACSC should predict the true/ideal mean $\mu$ and the true/ideal standard deviation $\delta$ of the current popularity profile for equation (2.2). In practice it is rare that the exact values for $\mu$ and $\delta$ can be computed statistically. To differentiate $\mu$ and $\delta$ from their estimated values by the MACSC mechanism the following are defined:

a) Estimated mean ($\bar{x}$): This is calculated for a sample of arbitrary size $n$, and the minimum value for $n$ should be greater than 10 or $n \geq 10$.

b) Estimated standard deviation $s_x$: This is calculated from the same $n$ data points above. In the MACSC operation the finally settled $s_x$ is the $\nabla$ value in equation (2.1) (i.e. accepted measurement for the specified error tolerance).

If $\bar{x}$ is the measured standard deviation for the curve plotted with a collection of $x$ values (i.e. means of different $x$ samples), by the central limit theorem the relationship (2.2) holds, provided that $n$ is large enough and there is a sufficient number of $x$ values. The estimated $s_x$ for every sample of size $n$ usually differs from $\bar{x}$. Since estimating the acceptable mean and the standard deviation of an unknown distribution is a guessing game, it is necessary to set a reasonable error tolerance. By assuming the following tolerance parameters the "$\sqrt{N \text{ -- equation }}$" (2.3) can be derived [Chis92]:

a) Error tolerance $E$: It is the fractional/percentage error about $\mu$ (ideal/true mean) by the estimated mean $\bar{x}$ for a sample of $n$ data points (i.e. $x$ variables) collected on the fly.

b) The tolerance $k$: It is the number of standard deviations that $\bar{x}$ is away from the true mean $\mu$ and still be tolerated ($E$ and $k$ connote same error).

3 THE DYNAMIC GREEDY-DUAL SIZE FRAMEWORK

The dynamic GreedyDual-Size (DGD-Size) conceptual framework has two basic parallel tasks: a) P1 - the original static GreedyDual-Size (GDS) mechanism, and b) P2 - the MACSC dynamic cache size tuner. P1 computes the utility of every newly cached/accessed data object. If there is not enough space to cache a new object, the object(s) in the cache with the lowest utility $U_{\text{min}}$ will be evicted one by one until enough space is made available. In every eviction cycle the utilities of all the extant objects are reduced by the current $U_{\text{min}}$, as shown by "step 2" of P1. The utility of an object is recomputed when it is accessed. The parallel task P2 samples data points on the fly to capture the current relative object popularity profile for the cache [Wong03]. With these data points MACSC computes the popularity ratio and the current cache size adjustment $CS_{SR}$ to maintain the given hit ratio. In fact, P1 works with the current $CS_{SR}$ by P2 in a transparent manner.

Par (P1 and P2): /* P1 and P2 are 2 parallel tasks of Dynamic GreedyDual-Size */

{P1:

/* To computes the utility $U(d)$ of every newly cached/accessed object */

step 1: $U(d) = po + c(d)/z_d$ ; 

/* Evict object of lowest utility and the utilities of all cached objects minus $U_{\text{min}}$ */

Evict $(O_{U_{\text{min}}})$: $U(d) = U(d) - U_{\text{min}}$

} /* End P1 - DGS mechanism */

/* Evict object of lowest utility */

P2:

$CS_{SR} = CS_{\text{Old} \_SR} \ast \left( \frac{LV_{\text{This-popularity}}}{LV_{\text{Last-popularity}}} \right)$

/* End P2 - dynamic cache tuning */

/* end of DGD-Size domain */

Figure 3: DGD-Size pseudo-program.

$$\delta_x = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \ldots (2.2)$$

$$E\mu = k\delta_x \approx k(\delta/\sqrt{N}) \ldots (2.3)$$

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Many experiments were performed to verify that the novel DGD-Size framework (also referred to as the EMCGD$S$ - our research code name for the "MACSC + GreedyDual-Size" implementation) can really achieve the following:

a) Produce and maintain a hit ratio higher than the given one.

b) Outperform the conventional static GD-Size (or simply GDS) approach.

c) Outperform the original dynamic "MACSC + LRU" implementation (customarily called EMCLRU).

These experiments were carried out on the IBM OpenAglets mobile agent platform. The choice of the platform was intentional because it is designed for open Internet applications [Aglets], and this makes the experimental results scalable. The preliminary
results from the experiments collectively indicate that EMCGDS outperforms EMCLRU even though the latter never ceased to maintain the given hit ratio as the minimum. In contrast, the hit ratios produced by the conventional GDS fluctuated drastically. The Intel’s VTune Performance Analyzer measures the execution times of EMCGDS, EMCLRU and GDS for comparison purposes.

Figure 4 compares the average hit ratios by the three different caching strategies: EMCGDS, EMCLRU and GDS. Every point on a curve is the average hit ratio for the whole data trace or trace segment. The traces used were downloaded from the web site [Trace]. A concise explanation of the different traces marked on the axis is in the sequel:

a) BU-Web-Client: This trace contains records of the HTTP requests and clients’ behaviour in the Boston University Computer Science Department. The time span was from 21 November 1994 to 8 May 1995. Two segments of this trace, namely, BUb19 and BU272 were used to drive two separate simulations.

b) Calgary-HTTP: This trace is from the University of Calgary's Department of Computer Science WWW server in Alberta, Canada. It covers the period from 24 October1994 to 11 October 1995.

c) ClarkNet-HTTP: ClarkNet is an Internet service provider in the Metro Baltimore Washington D.C. area. This trace was divided into 10 segments as shown in Figure 6.

d) NASA-HTTP: This trace covers the months of July and August 1995 and contains the HTTP requests to the NASA Kennedy Space Center’s WWW server in Florida. It was divided into 6 segments for different simulations.

e) Saskatchewan-HTTP: This trace contains all the HTTP requests to the University of Saskatchewan's WWW server in Canada.

The conclusion that can be drawn from Figure 4 is that EMCGDS consistently produces and maintains a higher hit ratio than the given one standard deviation (i.e. one \( \sqrt{68.3\%} \)). In fact, for the selected traces (marked on the X-axis) the hit ratio never fell below 87% for EMCGDS. This is much better than the EMCLRU for which the hit ratio oscillates seriously even though it never fell below 70%. The worst performance is the conventional static GDS because it works with a static cache size. In the experiments all the cache sizes were initialized to 500000 bytes for comparison purposes.

Time-critical web applications usually need fast client/server response for success. As indicated by the results in Figure 4, EMCGDS undoubtedly shortens the client/server service RTT more dramatically than EMCLRU. For the same assumptions made in the “Related Work” section, the minimum speedup by EMCGDS for the data set...
traces used in Figure 4 is 5.5 fold (as shown by the calculation below) for the minimum hit ratio by EMCGDS is 90%.

The on-line timing analyses by the Intel’s VTune Performance Analyzer show the following:

a) The average execution time for the conventional GDS Java implementation in the experiments is 980 clock cycles.

b) The intrinsic part of the average execution time for the MACSC implemented in Java is 23425 clock cycles.

VTune had also confirmed that the average execution time for the basic LRU’s Java implementation is 627 clock cycles. For the EMCGDS and EMCLRU mechanisms the computation delay mainly comes from MACSC component, which has to sample the time series for its operation. The inter-arrival times among data points in the aggregate determines the delay because enough data has to be sampled for the current MACSC control cycle. The MACSC mechanism actually runs in parallel with GDS or LRU unit.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The preliminary results in the EMCGDS research confirm that caching indeed makes time-critical web applications such as m-business setups successful. In particular, the dynamic caching size tuning technique contributes to maintain the given cache hit ratio as the minimum. This shortens the data retrieval roundtrip time over the Internet in a consistent fashion. As a result fast system response makes m-business customers happy and return for more business. In this light, the DGD-Size contribution is significant. The next step in the research is to validate EMCGDS in more open m-business environments over the mobile Internet.
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