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Abstract: Current Level of Detail (LoD) approaches for triangle meshes use variably triangulated mesh patches in order to approximate the original mesh surface. The approximation is synthesized from some of these patches, which have to cover the whole surface and must not intersect each other. The patches are chosen corresponding to the necessary view dependent triangulation. This paper addresses the creation of the required hierarchical data structures, in order to enable Level of Detail synthesis for very large triangle meshes. Because of the limited amount of internal memory, most of the mesh data reside in external memory during the process. Due to the high access latency of external memory, commonly used algorithms for small meshes are hardly applicable for so called “Out of Core” meshes. Other methods have to be found that overcome the problems with the external memory.

1 INTRODUCTION

Widely available massive triangle meshes that are for instance results of highly detailed laser scans, demand special algorithms in order to explore them interactively.

Due to its size the complete data set does not fit into the internal memory of current PC hardware. Thus, only parts of the mesh can be used for a real-time exploration, while most of the data have to remain in external memory. This demands fast swaps between external and internal memory.

Thus, interactive Level of Detail (LoD) algorithms use mesh patches, in order to synthesize approximations of the original mesh. These mesh patches have different levels of detail and can be merged into a mesh which covers the complete surface of the original mesh.

This paper describes the creation of the necessary data structures for a patch-based LoD algorithm in external memory. Due to the speed limitations of external memory devices, the process is serialized as much as possible and uses efficient caching.

First, a short selection of related articles is given in the next section. The third section describes the usage and the creation of so called “patch trees”, which enable a patch based LoD synthesis. Finally some results an a short conclusion are given in the following sections.

2 RELATED WORK

Popular LoD schemas, such as “edge collapse”-hierarchies for arbitrary meshes (Hoppe, 1997) or ROAM for height-field meshes (Duchaineau, 2001) are normally used with small data sets and have a very fine granularity. For these examples, the granularity for LoD operations is two triangles and creates a high CPU load during mesh updates. Furthermore, memory swap operations are very inefficient for such a fine granularity, because external memory operations are only efficient for larger blocks of memory and sequential access.

In (Hoppe, 1998) an “Out-of-Core” terrain rendering system is presented, that creates a Progressive Mesh in external memory. Therefore the terrain map is divided into blocks, which are independently simplified (borders must not be changed). After that, these blocks are merged and simplified again, until only one block remains. The externally stored “edge collapse”-hierarchy is used to approximate the original terrain data set.

Newer approaches (Cignoni, 2003, 2004, 2005) use mesh patches to assemble the mesh approximation. The first approach uses the ROAM hierarchy together with a batched height-field mesh, while the second approach can also be used for arbitrary meshes. The third approach generalizes the MT-hierarchy (Puppo, 1996) data structure for the use with patches. The patches in these approaches contain many triangles and thereby increase the granu-
larity of the LoD algorithm. This also reduces the necessary hierarchy structure that stores how patches can be substituted. Such smaller hierarchy structures furthermore reduce the CPU-load during mesh updates.

3 PATCH TREES

A patch tree is a data structure that describes the surface of a triangle mesh with different levels of detail. All nodes of the tree contain compact mesh patches, which are used to synthesize view dependent approximations of the original mesh. The leaf nodes contain the original geometry of the mesh, while parent nodes always contain a simplified version of the geometry of their child nodes.

In the context of this paper, the tree is constructed with a number of levels that all cover the complete mesh surface. This means that all leaves of the tree share the same level and the path length of all leaf nodes to the root is equal. Figure 1 shows an example of a typical patch tree.

![Figure 1: Simple patch tree structure.](image)

Such patch trees can be used to create approximations of the original mesh with a restricted cut across the tree. The cut is constrained to prevent adjacent patches in the approximation that are more than one level apart. This constraint enables the pre-computation of the transitions between adjacent patches in different levels of the tree.

3.1 Out of Core Creation

The creation of patch trees for very large triangle meshes, which do not fit into the internal memory, is very challenging. Due to the evenly distributed accesses to the surface during the construction of a patch tree, a direct adoption of standard algorithms is hardly applicable. Both, the greedy construction of patches and the surface simplification demand random access to the surface data. Due to the high access latency of the external memory, most of the process time would be spent waiting for the external memory feedback.

In order to reduce the negative effect of external memory access, intelligent methods have to be used to reduce random accesses to the surface.

One very important tool to achieve this is the external heap. This heap enables to serialize many accesses to external memory by a fast and latency-optimized sorting of items in the external memory. Further optimizations can be reached by minimizing the random accesses to the external memory with intelligent caching.

The process queue, which is used to create the patch tree consists of three steps:

- Create leaf patches
- Straighten borders of leaf patches
- Create patch hierarchy

These steps will be further described now.

3.1.1 Create Leaf Patches

In this step, the triangle mesh (indexed mesh) is segmented into patches. Therefore an average number of triangles per patch is chosen (e.g. 1000 triangle/patch) and used to determine the number of leaf patches.

During the creation of the desired number of patches from the original triangles, all triangles are treated as patches. First the neighbor-patches of all triangular patches are determined. Because the mesh does not fit into internal memory, the process is serialized with external heaps. Therefore all patches are brought to an external heap, weighted with each of their vertex indices in ascending order. Now the patches are read back from the heap, grouped by equal vertex indices. The neighborhood in each group is found according to the common vertex index. After the neighbor has been set, the resulting triangle is brought to another heap, weighted with the original triangle index. Now the triangles are read back from the second heap. Three items are read from the heap at a time that belong to the same triangular patch and contain the neighbors. During the same process, the vertex positions can be associated with each patch, in order to determine its area and border segment lengths.

The merge process, which iteratively merges the two best possible patches into a new patch, cannot be serialized in the way seen before. Thus the random accesses have to be reduced by intelligent caching. The priority of the merge operations is determined by the compactness of their results. Each patch is tested with all adjacent patches and the best result is chosen as merge-target. The compactness is measured as circle similarity. The area and the outline of the resulting patch is known and thus the similarity to a circle can be computed. In this approach, the following measure is used:
This measure prefers short outlines such as in (Sanders, 2001) but also prevents large areas with small outlines, which could occur on separated features such as legs or arms.

The caching is realized with an octree, in order to make use of spatial coherence. The merge algorithm reads an octree node and merges all patch-pairs whose priority is locally minimal. When no further merges are possible in the internal memory part, this region is extended around the octree-node in internal memory that contains the lowest merge priority. There will always be patches (border patches), whose adjacent patches still reside in external memory and thus prevent the computation of a merge priority. Patches adjacent to border patches cannot be used for merging, because it is impossible to determine whether their priorities are locally minimal. Thus there will always remain patches with low priorities in internal memory, which can be used to extend the internal memory footprint until all remaining patches reside in internal memory.

This process continues until no further, locally minimal merge operations are possible. When the internal memory usage exceeds a given threshold, parts of the internal memory are written back to the octree. During the merge process, the indices of the two merged patches and of their parent patch are stored into an external heap, weighted with their compactness measure (descending). When the compactness value of one of the child patches is higher than the one of the resulting patch, the highest compactness measure is associated with the heap item. This enables refining the resulting binary hierarchy of patches by simply removing items from the heap. After a number of items was removed from the heap, the leaf patches and their binary subtree can be collected from the heap. The leaf nodes of the subtrees contain the associated triangles of the patch.

### 3.1.2 Straighten Patch Borders

The leaf patches, which were generated in the last paragraph, have relatively rough borders. To improve the synthesis of approximations, the borders should be smoothed. Figure 2 shows a the difference between the initial rough borders and the straightened borders. It is visible that the general shape was preserved in the smoothing process, while many small corners were smoothed.

To straighten the borders of the patches, the path length has to be shortened between two sequenced patch corners. The shape of the patches is preserved with the use of local shorten-operations only. Only vertices in the direct neighborhood of the path (1-neighborhood) can be used in the optimization. Due to the large amount of data that has to be processed, this process is serialized again.

The optimization heap is constructed from the triangles of the surface. The triangles are first sorted by their patch index with another heap. This enables to collect the triangles per patch. Then each triangle is brought to the optimization heap. The triangles are weighted corresponding to the data associated with the patch. If all adjacent patches have higher indices, the patch index of the triangle is chosen. Otherwise the lowest index of the adjacent patches is chosen. This ensures that each triangle occurs only once in the optimization heap. After the optimization heap is initialized, all triangles with the same priority are read from the heap into the internal memory. In internal memory the optimization for the available borders between the patches can be computed, by iteratively shortening the border path in the local neighborhood. Only the 1-neighborhood (1 vertex distance to the previous path) is considered during the optimization. After that, the resulting triangles are written back to external memory. If the triangle is associated with a patch whose adjacent patches have all higher indices than the current priority, this triangle is written to the result heap and weighted with its associated patch index. Otherwise the triangle is brought back to the optimization heap, weighted with the lowest index among the associated patch and its direct neighbors that is greater than the current priority. This process is repeated until all triangles are situated in the result heap.

\[
\text{priority} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{outline}^2 + 4\pi \times \text{area}}{2}} \quad (1)
\]
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The geometric simplification is similar to the creation of the initial patches in the beginning. First, an arbitrary patch is read from the external memory to the internal memory. Then the vertices in internal memory are classified into border, near-border and inner vertices. The border vertices have the property that at least one direct neighbor is not yet in the internal memory. The near-border vertices must have at least one border vertex in their neighborhood, while inner vertices have only other inner or near-border vertices in their neighborhood. Thus, collapse targets can be found for near-border and inner vertices only, while locally minimal collapse operations can be found among inner vertices only.

After the patch geometry is read and classified, all possible locally minimal collapse operations are performed. For each collapse operation, the indices of both collapsed vertices are brought to an external heap (descending), weighted with their collapse error. If one of the collapsed vertices had a higher QEM error, this error is used as heap priority. Then the near-border vertex with the least QEM error is chosen, in order to expand the region in the internal memory around the associated patches (vertices can be shared by multiple patches). The geometry of the new patches is read from external memory and classified. This includes an update of some vertices, which already reside in internal memory, because their border/near-border status could have changed. Again, all locally minimal collapse operations are performed and the region in the internal memory is expanded. This process is repeated, until no further expansion is possible. Whenever the amount of used internal memory exceeds a given threshold, the geomet-

tions. The sequence of collapses is controlled by the QEM (Garland, 1996).

The patch tree structure should completely fit into the internal memory now. Thus, all further merge operations are executed without access to external memory. The triangle data of the patches however, does not fit into the internal memory and has to remain in external memory. Thus, the process of surface simplification has to be optimized for external memory as well.

Before the process starts, initial QEM matrices are computed for each vertex. This can easily be serialized with external heaps again. After that, the new patch level is created by halving the number of current patches. Now the number of triangles has to be halved from the current patch level to the new patch level. Therefore all triangles and vertices of the current level are written to a temporary file, associated with their new patch index. Now the geometry data of each patch can be read as one block from external memory.

The geometric simplification is similar to the creation of the initial patches in the beginning. First, an arbitrary patch is read from the external memory to the internal memory. Then the vertices in internal memory are classified into border, near-border and inner vertices. The border vertices have the property that at least one direct neighbor is not yet in the internal memory. The near-border vertices must have at least one border vertex in their neighborhood, while inner vertices have only other inner or near-border vertices in their neighborhood. Thus, collapse targets can be found for near-border and inner vertices only, while locally minimal collapse operations can be found among inner vertices only.

After the patch geometry is read and classified, all possible locally minimal collapse operations are performed. For each collapse operation, the indices of both collapsed vertices are brought to an external heap (descending), weighted with their collapse error. If one of the collapsed vertices had a higher QEM error, this error is used as heap priority. Then the near-border vertex with the least QEM error is chosen, in order to expand the region in the internal memory around the associated patches (vertices can be shared by multiple patches). The geometry of the new patches is read from external memory and classified. This includes an update of some vertices, which already reside in internal memory, because their border/near-border status could have changed. Again, all locally minimal collapse operations are performed and the region in the internal memory is expanded. This process is repeated, until no further expansion is possible. Whenever the amount of used internal memory exceeds a given threshold, the ge-
ometry of patches with the highest near-border QEM errors, is moved back to external memory.

After the process has finished, all patches have to be checked whether they contain inner vertices or not. If inner vertices remained, the process has to be started again with one of these patches. Such non-processed inner vertices can occur under some circumstances, when a region is surrounded by completely processed patches and is then written to external memory.

After the simplification, we get a heap that contains a sequence of collapse operations and thus the simplification hierarchy. To extract the geometry for the new patch tree level, the result vertices have to be refined. This is done by removing the first items from the heap, until the correct number of triangles is reached. Now the geometry is adopted to the refined vertices and stored according to the patches in the new patch level.

The creation of new patch levels and the simplification of the geometry are repeated, until the number of patches reaches a given threshold. The result is a patch tree, with a structure like the one in the first figure. All leaf nodes are situated in the same level and have a parent node in the next level, except for the root node.

3.2 LoD Synthesis

Once the patch tree has been created, it can be used to assemble approximations of the original mesh. To measure the effect of an patch refinement in the approximation, object space errors have to be computed. Therefore all child patches are recursively projected to their parent patches. Now the average squared distance of all vertices to their projection is computed. The projection is computed by locking the common vertices in both levels and by placing the other vertices according to their locked neighbors. The placement is determined with the mean value coordinates (Floater, 2001). After the vertices of the child patches have been projected to their parent patch, the average squared distance to their original position is computed. Furthermore, the center and radius of a bounding sphere for each patch is computed to enable view frustum culling.

For a view-dependent approximation a priority queue (descending) is initialized with the root patches. The object space error of each queued patch is computed by dividing the average squared distance of the patch with the squared distance to the viewer. Furthermore, a view frustum test is performed for each patch, to determine whether it is visible. Invisible patches are marked and assigned to a view dependent error value of zero.

During the refinement, the first item is iteratively read from the priority queue and used for refinement. The refinement step is constrained to allow only patch splits, if the parent patches of its neighbor patches (in the same patch level) have already been split. If not, these parent patches are split before the current patch (forced split). This ensures a maximum level difference of 1 between adjacent patches in the final approximation.

Before the approximation is sent to the GPU, all transitions between patches of different levels have to be created. Due to the constrained possible transitions, all states of triangles in the transition area can be computed in an offline process. Figure 4 shows how a border segment of a higher level patch is adopted to a lower level patch.

![Figure 4: Patch transition.](image)

The changes in the geometry due to the level transition introduce new surface errors in the approximated surface, but these errors occur only at borders to coarser detail-levels which have an higher approximation error anyway.

The reference to all vertices in the high level patch, which do not occur in the low level patch, are moved to the nearest common vertex on the border segment. Finally the geometry of all patches can be sent to the GPU.

4 RESULTS

The patch tree construction process has been implemented and tested with different meshes. Due to the early prototype status of the software, only watertight meshes (no holes in the surface) can be processed for now. Table 1 lists four test meshes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Triangles</th>
<th>Vertices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armadillo</td>
<td>345,944</td>
<td>172,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial 1</td>
<td>8,388,608</td>
<td>4,194,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial 2</td>
<td>33,554,432</td>
<td>16,777,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial 3</td>
<td>134,217,728</td>
<td>67,108,866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Test meshes.
Due to the lack of large watertight meshes the last three meshes were computed with a fractal subdivision method on a sphere surface. There are only differences in the details structure. Figure 5 shows the leaf patches of the first two meshes.

Figure 5: Leaf patches of first two test meshes.

The results of the patch tree construction process can be read on table 2. Patch trees with average patch sizes of 1,000 triangles have been built for all test meshes.

Table 2: Patch tree results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Leaf Patches</th>
<th>Tighten Borders</th>
<th>Final Hierarchy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armadillo</td>
<td>71 s</td>
<td>46 s</td>
<td>102 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial 1</td>
<td>3,495 s</td>
<td>2,145 s</td>
<td>4,833 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial 2</td>
<td>10,089 s</td>
<td>6,391 s</td>
<td>16,408 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial 3</td>
<td>45,228 s</td>
<td>29,810 s</td>
<td>71,547 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first two meshes have been tested on a 2 GHz Pentium 4 machine with 1 GB of RAM and a SCSI hard disc. Due to its minor size, the “Armadillo” mesh was processed very fast. Almost all external memory operations have been cached by the operating system. The last two meshes have been processed with an Athlon64 3800+ with 4 GB of RAM and a SATA hard disc. The size of the usable memory (except for the final patch hierarchy structure) was set to 150 MB. The results still show a relatively long processing time for the large meshes. However, basic simplification algorithms that do not care about spatial coherence or serialization, would spend even weeks to process such large data-sets. Moreover there is enough room for further speedups. Especially a less primitive version of the external heap would be very helpful.

The resulting patch hierarchies can be used for interactive exploration of the corresponding triangle meshes. The low complexity of the patch trees reduces the CPU load and thus enable better usage of the GPU bandwidth. Surely the data should be further processed. First the sequence of patch-data in external memory can be improved by grouping semantically near parts of the tree together. Furthermore the amount of data for the patches can be reduced by striping. And if a number of vertices below 65,536 can be guaranteed for each patch, the indices can be stored with 16 bits instead of 32.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper an process queue has been presented which automatically generates patch trees from large triangle meshes. A two day processing time for a 128M triangle mesh is not bad but improvable.
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