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Abstract: This paper presents a novel rendering technique inspired by artistic approaches. Instead of trying to recreate a traditional medium, such as charcoal or watercolor, this approach is a mixture of both photo-realism and abstraction. Artists use a process of abstraction to provide structural information about subjects that do not have clearly defined shapes, such as groups of leaves in a tree. For example, an artist will use a color wash to first approximate a group of leaves. They then add detail on top of parts of this wash to indicate the presence of individual leaves. Similarly, we use an abstract shape that approximates the image of leaves clustered at the end of a branch. To prevent oversimplification, we add photo-realistic detail using a blending process. Inter-frame coherence is achieved both by smoothly interpolating the abstract shapes, and the continuity inherent in the photo-realistically rendered detail.

1 INTRODUCTION

This paper proposes a novel non-photorealistic method to render algorithmically generated trees. Instead of trying to recreate the traditional medium that an artist might use, such as watercolor or charcoal, our approach is inspired by how artists use a combination of abstraction and detail to convey both shape and texture in the scene. In particular, we look at how artists render trees.

Long before computers created their first computer generated images, humans developed many methods, some realistic, some not, for depicting complicated shapes such as trees. The first step in painting a tree with real paint is to consider the outline shape of the tree (Lovett, 2004). Painting every leaf would result in an overwhelming amount of detail. Instead, leaves should be viewed as a mass and painted in clumps (Boddy-Evans, 2005). To give a sense of texture, a couple of the leaves should be drawn accurately (Bourdet, 2001). The goal of this paper is to create images inspired by this approach.

Artists use abstraction to provide structural information about subjects that do not have obvious shapes. For example, a wash of color represents a group of leaves. To convey detail, artists then paint in representative leaves, but only in places. Similarly, large branches are depicted in detail while smaller branches might be left out altogether.

We mimic this approach to render algorithmically generated trees. Leaves at the end of branches are clustered into one coherent unit which are then rendered with substitute geometry that suggests the shape of the original leaves. The resulting image has a cartoon-like appearance which is then offset by introducing photo-realistic detail using a blending process. We render only the larger branches, omitting smaller ones in the leaf groups.

We provide several artistic options to alter the appearance of the final rendering. Outlining the blobs provides visual structure to the image and enhances perspective. The location, and strength, of detail is important when painting. The rendering application allows the user to control the amount of detail to use,
and where to apply it.

Ensuring inter-frame coherence is a challenge in non-photorealistic approaches. Because we are using detail drawn from the photo-realistic rendering process, this detail is visually stable from frame to frame. To ensure that the abstract information is stable, we apply the full rendering process every \( n \) frames, then interpolate the abstract data for the intermediate frames.

Contributions: We present a new, art-based rendering technique that combines abstraction with photorealism. This approach mirrors the artistic process rather than the re-creating a traditional media. Although in this paper we focus on algorithmically generated trees, the method could easily be extended to arbitrary scenes.

Previous work is provided in section 2. A description of L-systems is provided in section 3. Section 4 describes how the groups of leaves are rendered. Chapter 5 discusses maintaining inter-frame coherence. Results are contained in section 6. Section 7 provides a conclusion and describes future work.

## 2 PREVIOUS WORK

There is a large (and growing) body of work in non-photorealistic rendering. We focus on methods that have been adapted specifically for rendering trees. In the following section we discuss the plant generation software (L-Systems) used to generate the models for this paper.

In the past, computer graphics rendering focused primarily on producing photographic images (Markosian et al., 1997). Non-photorealistic rendering, on the other hand, may focus on abstraction and simplification, such as the work by Kowalski et al (Kowalski et al., 1999). In this approach the authors use strokes to render 3D computer graphics scenes in a stylized manner to suggest the complexity of the scene (by drawing a few leaves at the silhouettes) without representing it explicitly. Other approaches mimic particular media, such as stippling (Deussen et al., 2000), charcoal rendering (Meier, 1996), engraving (Ostromoukhov, 1999), and half toning (Freudenberg et al., 2002). Deussen (Deussen and Strothotte, 2000), Luft (Luft and Deussen, 2006) and Fiore (Di Fiore et al., 2003) focus on using stippling, water colors, and cartoon rendering, respectively, for trees.

Alvy Smith was the first to use Lindenmayer systems (L-systems) to render representations of trees (Smith, 1984). The method in this paper also uses L-systems to create the base 3D models from which to draw. In particular, we use Prusinkiewicz’s approach to modeling and visualization of plants using L-systems (Prusinkiewicz, 2004).

## 3 USING L-SYSTEMS TO CREATE TREES

We use L-systems to create the 3D tree mesh data. We post-process the mesh data to reduce the mesh complexity, ensure that the meshes are closed, and to extract the branches and leaf groups.

![Figure 2: Creating a leaf mesh using L-studio.](image)

### 3.1 Creating the Mesh

The advantage of using 3-D data (Deussen and Strothotte, 2000) is that we can use automated tools to generate the trees. A 3D model of the tree provides the necessary information about the structure of the tree. We used L-studio 4.0 (Karwowski and Lane, 2004) to generate the trees used in this paper.

In its basic form, a Lindenmayer system, or L-system, consists of a starting string of symbols from an alphabet, and a series of transitions specified by a list of search-and-replace rules. During each recursive step, zero or more rule-based transitions are applied to the string. Each rule consists of an input substring to be replaced and the string to replace it with. The ordering of the rules is significant. (Francis, 2002) L-studio also provides several functions to control the size of the leaves, and the length and size of the branches based on growth time. The trees used in this paper have a single leaf shape.

We need to group the leaves based on their level in the hierarchy (essentially, all leaves produced after level \( l \) are grouped). When L-studio creates the output file, it lists the leaves and branches in the order that they were generated. To determine the group to which a leaf belongs we use a threshold value based on the diameter of a branch. The program reads leaves until the next time that the diameter of a branch crosses
the pre-defined threshold value. This triggers a new group of leaves to be started. This process is repeated until all of the leaves are read and placed into a unique grouping.

Leaves and branches constitute the smallest connected grouping in a mesh. We apply one texture to the branches and a separate one to the leaves. The meshes belonging to the branches below level $l$ (i.e., the trunk and big branches) are separated out and labeled as a single group.

### 3.2 Modifying the Mesh Resolution

The resolution at which L-Studio creates the leaf and branch meshes is higher than we need, so we simplify them. Also, the leaf meshes are one sided, so we duplicate the leaf mesh, reverse the orientation, and add thickness.
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Figure 3: Options for drawing a group of leaves. (Left) Textures used. (Center) Leaves drawn without edges highlighted. (Right) Leaves drawn with edges highlighted.

The leaf textures (see figure 3) are a mix of photographs and hand-painted images. Options for selecting where to apply texture include leaf only, branch only, and recursively. The texture can either be applied to every face or can be stretched out over every face in a leaf.

The rendering application uses of an id buffer to determine which pixels a leaf group (or branch) occupies. We assign a unique id to every leaf group and branch.

### 4 RENDERING

This section describes the rendering process for creating a single frame (see figure 4). The rendering process begins by clustering leaves into groups (blobs). We create approximate, 3D, screen-aligned geometry for each group (the blob geometry). This geometry serves two purposes. First, we render the blob geometry using approximate colors to produce an abstract rendering of the group. Second, we use the blob geometry to produce an alpha mask which tells us where to add in detail. This alpha mask is used to combine the abstract rendering with a photo-realistic rendering of the leaves to create a billboard image for the blob. (Note: By photo-realistic we mean traditional OpenGL, or ray tracing, Phong, etc., rendering.)

Once the billboard images are created, we add depth and combine them, with the large branches and trunk, into a single image.

### 4.1 Lighting

The user specifies two sets of lighting arrangements. The first set of lights, the scene lights, is used to light the tree in the traditional manner. The second set of lighting (the blend lighting) is used to determine where to mix the photorealistic rendering with the non-photorealistic rendering. This is described in more detail in section 4.2.2.

### 4.2 Rendering Blobs

Each group of leaves is processed independently. We construct several images; an id image which is used to create the approximate geometry, a rendering of the approximate geometry, an alpha blend mask, and the detail rendering. The formula for combining these images is $\text{approximate geometry} \ast \alpha \text{blend mask} + \text{detail} \ast (1 - \alpha \text{blend}) = \text{final}$. 

#### 4.2.1 Blob Approximate Geometry

The goal of grouping the leaves together is to make a blobby image shape that captures some of the 3D detail and shading of the leaf group. This process is done in image space, essentially “shrink-wrapping” the leaves.

The group of leaves is first rendered with all other branches and leaves turned off. A circle comprised of typically 20 control points is placed around the leaves. The user can adjust the number of points used; twenty provides a balance between creating an interesting shape and abstracting the boundary. The fewer points used, the smoother the outline will be. The control points are “shrink wrapped” to the group of leaves by moving them 20% of the distance between the current position and the center of the blob. This process is repeated until the point is on a leaf (see fig 5b). A B-spline connects the points into a smooth curve and is also used to draw the blob’s outline.

Next, we create 3D geometry to approximate the shape of the blob. This geometry takes the form of
Figure 4: Creating a single blob. First we render the geometry and shrink wrap it in image space. Second, we construct the approximate 3D blob geometry. This geometry is a screen aligned height with the height field pointing in the direction of the viewer. This geometry is used to create two images. The first image is the approximate, cartoon-like, rendering. The second is the alpha-blend mask used to blend between the approximate image and the detailed image. This image becomes a billboard, trimmed to the shrink wrapped blob outline.

a screen-aligned height field, with the height field pointing towards the user. A 10 by 10 unit mesh square, sufficient to make a smooth-looking blob, is positioned where the leaves are in 3D space, oriented to face the viewer. The height is one unit. The mesh is then scaled so that it covers the leaves in screen space.

To create the height field we place three Gaussian peaks inside of the blob boundary. To find the centers of the peaks, we take the control points of the outline and divide them into thirds. The average point of one third of the boundary is then averaged with the center point of the entire blob to move it slightly inwards.

The color wash used for the blob is an average of the leaf texture color. The blob geometry is rendered using the same lighting that is used to render the tree (see fig 5e).

4.2.2 Adding Detail

The detail texture is created by rendering the leaves. To emphasize the detail in the leaves, we optionally let the user highlight the silhouette (Raskar and Cohen, 1999) edges of each leaf. This emphasizes the outline of the leaf and deemphasizes the texture of the leaf. The silhouette color can vary from black to the average color of the leaf to white.

To create a combination of photorealism and non-photorealism, the blob image and the detailed leaves are blended together. An alpha blend mask is used to determine how and where to blend. This mask is created by rendering the blob geometry (colored grey) with a set of blend lights. Dark regions get the detail image, light regions the blob one. The user can select the location of the light and the strength of the light.

At this point there are several saved images: An image of the leaves with edges (see fig 3), an image of an outline (see fig 3h), an image of the shape approximation (see fig 3e), and an image used to calculate the alpha blend mask (see fig 3f). All of these images are combined into a single image (see fig 3h) which serves as a billboard image for the group. The billboard image is clipped to the outline.

To help differentiate neighboring blobs, an outline
5 ANIMATION

An advantage of computer graphics over traditional handpainted images is that moving the camera around the scene is “free”. The biggest challenge is to maintain inter-frame image continuity. Rendering each frame individually causes the boundaries of blobs to jump, regional centers to bounce around, and blobs to pop in and out as the depth changes between frames. To solve these problems, we generate new blobs every nth frame, then interpolate depth and shape information between those frames.

5.1 Pre-rendering

To pre-render, we assume a pre-defined camera path. A completely new frame is calculated every nth frame, for a user-defined n. Between the nth frames the control points for the blob outline and the depth are interpolated. The regional centers for each blob remain fixed relative to the changing size of the blob. The detailed rendering is generated for each frame.

The number of control points used in the boundary is the same between all blobs in all frames. The boundary between the control points is interpolated using a cubic B-spline, as is the location of the control points at each frame.

For each blob in each key frame the minimum depth of the leaves in the blob is determined. If one blob will pass in front of another, we alpha blend between the two blobs over a period of n frames. This prevents one blob from “popping” in front of the other (see figure 6).

6 RESULTS

In this section we show examples of renderings for a tree and a bush. We compare adjusting parameters for the number of control points, light settings for alpha blob, and color settings for outlines. There is an attached movie that includes rotating and zooming samples of the results.

The first test subject used is a tree. This tree used a division diameter of 0.042443. The division diameter automatically generates the groupings of leaves by measuring the diameter of branches. Twenty control points were used. The edges are black. The alpha blending controls were set to 70% diffuse, 0% ambient, and the light position was to the right and up.

The second test subject used is a bush. This bush used a division diameter of 0.068513. Twenty control points were used. The edges are black. The alpha
blending controls were set to 70% diffuse, 0% ambient, and the light position also to the right and up.

The rendering time per frame is approximately one minute if a purely software-based renderer is used. This time is proportional to the number of rendered blobs. A tree with approximately 8 blobs takes 30 to 45 seconds to render, while 20 blobs takes 60 to 90 seconds to render.

The number of control points used in the outline effects the smoothness of the blob shapes (see figure 8).

The user can also control where detail is placed on the blob by placing a “blending” light relative to the viewpoint. The scene is rendered and the brightness used to control where the detail is placed. Brighter spots will have less detail then darker ones (see Figure 9).

The user can also adjust the color of the blob outline and the silhouette of the leaves. The images in figure 10 illustrate three different settings. Please refer to the attached video. The video shows short clips of the tree and bush rotating and zooming.

7 DISCUSSION

Trees are an ideal subject for abstraction because they have both detail and gross structure, and it is fairly easy to extract this gross structure from the 3D data in a useful way. Unlike most non-photorealistic techniques, this one replaces the 3D geometry with a simpler 2.5D approximation, rather than applying filtering. Applying this approach to other geometry, such as mountains or flowers, would require specifying an appropriate two or three dimensional geometry simplification.
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Figure 8: Number of control points used to create outlines. (a) 10 control points. (b) 20 control points. (c) 30 control points.

Figure 9: Blending light. (a) Blending light is placed above and to right of viewer. (b) Blending light if placed below and to left of viewer.

Figure 10: Changing the silhouette rendering style.