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Abstract: Research on robot techniques that are fast, user-friendly, and require little application-specific knowledge by the user, is more and more encouraged in a society where the demand of home-care or domestic-service robots is increasing continuously. In this context we propose a methodology which is able to achieve fast convergences towards good robot-control policies, and reduce the random explorations the robot needs to carry out in order to find the solutions. The performance of our approach is due to the mutual influence that three different elements exert on each other: reinforcement learning, genetic algorithms, and a dynamic representation of the environment around the robot.

The performance of our proposal is shown through its application to solve two common tasks in mobile robotics.

1 INTRODUCTION

Reinforcement learning seems to be a very interesting strategy, since all the robot needs for learning a behaviour is a reinforcement function which tells the robot how good or bad it has performed but nothing about the desired set of actions it should have carried out.

The maximization of the reinforcement allows the robot to learn a utility function of states and actions called Q-function, which reflects the consequences of executing every possible action in each state – we will assume that the robot interacts with the environment at discrete time steps and it is able to translate the different situations that it may detect through its sensors into a finite number of states, S –. Table 1 shows one of the many reinforcement learning algorithms that can be applied to teach a robot: the truncated temporal differences algorithm, TTD(λ, m) (Cichosz, 1997). Basically the robot begins with an initial set of random negative Q-values: Q(s,a) ≤ 0, ∀s,a, and then it performs a stochastical exploration of its environment. While the robot is moving around, it keeps track of the m last executed actions so that their corresponding Q-values will be decreased or increased depending on whether the robot receives or not negative reinforcements. The parameters γ, λ, and β (that appear in table 1), determine how much the Q-values are changed for every positive or negative reinforcement the robot receives. As the learning process progresses, the robot should tend to execute those actions which seem to be the best ones according to the Q-values, this is called greedy policy.

Despite the benefits of the RL paradigm in autonomous robot-learning, there are important prob-

Table 1: Truncated temporal differences algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Observe the current state, s(t): s[0]=s(t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Select an action a(t) for s(t): a[0]=a(t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Perform action a(t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Observe new state s(t+1) and reinforcement value r(t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>r[0]=r(t), Q[0]=max A Q(s(t+1),a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>For k=0,1,...,m-1 do:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>if k=0 then z = r[k] + μu[k]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>else z = r[k] + γ(λz + (1−λ)u[k]), 0 &lt; γ, λ ≥ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Update the Q-values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>δ = (z − Q(s[m−1],a[m−1]))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔQ(s[m−1],a[m−1]) = βδ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Schematic representation of our approach. Initially the robot moves using the greedy control policy until it finds a situation it doesn’t know how to solve (a), a genetic algorithm is applied to find a solution (b), once the problem is solved the greedy policy is applied again (c).

Problems to consider when it is applied. First, the time required to learn a good greedy policy increases exponentially with the number of states and the number of actions that are possible to execute in each state. On the other hand, the robot wastes an enormous amount of time trying actions that are clearly inappropriate for the task but that are selected randomly during the learning process.

2 OUR APPROACH

To solve part of the drawbacks just mentioned, we propose a learning strategy which combines three elements: reinforcement learning (RL), a genetic algorithm (GA), and a dynamic representation of the environment around the robot (states). Basically, when our approach is applied the robot goes through three cyclical and clearly differentiated stages –figure 2–: a) looking for a new starting position or convergence, b) exploration, and c) generation of a new population of solutions (chromosomes) for the next exploration stage.

2.1 Looking for a New Starting Position

During this first stage the greedy policy is applied to control the robot. If the robot encounters a situation where it doesn’t know how to move – local problem, (figure 1.a) –, it receives a sequence of consecutive negative reinforcements, and its position several steps before the failure is established as a new starting position for the next exploration stage.

2.2 Exploration Stage

Our strategy applies a GA (Davidor, 1991; Cobb and Grefenstette, 1993) in order to solve each local problem the robot finds. The GA starts with a population of solutions called chromosomes. Each chromosome –represented as π– determines the action the robot has
to carry out at each state, \( s: \pi(s) \). The population of chromosomes is evaluated according to an objective function called fitness function, which reflects for how long a chromosome is able to properly control the movement of the robot – figure 1.b –. Once a population of chromosomes has been evaluated, the sequence of states, actions, and rewards the robot received under the control of the best chromosome, is replicated off-line several times to speed up the convergence of the Q-values.

2.3 Generation of a New Population of Solutions (Chromosomes)

The population of chromosomes has to be evolved according to the fitness values. In order to do this, certain genetic operators like mutation –which carries out random changes in the chromosomes–, or chromosome crossover –which combines chromosomes to raise new solutions– have to be applied. We use the Q-values to bias the genetic operators and thus reduce the number of chromosomes which are required to find a solution. Given a particular chromosome \( \pi \), the probability that mutation changes the action that this chromosome suggests for a particular state \( s: \pi(s) \), depends on how many actions look better or worse than \( \pi(s) \) according to the Q-values.

On the other hand, one of the chromosomes should always be the greedy policy because it brings together all that has been already learnt by the robot, and it represents the best chance to have a fast convergence towards the desired solution.

Finally, when the robot is looking for a new starting position and the greedy policy is being used to control it, if the robot moves properly during \( M \) steps before it receives negative reinforcements, only the states involved in the last \( K \) robot’s movements are susceptible of being changed through the GA, while the states involved in the initial \( M-K \) actions are labelled as learnt, so that neither chromosome selection nor chromosome crossover can alter them.

The population of chromosomes is resized after its evaluation according to how close the GA is to the desired solution.

2.4 Dynamic Representation of States

We use the properties of the regular Markov chains (Bertsekas and Tsitsiklis, 1996) to reduce the number of states which are considered during the learning process. The transition matrix and what is called steady vector are estimated, so that only those states with a non-cero entry in the steady vector are considered in the learning procedure. The steady vector contains the probability of finding the robot in each possible state in the long-term.

3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We applied our approach to teach a mobile robot two common tasks: “wall following” and “door traversal”. We have used a Nomad200 robot equipped with 16 ultrasound sensors encircling its upper part and bumpers. In all the experiments the linear velocity of the robot was kept constant (15.24 cm/s), and the robot received the commands it had to execute every 300ms.

We used a set of two layered Kohonen networks to translate the large number of different situations that the ultrasound sensors located on the front and right side of the robot may detect, into a finite set of 220 neurones – states – (R. Iglesias and Barro, 1998).

3.1 Wall Following

To teach the robot how to follow a wall located on its right at a certain distance interval, we used a reinforcement signal that is negative whenever the robot goes too far or too close from the wall being followed. The robot was taught how to perform the task in a simulated training environment, but its performance was tested in a different one. Convergence was detected when the greedy policy was able to properly control the movement of the robot for an interval of 10 minutes.

![Figure 3: Real robot’s trajectory along a corridor when a control policy learnt through our approach was used. For a clear view of the trajectory, figure a) shows the robot’s movement in one direction and b) shows the movement along the opposite direction. Points 1 and 2 in both graphs correspond to the same robot position. The small dots represent the ultrasound readings.](image-url)
When we applied our approach the average required learning time after 19 experiments was only 25 minutes and 9 seconds—the number of chromosomes varied dynamically within the interval [3,20]. When the \( TTD(\lambda, m) \) was applied with the best combination of parameters we found \( \beta = 0.35, \lambda = 0.8, \gamma = 0.95 \) and \( m = 30 \), the average learning time after 6 experiments was 97 minutes and 30 seconds.

\[
\text{Figure 4: Real robot's trajectory when the same control policy as in figure 3 was used.}
\]

To prove that the behaviours learnt through our approach are useful, figures 3 and 4 show the movement of the robot in two real and noisy environments.

### 3.2 Door Traversal

We also applied our approach to teach a robot how to cross a door in the experimental scenario shown in figure 5. To learn this task the reinforcement is negative whenever the robot collides with the door-frame, the robot goes too far from the door, or the movement direction is so wrong that the robot is not facing the door any more. After 21 experiments, the average learning time was 86 minutes and 42 seconds.

\[
\text{Figure 5: Experimental scenario for the door traversal behaviour. The initial positions of the robot were within the shaded area.}
\]

### 4 CONCLUSION

In this article we suggest the use of a new approach based on the combination of a genetic algorithm and the reinforcement learning paradigm to teach a robot how to accomplish different tasks. Our approach is mainly characterized by three aspects: 1) There are clearly differentiated exploration stages where the use of a GA which keeps less information than the RL, and through which the attention is only focused on those actions which seem to be profitable, allows the robot to carry out a fast search of solutions to those situations where the robot doesn’t know how to move. 2) The information learnt through the reinforcements – Q-values – is used to bias the genetic operators – mutation, crossover, selection– and thus improve the performance of the GA. 3) Finally, the properties of the regular Markov chains represent a powerful tool to focus the attention on those states relevant in the robot’s long term behaviour, avoiding the use of a big and unnecessary number of states which would delay the achievement of a good robot-control policy.
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