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Abstract: Vast amount of resources in digital libraries and on the Internet makes the selection of relevant and appropriate resources rather difficult especially for novices and less experienced academics such as students. The article presents an architectural solution for personal recommendation system of web pages targeted to the academic sphere. The solution aims to meet the objective of minimizing the overheads and provide support for PDF documents. The solution is based on a set of heterogeneous components connected via web services.

1 INTRODUCTION

Vast amount of resources in digital libraries and on the Internet makes the selection of relevant and appropriate resources rather difficult especially for novices and less experienced academics such as students. The article presents an architectural solution for personal recommendation system of web pages targeted to the academic sphere. For inferring potentially interesting pages the content filtering and collaborative filtering approaches were used. There are many theoretical papers on how to infer similar web pages and also many case studies with particular implementations (Balabanovic 1997, Balabanovic & Shoham 1997, Basu 1998, Sarwar 2000, Herlocker 2004). The goal in this article is to show how one practical solution can be implemented using various tools. The problem is that the tools might often be fairly heterogeneous i.e. implemented in different programming environments. Thus the solution has to offer a way how to split the system. The solution is also different in that it puts stress on the usability of the system especially by academics. The aim of the technological solution was to offer user friendliness both for rating and also for recommending (i.e. the overheads of doing the rating has to be minimized) and to support also formats other then simple HTML. In particular, the focus of the project was first to integrate the recommendation system into the internet browser so that user can rate and be recommended while browsing the web pages; second, to support PDF (Portable Document Format) documents since the system is targeted for the academic audience and PDF is de facto standard for academic papers.

2 SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The architecture of the system is guided by the need for centralized store of user preferences on one hand and on the other hand by the necessity to track the users’ behaviour. Therefore, the whole system is split into components. Splitting the whole system into several components makes the system more flexible and easier to develop. However, the main reason for dividing the system to components rose from the implementation tier in the form of a constraint of available tools, especially for PDF parsing and user tracking. To make the system use various tools and technologies it was necessary to implement corresponding parts in different programming environments. Thus, the whole system is divided into several components. Each component has different role in the system and can be programmed in different programming languages. Since the individual components are programmed in different programming languages the appropriate communication infrastructure, with common communication protocol had to be selected. Thus, components are interconnected using the web services infrastructure that enables the cooperation.
of programs developed in different programming languages. The overall architecture and the communication lines between components are illustrated in the figure 1.

2.1 User Component

The user component is responsible for monitoring user behaviour and interacting with him. The user component provides the interface to the system and to the functions ensured. User component offers the interface to search the web for resources. The system is using the web services of various search engines to perform the first search result. Those results obtained from the search engine (if not specified otherwise) are then refined based on the information in the user profile.

The comfort offered by the user component to the user is critical for the user acceptance of the system. To minimize the overheads necessary while rating resources the user component is integrated directly into the web browser window. Thus, a part of the user interface of the system is in the form of an explorer window bar (placed vertically on the left hand side) and explorer toolbar (placed horizontally) – see figure 2.

However, there are features that cannot be placed in the toolbar and the window bar of the browser since the user probably wants to have the maximum space for the opened resource. Therefore, some of
the details and reports that the system produces are to be presented in the main window of the web browser as a normal web page.

Since the most common browser is today MS Internet Explorer, it had been selected for the user interface layer. Hence, integrating the system user interface directly to the MS Internet Explorer window required the use of the COM (Component Object Model) technology. However, the similar user interface could be offered to Mozilla Firefox users as well. This, however, was not covered in the project.

The remote communication mainly between the user component and the user profile component required including the asynchronous processing of requests and the display of the results. For this reason the user component was developed as a multithreaded application. The coordination of individual requests as threads was provided by one main thread that communicates with user interface (which is usually not thread safe).

2.2 Search Component

Search component’s role is to query the search engines and obtain result. Typically most of the major search engines provide web services or other interfaces to programmatically use the searching capabilities. The search component waits for the command of a user component to start the search.

The results from the search engine can then be refined by computing the similarity and filtering out those resources that do not correspond with the preferences in the user profile. Before the similarity can be computed the resources are processed in the collect component.

2.3 Collect Component

Collect component is responsible for processing the resources and for storing the resource information. Typically the collect component obtains resource from the search component or directly from the user component, in case user gets the resource in other ways than searching. The resources can also be obtained from the digital libraries and, if appropriate and the communication interfaces are specified, the collect component can also supply some of the resources into the digital library.

The obtained resource is first checked on the type so that the particular parsing and extracting engine can be used. Currently, only the resources in the PDF (Portable Document Format) are supported. The extension to Microsoft Word Documents and the resources in XML or HTML pose only a minor problem.

If the resources are parsed successfully, further information is attempted to be extracted. The information being extracted concerns title, authors, keywords and an abstract. Optionally the publisher and other information used for citations and referencing can be included in the system. If extraction does not succeed then it can be filled manually using the user component interface in the internet browser. The resource then is processed against the terms identified and the normalized frequencies are computed.

2.4 Recommendation Component

The recommendation component is the core of the system. It has the role of the server providing services for other components namely user component. The main task of the recommendation component is twofold: First, to obtain the necessary resource information about a resource and rating information of a particular user and store such data in the database; Second, to infer the rank of searched documents according to the preferences of users.

If request for recommending possibly interesting resources is sent, then the recommendation component computes the similarity between the user preferences stored in the user profile and the resources stored in the database or obtained from the search engine. In this way the recommendation is based on the content of the resources and the user preferences i.e. content filtering (Herlocker 2004). The weight of the recommended resources is given by the similarity function.

The recommendation can also be based on the computation of similarities between user preferences in which the users with similar user preferences are determined and the system then recommends the resources that similar users have rated as interesting i.e. collaborative filtering (Herlocker 2004).

2.5 User Interface

As stated above, the system was built with usability in mind. The figure 2 shows window of the MS Internet Explorer with recommendation system user interface. First, the toolbar provides means for documents rating. The rating can be done explicitly or user can enable the implicit rating based on the time spent on a page and other patterns (Herlocker 2004). The bar on a left hand side then serves for recommendation or assisted search. The list of recommended resources is displayed as hyperlinks so that they can be used instantly.
The user can select to open the resource or to see additional information and metainformation about the resource. In the figure 2 the abstract and rating information is being displayed.

3 CONCLUSIONS

The future trends in the Internet tend to personalization. The paper presented a solution of recommendation system based on the user profile and heterogeneous components connected via web services. The prototype developed under the code name Personal Recommendation (PRECO) is located on the following website http://preco.uhk.cz.
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