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Abstract: A new implementation of RMI named OnePort RMI is proposed in this paper. OnePort RMI consists of new RMI runtime, classes which are implemented interfaces by RMI specification, and MultiChannelSocketFactory. Using OnePort RMI, when an object on a client invokes methods of remote objects on a server, the client can use sockets of different types to connect one destination port at the same time, and the server can accept incoming call from the sockets on only the port. In order to protect integrity and confidentiality of our mobile agent framework named Maglog, OnePort RMI is introduced into Maglog. In consequence, each agent can select a socket depending on importance of data and programs which are contained in their agents. We emphasize that the proposed OnePort RMI is not only for mobile agent frameworks such as our Maglog but also for any RMI applications.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the construction of network application systems, distributed model is widely adopted. In particular, mobile agent technology is attracting attention as a key technology for developing distributed systems. Several mobile agent frameworks have been proposed, such as Aglets(Lange and Oshima, 1998), Jinni(Tarau, 1999), Mobilespaces(Satoh, 2000), and Telescript(White, 1994). Measures against security threats for mobile agent frameworks have been studied(Karjoth et al., 1997; Farmer et al., 1996; J.Tardo and Valente, 1996). The security measures are classified by following aspects:

Authentication is a process which identifies agents. Authentication is necessary for the below aspects.

Authorization is a process to determine what types of grants an agent has. A computer must be protected from malicious agents, and an agent must be protected from malicious computers and malicious agents.

Integrity means the property that agents have not been altered or destroyed in an unauthorized manner. Agents may be tampered with while agents are on computers and migrates to other computers.

Confidentiality means the property that agents are not made available or disclosed in an unauthorized manner. Data and programs which are contained in agents must be accessible only to agents which are authorized to have access.

In this paper, we concentrate on integrity and confidentiality when agents migrate across the Network. Generally, Secure channels, such as SSL (Secure Sockets Layer) and IPSec (Security Architecture for Internet Protocol), between computers via encryption of network packets are used to protect integrity and confidentiality. If a secure channel is used in mobile agent frameworks, all network packets are encrypted while agents migrate. However an encryption process uses many resources and causes performance degradation. Therefore, selective encryptions of agents are preferable, i.e., considering costs of encrypting, some agents have to be encrypted and others do not. In mobile agent frameworks, every agent should be able to select a communication channel, i.e., secure type or not secure type. Moreover, each agents should select different secure channel so that encryption strength...
can be selected according to the importance of agents.

We consider that the above manner for using secure channels is introduced into mobile agent frameworks which are implemented in a Java environment. Because, most mobile agent frameworks, such as the above mentioned Aglets, Jinni and Mobilespaces, have been implemented in a Java environment. And these mobile agents frameworks use Java Remote Method Invocation (hereafter referred to as RMI)(Sun Microsystems, 1997) or XML-RPC(Winer, 1998) as transport mechanisms. In mobile agent frameworks based on RMI, when several channels are used at the same time, the same number of sockets are required, as the result, the same number of ports are required. In most networks, a firewall is used to prevent unauthorized access to a network, therefore the number of open ports are limited to be minimum. Therefore, it is necessary that one port can be associated with multiple sockets. However, Sun’s implementation of RMI cannot realize the requirement mentioned above. For this reason, we propose new implementation of RMI named OnePort RMI that multiple sockets can be associated with one port. On the other hand, XML-RPC uses HTTP as the transport protocol. Therefore, we build an HTTP server and an HTTP client with MultiChannelSocketFactory which is used in the inside of OnePort RMI so that the HTTP server can handle multiple sockets on one port.

To confirm their behaviors, we implement OnePort RMI and our HTTP client/server on our mobile agent framework Maglog(Motomura et al., 2006b; Motomura et al., 2006a). However, we emphasize that the proposed OnePort RMI is not only for mobile agent frameworks such as our Maglog but also for any RMI applications.

2 WHY WE CANNOT USE SUN’S IMPLEMENTATION OF RMI?

In this chapter, the behavior of a client communicating with a server using RMI is described. And, it is explained that Sun’s implementation of RMI cannot realize the behavior of RMI which we require.

2.1 Behavior of RMI

RMI enables programmers to create distributed Java technology-based on Java technology-based applications, in which the methods of remote Java objects can be invoked from other JVM on different hosts. When an object on a client tries to invoke a method of a remote object on a server, the object communicates with the stub object on client’s JVM which is corresponding with the remote object. A stub object is client’s proxy for remote objects, and its roles are to hide network connections and serialization of parameters. A stub object has an RMIClientSocketFactory object which creates a socket to communicate with a server. A skeleton object which is corresponding with a remote object is on server’s JVM, and the skeleton object invokes methods of the remote object in effect. Roles of skeleton objects are to hide network connections and deserialization of parameters. Stub objects and skeleton objects are managed by an RMI runtime on each of the JVMs, moreover the objects are called automatically by each the RMI runtime if necessary. An RMI runtime has an RMI_ServerSocketFactory object which creates a server socket to wait for incoming calls from clients. RMIClientSocketFactory and RMIServerSocketFactory are provided by java’s core library. Figure 1 shows a model of relations among an RMI runtime, a stub object, and a skeleton object.

A server executes the following steps to export a remote object so that an object on a client can invoke methods of the remote object on the the server.

1. An RMIClientSocketFactory object and an RMIServerSocketFactory object are created.
2. A stub object and a skeleton object are generated using above objects and the port number which is used to wait for incoming calls from clients.
3. The stub object and the skeleton object are registered in server’s RMI runtime.
4. The stub object is registered in server’s RMI registry which allows remote objects on the server to register themselves as available to objects on the client.
When an object on a client tries to invoke a method of a remote object on a server, the object gets the stub object which is corresponding with the remote object from server’s RMI registry. After that, the object invokes the method for the stub object. By client’s RMI runtime, a socket is created by the RMIClientSocketFactory object which is contained in the stub object. Next, client’s RMI runtime communicates with server’s RMI runtime by the socket. Furthermore, server’s RMI runtime creates a server socket by the RMIServerSocketFactory object which is registered in server’s RMI runtime, after that the server socket communicates with the socket.

2.2 The Reason that we Cannot Use Sun’s Implementation of RMI

The behaviors of RMI which we require are that a client can use sockets of different types at the same time and a server can accept incoming call from the sockets on only one port. In order to realize the behaviors, the following mechanisms are necessary.

1. An RMIServerSocketFactory object must be able to create multiple server sockets which are corresponding with client’s sockets.
2. A client must be able to select sockets from different types which are created by an RMIClientSocketFactory object.

In order to implement first mechanism, behaviors of RMIServerSocketFactory and RMIClientSocketFactory are customized. And, it is necessary to solve either of the following two problems to realize the second mechanism.

1. An RMIClientSocketFactory object is created by a server, after that when a client tries to use the object, the object is managed by the RMI runtime on the client. Therefore, an object on the client cannot invoke methods of the RMIClientSocketFactory object. Namely, the client cannot create sockets of different types by the RMIClientSocketFactory object.
2. A server exports using a pair of an RMIClientSocketFactory object, an RMIServerSocketFactory object and a port. If a server exports using pairs of multiple RMIClientSocketFactory objects and the same port, a client selects requiring RMIClientSocketFactory object.

It is impossible to solve the first problem since RMI Specification does not define the manner to access an RMI runtime. Moreover, Sun’s implementation of RMI does not provide the manner to solve the second problem.

3 ONEPORT RMI

In chapter 2, we mentioned about the reason why a client cannot use sockets of different types at the same time by using Sun’s implementation of RMI. Therefore, we develop new implementation of RMI named OnePort RMI which consists of new RMI runtime, classes which are implemented interfaces defined by RMI specification, and MultiChannelSocketFactory which are described in the following section. First, MultiChannelSocketFactory is described, after that proposed RMI runtime is described.

3.1 MultiChannel Socket Factory

In a system which uses Sun’s implementation of RMI, when a server receives a request from a client, the server creates an object of ServerSocket class which is contained in java’s core library by an RMIServerSocketFactory object. Next, the ServerSocket object creates a server socket, after that the server socket waits for incoming calls. In order to create multiple server sockets which are corresponding with connecting sockets, steps of above execution must be changed as follows:

1. A server socket which is created by an object of ServerSocket class receives a kind of sockets from a client.
2. The other server socket which is corresponding with the kind of sockets is created, after that the server socket communicates with client’s socket.

For the above, on the client side, a socket which is created by an RMIClientSocketFactory object is necessary to send the kind of sockets, so that MultiChannelSocketFactory class is implemented. On the server side, in order to receive the kind of sockets, MultiChannelServerSocketFactory class which is implemented MultiChannelServerSocketFactory interface is developed. Furthermore, MultiChannelServerSocketFactory class is developed so that the server socket which is corresponding with the kind of sockets is created. Figure 2 shows a relation of above classes. The above classes are defined as MultiChannelSocketFactory.

3.2 Proposed RMI Runtime

In order to export remote objects in which identical port number is associated with multiple RMIClientSocketFactory, we develop new RMI runtime. Our RMI runtime is based on an Object Request Broker (hereafter referred to as ORB) which we have developed. The main components of our ORB are described as follows:
Figure 3: A UML sequence diagram of which a server exports a remote object using our RMI runtime.

In our ORB, the following steps are executed when a server exports a remote object. First, ORBServer receives an RMIServerSocketFactory object. Next, ORBStubFactory generates a stub object using a pair of an RMIClientSocketFactory and a port. Furthermore, ORBServer creates an unique identifier which is corresponding with the stub object. Finally, ORBServer registers the identifier and the stub object in ORBDirectory. In consequence, a stub object can be generated using a pair of the other RMIClientSocketFactory object and the same port and be registered in ORBDirectory. Namely, OnePort RMI can realize that a server exports a remote object using pairs of multiple RMIClientSocketFactory objects and the same port. Figure 3 shows a UML sequence diagram of which a server exports a remote object using our RMI runtime. The UnicastRemoteObject class has a static method named export for exporting a remote object. The UnicastRemoteObject class is defined by RMI Specification.

In practice, MultiChannelClientSocketFactory class and MultiChannelServerSocketFactory class are used instead of RMIClientSocketFactory class and RMIServerSocketFactory class.

4 IMPLEMENTATION

OnePort RMI is implemented interfaces defined by RMI specification, and it includes above RMI runtime. Figure 4 shows an overview of their classes.
4.1 Secure Channels

We develop the following two secure channels into MultiChannelSocketFactory. One is named DES_Channel in which a socket is encrypted using the Data Encryption Standard (hereafter referred to as DES) which is a cryptographic algorithm. The other is SSL_Channel in which a socket is implemented using SSL. SSL_Channel has the following security measures, therefore its security is stronger than DES_Channel. On the other hand, DES_Channel is not necessary to have a digital certification which is needed by SSL_Channel, therefore DES_Channel provides simple manner for utilizing.

Endpoint authentication Two computer’s identities can be authenticated using asymmetric cryptography such as Public Key Infrastructure.

Integrity checking Message transport includes a message integrity check using a keyed message authentication code.

Key exchange A symmetric cipher which is used for encryption is exchanged between computers on periodic basis.

DES_Channel is realized by DESSocket class and DESServerSocket class which extend Socket class and ServerSocket class and implement DES encryption. SSL_Channel is realized by SSLSocket class and SSLServerSocket class which are provided by Java Secure Socket Extension. The socket which is not encrypted is defined as RAW_Channel.

4.2 Applying to Mobile Agent Framework

We have proposed a mobile agent framework named Maglog which is based on Prolog and is implemented in a Java environment. In Maglog, the following predicate is introduced so that each agent can select a channel.

\[
\text{change\_channel}(\text{PrevChannel}, \text{NewChannel})
\]

After an agent is executed the above predicate, the agent uses NewChannel to migrate to other computers. A kind of channels before changing is bound to PrevChannel. The following three channels are defined.

1. RAW: Above RAW_Channel.
2. DES: Above DES_Channel.
3. SSL: Above SSL_Channel.

5 EXPERIMENTS

In this chapter, sample codes using OnePort RMI are shown. Next, the experimental results for comparison of execution time between OnePort RMI and Sun’s implementation of RMI are shown.

5.1 Sample Code

Figure 5 shows a part of a sample code when a server provides HelloImpl objects using DES_Channel and SSL_Channel for clients. In this code, HelloImpl objects are created, then the objects are exported by using DES_Channel and using SSL_Channel. After that the objects are registered in server’s RMI registry with names which are “//server/HelloDES” and “//server/HelloSSL”.

Figure 6 shows a part of a sample code when a client invokes the HelloImpl objects on the server using DES_Channel and SSL_Channel. First, the client takes stub objects from server’s RMI registry by invoking lookup method with the above names. Next, the client invokes a method of the stub classes. Incidentally, HelloImpl class implements Hello interface.
HelloImpl server_des = new Hello Impl();
HelloImpl server_ssl = new HelloImpl();

UnicastRemoteObject.exportObject(server_des,
new MultiChannelClientSocketFactory("des"),
new MultiChannelServersocketFactory());

UnicastRemoteObject.exportObject(server_raw,
new MultiChannelClientSocketfactory("ssl"),
new MultiChannelServersocketFactory());

Registry reg =
LocateRegistry.createRegistry(REGISTRY_PORT);
reg.bind("/server/HelloDES", server_des);
reg.bind("/server/HelloSSL", server_ssl);

Hello server_DES = null;
Hello server_SSL = null;

Registry reg =
LocateRegistry.getRegistry
("server", REGISTRY_PORT);
server_DES = (Hello)reg.lookup("/server/HelloDES");
server_DES.exec();
server_SSL = (Hello)reg.lookup("/server/HelloSSL");
server_SSL.exec();
Maglog, OnePort RMI can be utilized by any RMI applications.

In this stage, though OnePort RMI has all necessary functions to handle multiple sockets on one port, it is not fully compatible with RMI specifications. For example, OnePort RMI lacks a distributed garbage collector or configuration properties. They will be implemented in future work.
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