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Abstract: Most enterprises have an autonomous and heterogeneous information system. The same data may be diversely represented in different information systems. The core of solutions for integrating heterogeneous data sources is data conversion. One of the major issues of data conversion is how to convert data that contains temporal information. In this paper I propose a method to effectively convert time-series data appearing in enterprises. The concept of calendar is integrated into the proposed method. The method is based on a generalized representing form for data. The converting operations and processes are defined and presented.

1 INTRODUCTION

Most enterprises have an autonomous and heterogeneous information system. The same data may be diversely represented in different information systems. A typical example is time-series data which arises very often in applications like scheduling, manufacturing and process control. A temporal data “15.4.2005” may be an integer “52” in another application. The cause of the inconsistency is that enterprises use various calendar systems in their applications. The temporal values of time-series data are determined by the used calendar system.

The core of solutions for integrating information systems is data conversion. In this paper, I mainly discuss how to convert time-series data. Since time-series data is associated with calendar systems, this work focuses on converting calendar systems. In previous related work, the researchers have defined some calendar operations to derive various user-defined calendars (Lee, Elmasri and Won, 1996). However, some complex conversions, for example, restructuring hierarchical calendars and the conversion between irregular calendars can not be achieved by using these operations.

In this paper, I propose a systematic method to convert calendar systems. It contains required processes and operations. The basis of the converting method is a generalized representing form for data, with which all the calendar systems used in enterprises can be represented in a consistent form.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives an overview of calendar systems used in enterprises. Section 3 presents a generalized representing form for data. Section 4 describes the method for converting calendar systems. Section 5 concludes the paper with a summary of the contributions of this research.

2 CALENDAR SYSTEMS USED IN ENTERPRISES

A calendar is a temporal model. Each calendar has a time domain which consists of a sequence of temporal values. The calendar systems used in enterprises can be classified in four essential types: continuous calendar system, discrete calendar system, complete calendar system and composite calendar system (Dangelmaier & Ketterer, 1995). Each type of calendar systems is described as follows.

The continuous calendar system is modelled as being isomorphic to the real numbers, with each real number corresponding to an instant.

The discrete calendar system is modelled as being isomorphic to the integers. An example of discrete calendars is “days of January”.

The complete calendar system has no gap. There are no events which can not be assigned in this calendar. The Gregorian calendar is an example of complete calendars.

The composite calendar system has gaps and consists of a number of time domains. These time domains can not overlap. The Business calendar, in which all holidays and weekends have been excluded, is an example of composite calendars.

A calendar system used in enterprises can be either one of the four essential types or a composition of them. For instance, the Gregorian calendar is a complete discrete calendar.

3 A GENERALIZED REPRESENTING FORM FOR CALENDAR SYSTEMS

In many temporal data model, time-series data is modelled as an attribute that is treated with similar semantics as other attributes, but with some extensions to handle characteristics of time-series. Such an attribute is called a time-series attribute (Lee, Elmasri & Won, 1996).

Since time-series attribute can have complex data values and the data values are determined by the associated calendar, following constructors are defined to represent various calendar systems.

At first, a node with the token “B” is used to represent a simple attribute. The domain of a time-series attribute represented with the node “B” is integers. In Figure 1, the node “B” represents a simple attribute “day”.

Figure 1: The note “B” represents a simple time-series attribute.

The constructor “P” represents a complete calendar. The domain of a complete calendar represented with the constructor “P” is the Cartesian product of the domains of its components. For example, the Gregorian calendar is described with the complex attribute “date”, and “date” can be described with component attributes “year”, “month” and “day”. If \( \text{dom} (\text{year}) = 2005, \text{dom} (\text{month}) = 1, \text{and} \text{dom} (\text{day}) = \{1, 2, \ldots, 31\} \), the domain of the attribute “date” would be the Cartesian product \( \text{dom} (\text{date}) = \{(2005, 1, 1), (2005, 1, 2), \ldots, (2005, 1, 31)\} \). The structure in Figure 2 can be expressed as: date = P: (year, month, day).

Figure 2: The constructor “P” represents a complete calendar system.

The constructor “R” represents a composite calendar. The domain of a composite calendar represented with the constructor “R” is a subset of the domain of its corresponding “P” constructor. Here, the “R” constructor and the corresponding “P” constructor are described with the identical component attributes. A Business calendar can be represented with “R” constructor. In this calendar all holidays and weekends have been excluded. For example, if the domain of its corresponding complete calendar \( \text{dom} (\text{date}) = \{(2005, 1, 1), (2005, 1, 2), \ldots, (2005, 1, 31)\} \), the domain of the Business calendar would be \( \{(2005, 1, 3), (2005, 1, 4), (2005, 1, 5), (2005, 1, 6), (2005, 1, 7), (2005, 1, 10), \ldots, (2005, 1, 31)\} \). The structure in Figure 3 can be expressed as: work-day = R:(year, month, day).

Figure 3: The constructor “R” represents a composite calendar system.

A calendar is a human abstraction of the physical time space, and the physical time space is considered as a hierarchy of totally ordered sets of time intervals (Lee, Elmasri, Won, 1996). Here I define a
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4 CONverting Calendar Systems

Converting calendar systems mainly involves following issues: converting a complete calendar to another complete calendar, converting a complete calendar to a composite calendar, and converting a composite calendar to another composite calendar. In this section, the converting processes are presented in detail.

4.1 Converting a Complete Calendar to Another Complete Calendar

If the time units used in the source calendar and the time units used in the destination calendar are not identical, the hierarchical structure of the source calendar would be restructured. At first, one or both of calendars need to be converted to a calendar(s) with the greatest common time unit. An example is converting P:(year, month, day) to P:(year, week, day). Since the time units used in the two calendars are not identical, the hierarchical structure of P:(year, month, day) will be restructured. The corresponding hierarchical structure of P:(year, month, day) is H:(year, month, day) and that of P:(year, week, day) is H:(year, week, day). The restructuring process is presented below:

Step1: Here, the common time unit is “day”. It is the lowest level of the both hierarchies. The domain of the lowest level (a set of Cartesian products) of the source hierarchy is transformed to a set of integers. For example, \{(2005, 1, 1), (2005, 1, 2), \ldots, (2005, 12, 31)\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, 365\}.

Step2: According to the relationship between the time unit at the lowest level and the time unit at the higher level, the integers are partitioned and assigned to the time unit at the higher level. For example, \{1, 2, \ldots, 365\} \rightarrow \{(1, 6), (1, 7), (2, 1), (2, 2), \ldots, (53, 6)\}.

Step3: Step2 will be recursively executed to build the whole hierarchical structure of destination calendar.

4.2 Converting a Complete Calendar to a Composite Calendar

If the time units used in the source calendar and in the destination calendar are not identical, the hierarchical structure of the source calendar would be first restructured. Then, an operation \(\text{exclude}\) is used to exclude time intervals from the source calendar. In addition, an operation \(\text{union}\) is used to combine time intervals. The operations are defined below:

\(\text{exclude} (I, C)\): Exclude the time interval I from the calendar C.

\(\text{union} (I_1, I_2)\): Combine time intervals I_1 and I_2.

For example, \(\text{exclude} \ (\text{union} \ (\text{holidays}, \ \text{weekends}), \ P:(\text{year}, \ \text{month}, \ \text{day})) \rightarrow R:(\text{year}, \ \text{month}, \ \text{day}).\)
4.3 Converting a Composite Calendar to Another Composite Calendar

To avoid loss of data in the conversion, the corresponding complete calendar for the source calendar will be utilized. This complete calendar will be converted to the corresponding complete calendar for the destination calendar. According to the structure of the destination calendar, the time intervals will be excluded from the complete destination calendar by using the operation exclude.

An example is converting R:(year, month, day) to R:(year, week, day). The converting process is illustrated below:

Step1: The hierarchical structure of the source calendar H:(year, month, day) will be converted to H:(year, week, day).

Step2: The operation exclude is used to exclude time intervals from the destination calendar (complete).

\[
\text{exclude (union holidays, weekends), P:(year, week, day)} \rightarrow R:(year, week, day).
\]

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, I proposed a systematic method that enables effectively converting time-series data appearing in enterprises. Time-series data is modelled as an attribute that is associated with a calendar. Therefore, this work focuses on converting calendar systems. I presented a generalized representing form, with which various complex structures of calendar systems can be represented in a consistent form. The converting processes and operations are based on the representing form. The conversion between different calendars is presented in detail.
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