A GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATION FOR THE REAL-TIME SIMULATION OF NC MACHINING PROCESSES
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Abstract: In this paper we present a level-based representation used in the implementation of a real-time material removal simulator, whose principal feature is to be embedded into a commercial NC machine where the hardware capabilities are limited. The representation and its supporting architecture is used for the generation of an interactive simulation of the actual machined part taking as input the NC machine feedback with the following features: i) The virtual and real simulations must be synchronized, ii) the internal representation of the objects must be as exact and accurate as possible and iii) the graphical quality should be acceptable, even with low graphics hardware resources. The mentioned representation was implemented in the frame of a research project, allowing the evaluation of the architecture with some results presented in this paper. The results confirm the hypothesis that the current implementation simulates low and medium complexity models synchronously. More complex models require some tuning of the simulation parameters in order to be correctly simulated.

1 INTRODUCTION

One of the principal industries to experiment the advantages of the new computer revolution is the machine tool workshop. New techniques, control systems and computerized aids are used by leading CNC companies to embed more information to the user and in the meantime to foresee potential mistakes or even dangerous operations as the pieces manufactured are in most cases sharp steel objects that must be handled with extreme caution.

The user nowadays is able to simulate the CNC program with an outstanding efficiency, resembling real behaviors with no material waste at all. The possibility to try different approaches to a piece manufacture is very interesting as well.

However, for the developer of the CNC system, a good graphical output (3D real-time representation of the manufactured object) constitutes a very expensive development as their principal focus is the hardware.

Almost every company buys a 3D representation kernel and provides the simulation and real-time manufacturing operation as a separate add-on to the machine.

The 3D kernel used in the simulation of the manufactured object, provides a set of functions and possibilities that allows the CNC machine developer
to show a simulation or real-time action in the CNC machine workshop.

However, 3D kernels are expensive and only accessible through a very closed interface which in many cases is not powerful enough to show the machine’s potential.

In the scope of the SIMUMEK research project (Moreno, 2004), we have worked together with a CNC producer and a NC simulation software company to test different simulation approaches in a general framework. The main result of the project is a low cost NC simulation kernel capable to deal with different types of machine operations like multi axis milling, classical lathe (2 ½ DOF) and C-axis lathe. The obtained simulation kernel is generic and has been tested with several simultaneous cutters.

In this paper we will address directly the results obtained from this research project where the most critical restrictions are:

- There is no previous knowledge of the CNC machine code.
- The NC machine gives the tool movements as tool positions, sampled every few milliseconds.
- The graphical system must run in real-time while the real machine is performing the piece cut.

This paper will be presented as follows:

In chapter 2 a brief state of the art is reviewed not intending to be an extensive study of the actual techniques but to introduce the non familiar reader to some of the technical terms used along the paper.

In chapter 3, the internal algorithms of the simulator are described, focusing in the geometrical representation and its usage.

In chapter 4, the efficiency results from the geometrical representation and the simulation test are shown.

Finally, the conclusions and the future work are exposed.

2 STATE OF THE ART

The NC machining simulation using computer graphics techniques is a widely extended research topic.

Some traditional approaches do not store the geometrical information during the simulation, but they simply modify the drawing screen using an image-based approach.

There are solutions that store in the computers memory the intermediate result, having an internal 3D geometric representation of the object that is changed dynamically during the simulation. This allows a permanent representation and point of view independency of the object, better geometric accuracy control, collision detection, etc.

Van Hook (Van Hook, 1989) used an extended Z-buffer data structure (called a Dexel structure) for the graphical verification. In his paper he presented a scan method to convert surface data into his Dexel (depth element) structure, and to store Z values for the nearest and the farthest surface at each Dexel. This technique has been extended by several authors (Zhu, 2004).

Another traditional CG techniques for the geometric representation include i) B-Rep ii) CSG, and iii) Hierarchical Space Decomposition.

Although B-Rep is the most used method for the solid modeling in modern CAD systems, its straightforward use for machining simulation is mainly impaired by the long time required for simulation (Spence, 2001). A similar problem occurs with CSG representation, with computational costs of order O(n^2), where n is the number of primitives (Stewart, 2003) being computationally expensive.

To cope with the complexity of the problem and the long time required in these approaches, the approximation of the exact geometry, and especially the partitioning of the object in suitable regions has been proposed by several authors (Stewart, 2003).

The most classic technique for volume partitioning is the voxel representation (classical octree, extended octree (Brunet, 1990), SP-Octree (Cano, 2002)) that combines the space partitioning, solid representation and boolean operation support in a single definition.

Maeng et al (Maeng, 2003) have used the Z-map scheme, where the work piece is approximated as a set of z-axis aligned vectors, that restricts the application to the 3-axis NC machining.

The level (or slice) based representation is widely used in the computer assisted telemedicine to generate 3D models from 2D layers (scanners, CATs) (Fujimori, 2004). However, the support for true real time visualization is not easily accomplished.

In this paper, we present a level-based solid representation. Although some similarities can be found with the level approximation of the telemedicine area, the main difference of this work is the orientation towards boolean operations.

3 PROPOSED REPRESENTATION

In this chapter, we will introduce the simulator components. For a deeper explanation about the
high-level architecture and the module definition, we refer the reader to (Moreno, 2004).

3.1 Boolean Operation Support

The chosen geometrical representation for the machined objects is a level-based representation, consisting of using a set of parallel levels to represent the 3D object. Each level is a set of non-intersecting and coplanar polygons with at least three vertices. The distance between the levels is defined as $\Delta$ and it defines the maximum detail that can be perceived. We call LBR (Level-Based Representation) this representation and LBR object to the object represented using the LBR representation (see Figure 1).

The LBR representation provides a direct way to perform boolean operations between LBR objects: a 3D boolean operation is simplified in a set of 2D boolean operation between two 2D polygons, that is a well reviewed research topics (Vatti, 1992), (Preparata, 1985) (see Figure 2).

3.2 Spatial Partitioning

The efficiency of the polygon clipping algorithms depends directly on the total number of contours and points involved in the boolean operation (Leonov, 1998):

$$O(n \log^* n + k + z \log n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $n$ is the number of edges (points), $z$ is the number of contours and $k$ is the number of edges intersections.

Table 1: Pseudo Code algorithm for the boolean operation between the stock and the tool (LBR Objects).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm OpBool (in-out LBR_Object stock, in LBR_Object sweep)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for-each section S in both objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for-each level L in the section S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stock[S][L]=PolygonClip2D (stock[S][L], sweep[S][L])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end-for-each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end-for-each</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the simulation is performed, the working piece gets more complex and in consequence, the number of points and contours grows. In order to limit the number of points and contours that would increase the boolean operation time, a high-level partitioning system is added to the object definition.

This spatial partitioning decomposes the objects into a set of smaller regions, each of them being a set of parallel levels, as it was exposed previously.

Putting all this together, the boolean operation pseudo-algorithm is shown in Table 1.

3.3 Performance

The overall computational cost is reduced since the classical boolean operation between geometrical objects is $O(n^3)$ (Poutrain, 2001) and this approach reduces the computational cost to $O(n \cdot m)$ where $n$ is the number of levels and $m$ is the number of contours of the levels.

3.4 Model Reconstruction

The rendering of the LBR objects requires the reconstruction of the set of levels. These levels must be joined together in order to obtain a 3D polygonal object. This process is called model reconstruction.

Several authors have solved this problem from a non-real time point of view. For example, the use of marching cubes techniques (Nielsen, 2003) is one of the most widely used techniques for the
reconstruction of polygonal meshes from a set of 3D contours.

But due to its computational cost, the marching cubes technique is not suitable for a real-time material removal simulator.

In order to exploit the temporal and spatial coherency of the machined object, two consecutive levels are joined with a set of polygons only if every contour knows with which contour has to be joined in the next level (see Figure 3a).

This connectivity information is achieved during the simulation process, since all the contours are generated by known tool movements. The movement identifier is used to determine which contours must be joined to reconstruct the object.

Figure 3: A LBR solid mechanized with a ball-end tool. Rendered a) joining consecutive levels, and b) extruding each level towards the next one.

There exist cases and special conditions where a level contour can not be joined with any other. This is specially noticeable when the tool passes several times by the same area or when the number of inner contour are so complex, that it is uncertain how to join them. In these cases, there is not enough information to reconstruct that object portion or slice so a special and simpler algorithm is used. This algorithm extrudes a level perpendicularly towards the next one (see Figure 3b), but the quality of the graphical output decreases.

The combination of both algorithms makes possible the rendering of level-based represented objects at interactive frame rates, even during the simulation process. Applying visualization techniques, the reconstruction is performed in those elements (regions and levels) that are visible, optimizing the number of triangles sent to the graphical API (OpenGL in our case).

4 RESULTS

In order to test the efficiency of the presented solid representation, we have chosen two different approaches: i) the behaviour of the LBR boolean operation depending on the number of levels and regions, and ii) the simulation times when the simulator is running under final conditions.

Figure 4: A 3D graphic where the axis are: Simulation time (Y), number of Points (X) and number of Levels (Z). The simulation time measures the execution time performing a single 3D boolean operation between two LBR solids with the given points and levels and a single region.

The first test set is oriented to study the computational cost in a single 3D boolean operation between two LBR solids (see Figure 4) varying the number of points and the number of levels for a given set of boolean operation.

The main conclusions deduced from the results confirms the theory. The number of levels increases the computational effort in a linear way (each level has to execute an internal 2D boolean operation) and the number of points following a non-linear function, due to the 2D boolean operation cost (see formula 1).
The next test set studies the simulation time behavior varying the number of regions of a single LBR solid (see Figure 5). Seeing the results, it is noticeable that, if the number is low, the computational cost is high. As the number of regions increases, the computational cost falls till an upper limit is reached. Going further this limit does not improve the efficiency, but it worsens it.

Table 2: Simulation times for three test models with different complexity varying the number of regions. The factor is calculated dividing the given time with the real machining time. The complexity of each model is a subjective qualifier and it is intended to classify the models in easy and difficult models, depending on the achieved results after some number of simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model (Complexity)</th>
<th>Num Regions</th>
<th>Simulation Time (s)</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test-1 (Low)</td>
<td>1x1</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2x2</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4x2</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8x2</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Real Mach.</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test-2 (Medium)</td>
<td>1x1</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2x4</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4x8</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8x16</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Real Mach.</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test-3 (High)</td>
<td>1x1</td>
<td>4215</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4x2</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8x4</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8x8</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16x16</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Real Mach.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This lead us to a uncertain problem: how to decide the number and distribution of the regions. In the presented table, the optimal value is different from each other, so there is no global optimal value.

A workaround for this problem is to classify the models in different types, each one with common properties. It is expected that similar models have similar number of regions as optimal value. Then, during the simulator initialization, the user informs the model type he is going to simulate and internally, the pseudo-optimal values are chosen. We refer the reader to the future work to see what is planned to solve definitively this problem.

The final test set is focused in the runtime efficiency and the synchronization between the simulator graphical output and the real NC machine feedback. Different models has been tested in the simulator and the times of three of them has been tabulated in Table 2.

The synchronization is achieved when the simulation time and the machining time are similar. For testing purposes, we have considered that five seconds delay is the proper limit to considerate that a model has been simulated properly. Following this criterion, just two complex models don’t complain with the requirements (see third row in Table 2 to see the details of one of them). The other models have been correctly simulated.

The results show that with small and medium NC programs, a synchronized simulation is achieved, obtaining an interactive visualization and a quite good graphical output. The reader must take into account that the graphical hardware is limited (12” monitor with 800x600 resolution (max)).

With complex models, the simulator becomes sensible to the initial parameterization. In the tests, the perfect synchronization has been achieved, but several preliminary test have been performed in order to set the proper initial parameters. This simulator problems will be solved in the future (see future work section for more details).

5 CONCLUSIONS

The main goal of this work was to present how a level-based representation is used as the kernel of a material removal simulator, being able to achieve real time execution when it is used embedded in a real NC machine (see Figure 6).

The results in chapter 4 confirm that a proper real-time simulation is achieved with interactive rates and a sufficient image quality taking into
account that the available hardware resources are limited.

The hardware employed for all the tests is an AMD K6 1500 processor with a GForce 2 with 32Mb and a 800 x 600 resolution. The NC machine is a 8070 CNC from Fagor Automation.

6 FUTURE WORK

In order to achieve the best efficiency of the simulator, the optimal parameter values must be chosen depending on the concrete NC program that will be executed. This setup is estimated a priori and a profile list is created with the pseudo-optimal parameter values for each simulation type.

This strategy has a big problem. There will be operations that will not be simulated as expected, with the need of changing the simulation parameters. Other related problem is that the chosen parameter values are static along a simulation, being impossible to change them if it is necessary.

The addition of a predictive control to the simulation core is the solution for this kind of problems. It will monitor some variables, modifying the simulation parameters following a small set of rules. We have to define which are the variables that will be monitored, the rules that are applied and the variables will be updated. Each variable changing action is associated with one action and its computational cost. The action will be executed if it worth, speaking in computational cost terms.

Increasing the NC machine hardware capabilities, a more sophisticated visualization rendering should be added to the simulation pipeline. This would improve the achieved graphical output, increasing the realism of the simulator. For example, we are planning to use shading techniques for the global illumination and to modify the texture of the working piece with heat dependent color.
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