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Abstract: We present a novel algorithm that allows producing animated textures. The basic pattern of the texture is given by an example and by a vector control field that defines how anisotropic textures have to be adapted and deformed locally. By changing this vector control field over time, animations and variations of the texture can be generated. The method is simple, general and allows managing control and manipulation. Significant applications can be found in producing textures in motion, in generating dynamic features' variation in non-homogeneous textures, and, especially, in visualizing time-varying image-based rendered flow fields for scientific visualization.

1 INTRODUCTION

Textures are fundamental for many applications in computer graphics, computer vision and image processing. Since many years, the analysis, recognition and synthesis of textures are very active and productive areas of research. Textures are useful for many applications, especially, as they enrich synthetic objects and computer generated scenes with variety and realism, helping perception of shape, curvature and material.

Objects appearance can be influenced by several surrounding circumstances over time, and, as such, temporal texture synthesis plays a fundamental role. In addition, controllability is a crucial point to generate desires outputs.

In this paper, we present a flexible methodology for controllable synthesis of time-varying textures. The objective is to animate textures in a general way, generating motion along given directions and simultaneously influencing the texture's appearance in a dynamic way. The proposed algorithm allows producing a variety of outputs and provides a smooth and continuous frames' temporal animation.

Essentially, the novelty and contribution of this work is to provide a straightforward methodology to perform the synthesis of dynamic textures in a user-defined way: such approach permits intuitive image-based texture synthesis enriched by a variety of customizable effects. In particular, our method allows local control to change the texture resolution, beside its color and other attributes.

The paper is organized as follows: in the next section we introduce previous research done in this area, indicating the differences with our work. In chapter 3, we introduce our approach and explain the algorithm. In chapter 4 we present some obtained results. We consider advantages and limitations, and we describe attempts for optimizations. In chapter 5 we suggest extensions and fields of application, discussing our present and ongoing work. Finally, we conclude with a summary and main contribution of the paper.

2 MOTIVATION AND RELATED WORK

Significant techniques have emerged in the computer graphics and image processing literature; much effort has been invested in producing useful and effective algorithms, nevertheless, the need for visualization of variable complex phenomena requires further investigation.

Methods have been developed to generate dynamic textures. In particular, we review here on existing ap-
proaches for the synthesis of time-varying textures, while we refer you to some good and comprehensive surveys on texture synthesis procedures for a general description and the basics of static textures. See for instance (Efros and Leung, 1999), (Dischler et al., 2002).

2.1 Motion in Texture Synthesis

Although many advances have been achieved in texture synthesis, the lack of control still remains a focal issue in designing new synthesis techniques. As recognized by (Lefebvre and Hoppe, 2005), most techniques that offer some kind of control, only provide little amount of texture variability and are mainly restricted to random seeding of boundary conditions, obtaining rather unpredictable results. Lefebvre and Hoppe propose texture variability, but their target and approach differs from ours. They desire an aperiodic infinite texture that they modify introducing new elements via drag-and-drop. (Kwatra et al., 2005) visualize textures controlled through a flow field. Nevertheless, the approaches are basically different: they use a global synthesis optimization process, which takes effect on the whole output texture, while we want local control and we can manage several texture attributes (such as resolution, color, shading, embossing besides orientation) in a general way, in order to provide additional degrees of freedom for controlled synthesis of the evolution of texture flow and texture variation.

Regarding statistical methods that model textures in motion and produce a sort of variation in textures, they mainly concentrate on repetitive processes and deal with the modelling and reproduction of temporal stationarity, like in sea-waves, smoke, steam, foliage, whirlwind but also talking faces, traffic scenes etc. (see Figure 1). These approaches typically suggest to use a sequence of textured frames to simulate cyclic motion or periodic effects that are in some way similar to movement.

For this task, an input sequence of samples - input movie - is needed. This input has the function of training data, from which the procedures directly acquire the necessary information and reproduce it through statistical learning in an output sequence.

The first approach that gives a statistical characterization of textures is the early work of Julesz (Julesz, 1962); successively, about twenty years ago, he introduced (Julesz, 1981) the concept of textons as "putative elementary units of texture perception" and there-with opened the road to a very extensive research, also in the field of modelling motion in texture.

In recent years, Wei and Levoy (Wei and Levoy, 2000) propose a 3d extension to their model to create solid textures or, as particular case, temporal textures, in case the motion data is local and stationary both in space and time. Bar-Josef et al. (Bar-

Figure 1: Temporal regularity is exploited in animation of clouds, smoke, fire, steam, waves, waterfall.

Joseph et al., 2001) employ multi-resolution analysis (MRA) of the spatial structure of 2d textures and extend the idea to dynamic textures (movie texture), they directly analyze a given input movie and generate a similar one through statistical learning. Akin to this, Pullen and Bregler (Pullen and Bregler, 2002) propose, modelling local dynamics, a multi-level sampling approach to synthesize motion textures: new (cyclic) motions that are statistically similar to the original. Li et al. (Li et al., 2002) propose a technique named motion texture for synthesizing human-figure motion: they model a motion texton by a Linear Dynamic System (LDS). Schdl et al. (Schoedl et al., 2000) also model textures with LDS for video texture, looping the original frames in a manner that the synthetic reproduction is minimally noticeable to the user. Doretto et al. (Doretto et al., 2004) generate dynamic textures. Dynamic textures are sequences of images of moving scenes that exhibit temporal regularity, intended in a statistical sense. In the specific case of spatially coherent textures (textures that exhibit temporal statistics), Soatto et al. (Soatto et al., 2001) (and (Doretto et al., 2004) for both spatial and temporal regularity) synthesize a homogenized version of the original sequence, through a model designed for maximum-likelihood or minimal prediction error variance. They use LDS to model a texture by an auto-regressive, moving average (ARMA) multi-scale process. Similarly, Fitzgibbon (Fitzgibbon, 2001) uses an autoregressive (AR) model. Again for stationary data, Szummer and Picard (Szummer and Picard, 1996) use a spatial-temporal autoregressive model (STAR), which provides a base for both recognition and synthesis. This model produces convincing results, nevertheless, it cannot capture curvature and rotational motion.

Modelling more complex variations - nonlinear dynamics - is difficult, it requires the use of multiple linear systems, and thus it is still challenging (see (Li et al., 2002)).
3 ANIMATING TEXTURED IMAGES

The works cited above mainly consider sequences of images that exhibit certain stationary properties, specifically repetitivity or cyclicity, in time. A problem is that the synthesized motion may lack global variations when the training data is limited. These techniques require a starting frames’ sequence, or input movie, which has to be learned from the system and then reproduced. Therefore, these methods particularly focus on statistical learning, having as task texture analysis and recognition besides texture synthesis. They usually assume the texture to have been generated from an unknown stochastic source process that they need to estimate and model.

3.1 Approach

Also for this reason, our work is different from the ones described above. Our intention is not constrained to reproduce repetitive or cyclic motions; we want to model general animations and variations of textures. Instead of visualizing cyclic processes such as repetitive waves, we concentrate on distorting a given pattern by progressively varying some of the attributes that define its structure. Starting with an input texture, a control field and a suitable synthesis algorithm, it is possible to arbitrarily modify textures in a variety of ways and then to continuously animate this transformation. Briefly, we synthesize a frames sequence that depicts the evolution of a texture over time.

3.2 Field-driven Synthesis

The motion and variation in time are controlled through the selection of a control field. This field is potentially multi-valued and multi-dimensional; it varies the texture’s structure aligning and adapting it along new directions. Such vector field is variable over time, hence, at each time step, the synthesis process produces a corresponding texture frame. User intervention is allowed in defining the field to influence a given example. In this way, textures may be arbitrarily controlled, deformed, varied over time.

3.3 Recursive Passes

The block scheme of Figure 2 sketches the generation of the outputs’ sequence.

We recursively iterate the synthesis a desired number $\tau$ of frames. The dashed block representing the single frame generation (used for standard texture synthesis) has been appropriately extended to additionally acquire information from the previous synthesized frame. For this scope, we define a novel model for the neighborhood: we build a three-dimensional cubic structure around the pixels. Figure 3 shows how to construct spatio-temporal neighborhoods; it illustrates three-sized models in single-resolution. Figure 4 shows a five-sized model in multi-resolution.

Let consider the current pixel to synthesize, then its cubic neighborhood incorporates the adjacent pixels in the $L$-shaped neighborhood - spatial information - plus a number of square-shaped neighborhoods - temporal information - at corresponding location from the underlying complete layers at previous time steps.

Such neighboring pixels carry coherence information; in this way, this synthesis procedure achieves continuity and preserves smoothness in the spatial domain $(x, y)$ and in the temporal domain $t$ as well.
In the following section, we give more details for possible re-implementation.

### 3.4 Algorithm

We call \( I(x) \) the selected input sample and define \( T(x) \) to be an output texture in desired resolution, where \( x \) is a vector, for simplicity in two-dimensions: \( x = (x, y) \). Our target is to generate an animation of \( T(x) \) under the action of a control field \( D(x, t) \) and at an arbitrary period of time \( \tau: t \in [\tau] \) (see Figure 2).

The basic pattern of the texture is controlled through the time-varying deformation field and its features are potentially controlled through ad hoc definition of transfer functions \( T(x, t) \). More precisely, \( D(x, t) \) and \( T(x, t) \) influence the specified texture example over time, respectively by forcing it along new directions, and by modifying its appearance.

For simplicity, we first explain the temporal animation scheme; we illustrate later in section 3.6 how to combine it with filter transformations.

Formally, we synthesize a texture’s sequence \( T(x, t) \), with \( t = 0, 1, ..., \tau \), and \( T(x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). As drafted below in sub-section 3.5, we generate this frames’ set in an automatic way with a recursive system (refer to Figure 2): each temporal frame is influenced in a straightforward manner by information derived from the previous frame (or from a set of previous frames), this facilitates achieving smoothness along the temporal evolution.

Figure 3 illustrates the synthesis of two successive frames in single pass resolution and using a 3x3-sized neighborhood. Assuming to have already completed the starting frame \( t = 0 \) using standard planar synthesis, we explain now how to synthesize a generic pixel (the dark blue one) inside the following frame \( t = 1 \). At this synthesis stage, the light green part of the image has already been synthesized, and proceeding in scan-line order only the neighboring pixels above and on the left of the current pixel at position \( (x_0, y_0) \) are known. Consequently, the neighborhood - bright blue pixels - is L-shaped. The light grey part of the image is then generated in the same way in raster scan order.

In order to incorporate temporal information, we consider the pixel at the corresponding location \( (x_0, y_0) \) that belongs to the previous step. That frame has been entirely synthesized, therefore we can consider the complete squared neighborhood around that pixel. In this way, we build three-dimensional neighborhoods, which comprise of the L-shaped neighborhood from the current frame \( t \) plus the corresponding squared neighborhood from previous time step \( t - 1 \).

The pixels that build the extended neighborhood have to be adequately taken into consideration: it is important to note that the preceding temporal frames contribute to the 3d-neighborhood with different - non-uniform - weights, as pixels in the current frame present less correlation with pixels that belong to previous frames.

![Figure 4: Five-sized neighborhood model (L-shaped plus square-shaped) with two-level multi-resolution synthesis.](image)

This is shown in Figure 4, which describes the technique for a three-frames process in case of larger sized neighborhood (size = 5) and with two levels of multi-resolution image pyramids. From left to right, the illustrations show the texture evolution in time: the right-most output slice represents the current frame. Looking instead from right to left, we go back in time and the layers influence to the right most one decreases. From bottom (coarse scale) to top (details), multi-pass synthesis is executed using sub-band transforms.

### 3.5 Implementation Steps

The algorithm synthesizes all the output pixels of a single frame, and this for each frame in succession.

A cubic neighborhood \( N_3 \) is built for every output pixel \( P_0(x, y) \), with \( x \in [0, W_{out}], y \in [0, H_{out}] \), being \( W_{out} \) and \( H_{out} \), respectively, the width and the height of the output image. A similarity metric, based on these neighboring pixel values, is computed with least squares, and used as distance function to measure pixel similarity. In this way the best matching pixels can be chosen.

The fundamental steps are:

1. **Initialization**: set values of output image dimension, time period, pyramid levels; define the controlling deformation field
2. **First step**: two-dimensional synthesis \( t = 0 \)
3. **Further steps**: three-dimensional synthesis \( t > 0 \)

We use the algorithm of (Taponecco and Alexa, 2004) for the first step of the algorithm. The synthesis steps for the generation of the frames at general time step
$t > 0$ are conducted as follows:

- Build a neighborhood $N_3$ for every pixel $P_o(x, y)$, including the L neighborhood and further squared neighborhoods (in number and resolution depending on the $N_3$ size and levels $l$ of the pyramid)
- Evaluate the deformation field $D$ at the current output position and time instant, and calculate prominent field features (phase, magnitude, curl, ...)
- Use this information to accordingly modify the input sample influencing its structure and setting the calculated directions to be the new orientation
- Build all possible $N_3$ around $P_i(i, j)$ inside the input sample and calculate the similarity metrics
- Compare the entries of the input neighborhoods array - on the base of distance function - with $N_3(P_o(x, y))$ and choose the most similar one
- Select that value $P_i(i, j)|_{\text{Max\_similarity}}$ and set it to be the current output pixel
- Proceed in scan-line order till the output texture is completed
- Repeat this procedure for all levels $l$ of image pyramid and for all following temporal frames $t$ in the sequence of temporal range $\tau$

### 3.6 Filtering

As shown in Figure 4, in addition to the use of a deformation field, textures may be modified over time in a progressive way through filtering operators. We integrated such functionalities in our approach to allow more manipulation.

![Figure 5: Filters’ bank for sample variation in non-homogeneous texture synthesis.](image)

Figure 5 shows one of the possible blocks schemes for progressive filtering. This operation may be inserted in the temporal synthesis scheme to extend its functionalities (note the filter blocks in Figure 2).

### 3.7 Input Seed Processing

The filters and operators that act over the input texture example may operate at runtime, at a pre- or at a post-processing stage.

Some filters are applied during the synthesis process to modify the sample seed, as in the case of the scaling operator, which is responsible of varying the resolution of the example texture.

Some operators may modify the input texture before the synthesis starts: for example the rotating operators pre-compute rotated versions of the original sample, generating an input set to be used as source during the synthesis.

Some others DSP filters, as blurring, brightening, embossing, coloring, may be applied directly on the synthesized output, as they get - from the synthesis process block - the relevant field variables, which have to be used as parameters to define the kernel.

### 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have tested our approach in a variety of cases, in particular for structured textures (Figure 6, 7, 8, 9). We have mainly used directional samples, since patterns that present accentuated features along a major axis better exploit movement in the given directions. Using textures having anisotropic pattern, it is easier to visualize and enhance the information carried by the control vector field.
We believe this approach is promising for many applications, including the visualization of animated textures and the texturing of dynamically varying surfaces, as it is possible to generate animations of textures in an automatic and straightforward way. The algorithm does not present any restriction for the choice of the control field expression and of the filtering parameters. This offers a technique, which is easily adaptable for a variety of applications.

The values we mostly used to produce the outputs presented in this paper are 32x32 pixels for the size of the input samples, and 256x256 and 256x512 for the generated output. The synthesis time is essentially comparable to the other pixel-based approaches. The length of the frames’ sequence can be arbitrary and animations could in theory be endless. An interesting example of this is to opportunely design a cyclic controlling function, which can repetitively deform the pattern without producing artifacts or discontinuities between different animation cycles.

4.1 Limitations and Optimization

When using texture patterns characterized by having a complex structure, a large sized neighborhood is required to allow the synthesis algorithm to learn and reproduce the sample statistics. In such a case, the computational complexity rapidly increases. In addition, the eventual use of time-varying scaling operators over the input sample also leads to a larger neighborhood size.

An important point to consider is the following: in a few cases, we noted the occurrence of a sort of flickering effects in the transition between some frames during the animation. The input sample may occasionally strongly varies (through rotation, scaling and other operators) between the different time steps: in such a case, the collection of successive neighborhoods for the best pixel choice could present discontinuity. We solve this problem by considering, for the neighborhood matching, only samples that we have \textit{a posteriori} re-rotated, with respect to the current pixel as center of the operation. This guarantees better and smoother results. Occasional spatial or temporal discontinuities might occur due to the pattern structure of the chosen sample and they result from the nature of the algorithm: in this case they can be removed in a simple way by using a larger neighborhood or a higher pyramid levels’ number, or could be blurred away.

5 APPLICATIONS AND EXTENSIONS

\textit{Image-based scientific visualization}: the presented texture-based synthesis procedure results to be useful for the visualization of time-varying vector and flows fields. This is similar to the approach in (Taponecco and Alexa, 2003), which we have here adapted and extended from the spatial to the spatio-temporal domain. With our approach, field properties such as magnitude, phase and direction can be simply visualized in their temporal evolution through the use of adequate operators over the given input sample.

\textit{Non-homogeneous textures}: our approach contains techniques for non-homogeneous texture generation.
Figure 8: A fabric (top) and a directional (bottom) texture are controlled by a vector field. Scaling of the sample reflects magnitude information of the field; the resolution of the original sample is accordingly adjusted.

Figure 5 shows an example of how filter banks can influence an input sample to generate a sample set (refer to (Taponecco and Alexa, 2004) for more details). The filters can be variable in time as well; we insert the dashed block in the chain of the temporal synthesis process (Figure 2).

Texture flow: besides modelling general and controlled motion, our technique may visualize repetitive stationary motion, similarly to the approaches described in § 2.1. Using directional samples, it is possible to generate an animated flow of the texture’s structure along the pattern’s major direction.

Texture mixture and metamorphosis: Mixture and metamorphosis in textures has recently become very popular (see for instance (Zhang et al., 2003)). Our methodology can contribute to produce interesting texture transformations over time. Part of our future work is to combine it with those techniques.

6 CONCLUSION

This paper outlines a technique for the synthesis of varying textures. We propose a novel methodology for the generation of continuous texture animations and, as specific case, smooth time-varying and texture-based fields visualization. Interesting applications include decoration of surfaces that are deformed or in motion such as cloths or other materials; textures are a valid solution in helping shape and material perception, and local control in their generation and variation is fundamental to augment such features.

Our algorithm is based on a recursive synthesis procedure that uses a three-dimensional neighborhood model. The method is simple and general, but also flexible and capable of generating a variety of effects. User intervention is offered: it is possible to produce specific output sequences through easy and intuitive control parameters and formulæ. The synthesized output frames conserve appearance and structural properties similar to the input sample. They are controlled through a specified vector field: they have to be adapted locally to follow specified directions, and accordingly vary their structure and attribute in a non-homogeneous way. Resolution of the original texture pattern, as well as color or shading attributes can be easily varied. This synthesis procedure, being pixel-based, does not run at interactive rates. The advantages, on the other hand, include the smoothness of the outputs, and the presence of extra degrees of freedom in the texture synthesis process, as local control has effect over individual pixels. In conclusion, the main contribution of this work is to offer a general and intuitive technique to synthesize variable textures and animate them. These frames’ animation can describe a broad variety of temporal pattern variation. Furthermore, this methodology is also a straightforward solution for the visualization of unsteady vector fields, which is usually still challenging and calculation intensive.
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Figure 9: Example of a varying bricks-like texture pattern.


