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Abstract: The provisioning of IT services is often based on a variety of resources and underlying services. To deal with this complexity the dependencies between these elements have to be well-known. In particular, dependencies are needed for tracking a failure in a higher-level service being offered to customers down to the provisioning infrastructure. Another usage of dependencies is the impact estimation of an assumed or actual resource failure onto the services to allow for decision making about appropriate measures.

Starting from a set of requirements an analysis of the state-of-the-art shows the contributions and limitations of existing research approaches and industry tools for a configuration management solution with respect to the dependencies. To improve the current situation a methodology is proposed to model dependencies for given service provisioning scenarios. The proposed dependency modeling is part of a larger solution for an overall service management information repository.

1 INTRODUCTION

In a competitive environment, service providers strive to deliver services in a lean and agile manner, despite the rising complexity and heterogeneity within the network. The advent of new technologies such as virtualization of hardware and service-oriented architectures add to this complexity and thus even increase the challenge for IT management.

One of the key challenges for service providers is efficient fault management. When a customer experiences problems with a service, the provider needs to react quickly in order to honor the Service Level Agreements (SLA) in effect between provider and customer. Conversely, it is desirable to determine the impact onto services and Service Level Agreements when problems with resources or subservices are detected (Hanemann et al., 2005).

Fault Management requires the assessment of dependencies of a service on specific resources, resource interdependencies as well as the mapping of resources on the services provided. This is to infer the actual cause of service problems, to identify the malfunctioning or misconfigured resources, and to devise a problem solution. This process is by no means trivial. In large scale systems, it is nearly impossible without appropriate tool support. Automation support, however, requires dependencies to be formalized in a machine-readable format. Service providers therefore maintain management models, which essentially represent an abstraction of their IT infrastructure. However, state-of-the-art management models show deficits regarding the specification of dependencies and thus provide only rudimentary support with respect to the needs of IT service fault management.

In this paper we examine how dependencies can be modeled in a systematic and service-oriented way that allows us to extend – and thereby refine – current management models. In Section 2 a set of requirements for the dependency modeling is presented which is used to analyze related work in Section 3. Our approach for specifying the dependencies based on the analysis of interactions is detailed in Section 4. Conclusion and future work can be found in the last section highlighting the inclusion of this work into an approach for management information modeling.

2 REQUIREMENTS

In Fig. 1 different aspects which have to be considered for the modeling of dependencies are depicted. These
are explained in the following.

The type of dependency is used to distinguish between inter-service, service-resource, and inter-resource dependencies. These denote dependencies between services, services and resources as well as between resources, respectively.

A distinction can be made for the level of detail for services since a service can usually be divided into service functionalities. For a web hosting service it is e.g. possible to retrieve web pages or to fill out and transmit an online form. Dependencies can therefore be detailed whether they are relevant for a specific service functionality.

An important characteristic of dependencies is whether they can be treated on their own (isolated) or whether they involve multiple resources or services which is usually the case for redundancies. A service may use a set of redundant hardware components. Therefore, an unavailability of a server has to be regarded in conjunction with the other servers.

Another important characteristic is the dynamic which denotes the treatment of changes in the dependencies. Especially for fault management it is important to know whether it can be assumed that the dependencies remain unchanged during the fault diagnosis.

The service lifecycle describes the change of dependencies from the initial installation of the service until its removal.

The degree of formalization of the dependencies also needs to be considered. Dependencies cannot only be formalized or specified as pseudocode but can also be defined as formal model.

Finally, not only functional dependencies can be taken into account, but also those resulting from organizations (dimension characteristic). However, this paper concentrates only on the functional dependencies.

Dependencies play an important role in the area of IT service fault management. In particular, they are a prerequisite for the following two use cases: For fault diagnosis the dependencies should be traversable in a top-down manner to identify a resource as root cause of a service problem, while the opposite direction is relevant for impact analysis to estimate the impact of a resource failure for services.

3 RELATED WORK

In the analysis of related work we distinguish between the identification, modeling, and use of dependencies.

In the literature the term “dependency” is often used without a precise definition. Examples are the definition of absent, weak, medium and strong dependencies in (Bagchi et al., 2001) or the distinction between “and” and “or” dependencies in (Rodosek and Kaiser, 1997), which did not provide any further attributes.

Caswell and Ramanathan (Caswell and Ramanathan, 1999) describe dependencies for services, especially for ISPs. They define five kinds of dependencies which are partially similar with our definition of terms: They specify inter-service dependencies in the way it is done in the previous section. Service-resource dependencies in our terms can be seen as a superclass of the link, component, and execution dependencies in this model. The execution dependency reflects the dynamic in service provisioning where the execution of a functionality can be done using a selection of resources. The organizational dependency could be covered by the definition of subservices. However, the specification does not go into further details and is tied to ISPs.

Common Information Model (CIM) CIM (DMTF, 2005) is an object-oriented information model that aims at providing a common way to represent information about networks and systems as well as services. Dependencies between CIM elements are modeled as association classes and are derived from a single base class CIM Dependency; the inheritance hierarchy is therefore flat. CIM would particularly profit from a more systematic approach that allows to arrange the existing dependencies in a hierarchical structure.

NGOSS SID The Shared Information/Data (SID) model (TeleManagementForum, 2003) is part of the initiative Next Generation Operation Support and Software (NGOSS). It employs an object-oriented modeling approach and constitutes a framework for defining service management information from a business-oriented point of view. Since SID is currently in an early development stage, work has mainly focused on providing the major building blocks of the

![Figure 1: Requirement dimensions.](image-url)
model. Albeit this did not include systematic modeling of dependencies in terms of hierarchies, it can be expected for upcoming versions of SID.

In sum, it can be concluded that dependency modeling cannot be regarded as a solved research issue with respect to the requirements of service management.

4 DEPENDENCY SPECIFICATION METHODOLOGY

In this section a generic model for the dependency specification is developed striving to fulfill the requirements delineated in Section 2. We also show, how the model helps in addressing the service fault diagnosis.

Dependency Modeling Dependencies which are encountered in practice usually form a hierarchy which results from the composition of resources and subservices into more complex higher-level services. Therefore, the structural design pattern composite is used as basis for the modeling.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed dependency model. The object Dependency reflects the component object of the pattern and declares the interface for objects in the composition CompositeDependency (the composite object).

Some attributes characterize the Dependency class: antecedent has the type ServiceBuildingBlock (abstract superclass of services and resources) and is the antecedent, dependent represents the dependent of a dependency also having the type ServiceBuildingBlock. The strength attribute (string) represents the importance of the dependency with the values weak, medium, strong, mandatory which means that it describes the redundancy relation. The last attribute statusLifeCycle is an indicator for the state of the dependency along the service lifecycle using the values planned, active, inactive, withdrawn.

Usually, a service exhibits a set of functionalities. These functionalities can in turn be divided into sub-functionalities and can represent the whole service (global functionality) as well as a single functionality or a partial functionality of the service. This kind of modeling allows to find a trade-off between the modeling effort and its benefit in a given scenario.

A set of operations are defined: the add operation adds a new dependency to the container, whereas the remove operation removes a dependency from the container. The operation getChild returns the n-th child of the dependency, getChildList lists all the direct children. The operations getDependent, getAntecedent, testPresence, and getImpact are designed for fault management (see below).

The leaves of the composite pattern are formed by the three kinds of dependencies. Inter-Resource-Dep represents inter-resource dependencies with the inherited attributes strength, antecedent, dependent, statusLifeCycle defined as in the component class Dependency. The type of the attributes antecedent and dependent is Resource. The operations will implement the abstract operations from the class Dependency.

The Service-Resource-Dep class represents the service-resource dependency and has also the attributes and operations inherited from class Dependency. Besides of the different implementation of operations, the attribute antecedent has the type Resource and the attribute dependent the type Functionality.

Inter-service dependencies are formalized as instances of the Inter-Service-Dep class which has the same attributes and implements the same operations as class Dependency. The type of the attributes antecedent and dependent is Functionality. This class contains many hierarchically structured instances resulting from the functionality definition. Dependencies can exist between two services, between a service and a service functionality (i.e. one service is decomposed into its functionalities, while this decomposition is not made for the other service), or between two service functionalities.

The composite class in this pattern is the class CompositeDependency. It defines behavior for dependencies having children, it stores this children (leaves or composite components) and implements operations relating on child component (add, remove, getChild, getChildList) in the Dependency interface.

Methods for Fault Management Application In addition to the child oriented operations there are operations which facilitate the navigation in a system and which are useful for fault diagnosis and/or for impact analysis.

The operation getDependent returns all the ServiceBuildingBlock objects which are dependent from the actual dependency and getAntecedent returns all the ServiceBuildingBlock objects the actual dependency depends on. Depending on the element in which it occurs (leaves or composite elements) it has another return value (functionality or resource). The operation testPresence returns the status of the dependency for a given point in time representing whether the dependency was in effect at that moment (as a boolean).

5 CONCLUSION

We have presented a systematic modeling approach that allows the specification of complex, dynamic and
adaptable dependencies. While state-of-the-art management models are tackling these issues in different ways, they would benefit from the systematic approach presented in this paper.

We are currently implementing our approach by extending a specific management model, namely DMTF’s CIM and will apply it for the management of IT services at the Leibniz Supercomputing Center in Munich. We also plan to merge the findings of this paper with the Service Management Information Base approach (Sailer, 2005), a research project conducted within our research group.
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