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Abstract. This paper outlines the challenges facing the domain of automated testing of mixed-signal integrated circuits and how these can be tackled by enhancing communication between the design and test engineers. An abstract workflow model is introduced for seamless interaction of design and test teams, thus enabling faster workflow and a greater redundancy in the correctness of communicated specification data. The latter is embedded into a system-level model and completely integrated into the process. A data-sheet integration methodology is briefly introduced with several application-level requirements and integration guidelines. The goal is to reduce the time for developing and running test programs, which is a major cost factor in the reducing life-cycles of mixed-signal devices. The paper emphasizes obstacles in current settings and suggests workarounds.

1 Introduction

Decreasing the time required for testing an integrating circuit is vital for any semiconductor company. Developing the (automated) test programs and running tests from simulation and prototype to production phases are major investments in terms of working hours and automated test equipment utilization. A multitude of factors should be considered in order to increase the effectiveness of such a design and test process. Several are mentioned in this paper, defined as “challenges”.

There is a consensus among the test community to increase abstraction in dealing with such obstacles. This refers to a clear need for a system-level approach, which will be described and elaborated on in the following chapters. As complexity is growing in circuits, that have analog and digital modules combined onto a single chip or System-on-Chip, we need to increase the abstraction in dealing with these designs by the same margin.

Various methods have been proposed and experimented with considerable success. Nevertheless, most of the issues touched upon are still in research phase and hopefully the work outlined in this paper can provide support for implementation guidelines.

This paper addresses the preconditions and work-flow issues deriving from a more comprehensive work on increasing abstraction for automated test generation for mixed signal integrated circuits and devices.
1.1 Challenges

The demand for mixed-signal integrated circuits is increasingly growing. Within the next five years the number of transistors per cm$^2$ is expected to rise from ~40 to ~180 million, a factor of 4.5 times, [1]. This will directly impact the complexity of these devices. Even though the packaging of such chips has evolved with the introduction of ball-grid-array and quad-flat-package, [2] the increase in pin-count is expected to be none higher than from current ~1500 to less than 3000 external pins, a factor of less than two times. The ratio of the two clearly outlines a need for increasing abstraction in the (automated) test of these circuits.

An ever-occurring challenge in manufacturing an integrated circuit is the communication between the design and test engineers. With the emergence of complex mixed-signal designs, effective communication is critical for a successful work-flow, considering the shortening life-cycles of such products. Nevertheless, passing specification-related information from designers to test personnel is often realized through simple tools like e-mails or verbal communication. The specifications tend to be static files, with multiple versions going back-and-forth. In such manner, information can easily get distorted or even lost.

Conclusively from the above, the concept of design-for-test (DfT) is often mentioned but more seldom implemented in its intended form – to enable testing of product functionalities at all levels and phases of the design.

Several other specific complexities exist in the domain, such as modeling the input-output relations of mixed-signal designs and their building blocks, dealing with noise under low-power requirements and increasing clock rates. Additionally frequent (test)signal passing through the analog-digital and digital-analog divide requires propagation of analog signals through digital modules and vice versa, introducing complex, but promising results. The latter problems and proposed methods for overcoming are not explicitly described in the following sections, thus they would draw the focus from the abstractive perspective offered.

2 System-level Work-flow

There is a need for an abstract model of the design consisting of functional blocks, also referred to as the basic blocks, [3]. The model should be developed in parallel with the actual design, which would enable the design and test engineers to tackle arising problems as soon as possible. This interaction is the starting point of an already established DfT process which is ideally maintained throughout the whole life-cycle of a product. Following Figure 1, a re-iteration of a model-based design approach proposed in [4], proposes the workflow model, some aspects of which will be discussed in the following chapters.
Fig. 1. Work-flow of a design and test process with an integrated system level specification

The above Figure 1, originally developed for the design of an aircraft control system, points to similar efforts in various engineering disciplines.

The model outlines the roles of both the inter-actors (design and test teams), with the actual (specification) data being communicated, used directly in generating a system model of the design. The system model consists of functional basic block models, which carry as many parameters with tolerances as required for a particular propagation and/or measurement in a certain phase of the test-run. In addition they should be identifiable within the signal paths through indexing or describing previous and following basic blocks, to preserve observability and controllability for all relevant parameters. Certainly a pre-analysis of the entire model needs to be conducted beforehand, to identify those parameters. Such methods, proposed in [5], lay the groundwork for actually pursuing an automatically generated test (program) for a mixed-signal circuit. As the design and test process phases are iterated, simulated and prototyping carried out, the goal is towards a dynamically updated specification, hence keeping a keen eye on all of the changes, however marginal, are being added into the information set. Such electronically supported method adds a higher redundancy into dealing with critical design data, while removing irrelevant overhead data from the communication.

Therefore the described work-flow contributes toward inter-human communication supported by a virtual information system, enabling to speed up the process and achieve human fault elimination – a cause for far too many project failures and missed deadlines.

3 Data-sheet Integration

A specification or data-sheet of a design is to-date viewed as the means of describing the overall functionality and characteristics of a device, including operating conditions, electrical parameters, thresholds, etc. While it maybe sufficient, in terms of automatic test generation for relatively simple devices, such data-sheets carry less advantages for the test team. It is too extensively considered a marketing tool, rather than a possible tool in product validation.
Our starting point is the specification of a mixed-signal design. A problem often facing the interaction of the design and test teams is the presentation and format of the product specifications. These specifications are not always delivered to the test team in a consistent format. This is drawing additional time resources from the test engineers to manually transfer ratings, electrical and performance characteristics and other information from the data-sheets to the test program.

The terms “specification” and “data-sheet” would in this case refer to the same collection of data, necessary for a DFT process. We expect this data to include information on the system model, basic blocks and sets of blocks, possible signal paths, etc.

We propose creating a unified data-sheet format to be followed from the beginning of the design phase to the product delivery and throughout the product portfolio. In an actual corporate environment this would entail first carrying out a data-sheet uniformity analysis, to determine the mappings from current setting to a standard format. The format alone is of little use to the automated test process. The format and the software should ideally meet all of the following criteria:

1. A widely accepted, customizable structured data format (XML).
2. Easy insertion and extraction of data.
3. Interface with modeling, design, simulation and test environments.
4. Standard representation:
   1. web: HTML
   2. print: PDF
5. Quick comparison of files by any parameter(s)

Point 5 in the list outlines an important requirement to promptly create a comparison chart of similar products. When data-sheets are given solely as PDF-documents, this is not easily done, as extracting text and data from a portable document can be a tedious task. Using an approach to generate this from XML files makes the process not only faster but also customizable.

The use of a database back-end is not recommendable as it makes the process dependent on yet another component. Implementing the software to support both local and remote data-sheet repositories is a sufficient solution.

The use of XML carries another major advantage, as it can be interfaced with little effort with the design and test environments. Using a centralized repository for data-sheets enables the test engineer to obtain the latest changes in the specification automatically, which increases positive redundancy in the communication between the design and test engineers. An automatic notifier for latest changes would also keep both sides up-to-date. A fact, that XML has evolved to become the \textit{de facto} standard for communicating customized information, ultimately makes it a logical choice. Future work will include applying this concept to a fairly simple mixed-signal design, consisting of 4-5 basic blocks, to evaluate the approach.

Following Figure 2 depicts the proposed data-sheet approach.
Fig. 2. XML-based data-sheet integration into an iterative design and test process.

The above Figure 2 also visualizes the idea of application level integration and targeting less complexity in the IC automated test development process. A strong advantage for selecting a non-proprietary format for data-sheets is the possible adoption among the academic community. Several (free) tools exist to process such files, such as Xerces, DOM, XML::Parser for Perl, etc - bringing out the easy-to-handle properties of the proposed format.

The following structure shows a sample XML-file, describing basic blocks of a model with the name, classification and index of the block, together with required parameters and tolerances. A simplified version of an actual XML-based system model specification, it introduces a much needed hierarchical structure. With browsers supporting navigating through XML by enabling to open and close sub-levels, it is convenient for understanding the architecture, sequence and specific parameters.

Example XML-file describing basic blocks within a model, identified by name, class and index. Parameter set is "closed" in the second block (LPF).

```
<MODEL>
  <BASICBLOCK>
    <NAME>AMP</NAME>
    <DATA>
      <INDEX>1</INDEX>
      <CLASS>Analog</CLASS>
      <PARAMETERS>
        <PARAM_1>Gain</PARAM_1>
        <PARAM_1_VALUE>2.3</PARAM_1_VALUE>
        <PARAM_1_TOL>0.1</PARAM_1_TOL>
      </PARAMETERS>
    </DATA>
  </BASICBLOCK>
  <BASICBLOCK>
    <NAME>LPF</NAME>
    <DATA></DATA>
  </BASICBLOCK>
</MODEL>
```
4 Application Level

Without referring to any COTS products, using already established modeling, design, simulation and measurement environments to implement the design and test flow introduced in the previous chapters, carries several advantages both for academic institutions and corporations. These tasks include:

1. Parametric input from the specification
2. Modeling and simulation of basic blocks
3. Input Signal Propagation, Output Measurements
4. I/O with the DUT (switch matrix control)
5. Results acquisition, analysis and storage

Refer back to Figure 2 for some inter-connections between the above-listed tasks. Re-using environments capable of handling the above tasks would enable to leverage the existing competence of applications at a site, rather than vesting resources in developing an additional design and test software for the purpose.

5 Conclusions

Though this paper targets work-flow improving for a particular domain, namely the automated test generation for mixed-signal integrated circuits, the author is confident, that the actual concepts introduced are applicable to several others. With quality and reliability counting for a major portion of a products overall success, increased and more efficient interaction between design teams and quality assurance (testing) teams becomes inevitable. In an ideal view, the two processes should have more and more overlaps with both providing feedback and input into one another.

Utilizing a set of existing and future technologies and applications to bring this interaction to life, enables for any entity with such needs to begin experimenting and re-defining their work-flow models promptly.
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