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Abstract: The investment in geographic information systems (GIS) is usually justified by their ability of supporting the execution of geographic analysis processes (GP). The conceptual design of a GP makes it independent of a specific GIS product and enables designers to define the process at a high level of abstraction using a language that enforces a set of logical constraints and is yet easy to learn. On the other hand, in order to support interoperability a GP conceptual model should be sufficiently generic to allow a GP definition to be translated to any of the logical data models implemented by existing GIS commercial products. This paper presents an extension to GeoFrame, a conceptual GIS framework that supports the conceptual design of spatio-temporal, geographic databases (GDB). This extension is actually a conceptual GP data model relying on a set of UML diagrams as well as on a methodology of how to apply them to analysis process design. On the basis of the PGeoFrame-A, the definition of a GP starts by the identification of its associated use cases. Both control and data flows are described by means of activity diagrams with the new modeling constructs provided by UML 2.0. Input as well as output data introduced in the workflow definition are described in detail through a class diagram. In this way, CASE tools based on UML can be adapted to translate GP conceptual design to the specific scripts as well as macro definition languages of different existing GIS products.

1 INTRODUCTION

Knowledge about the space we live in has always been of a great value to mankind. A few centuries ago the geographic information we counted with was not accurate, scarcely arranged, and almost unavailable. Nowadays, many of these limitations are not present anymore. Geographic information captured by techniques that guarantee high precision can be found in abundance and represent almost all regions of the earth in different projections as well as scales.

Currently, spatial and descriptive information are kept integrated in geographic databases (GDB). These information is usually presented as well as processed by so-called geographic information systems (GIS). The investment necessary to build a GDB is usually justified mostly by the results that can be achieved from the geographic analysis processes (GP) to be carried out at system’s production time. The complexity of a GP may range from a simple query to an intricate algorithm of spatial analysis.

A GP can also be understood as a workflow that defines a partial order of execution of a set of GIS operations. For instance, in the context of an environmental application, a GP can rely on a set of user-defined selection criteria to process the GDB and suggest best locations for ecology-preserving national parks. Depending on the GIS, the GP can be programmed either directly at the user interface or through a specific API that provides a library of geographic operations.
The knowledge of the main GPs that will be executed at system’s production time is of fundamental importance to the design of the GDB as well as by the selection of the GIS software and the metadata configuration for the geographic datasets that will be acquired. Therefore, GIS software tools should support the conceptual design of GP. Though, most products support neither end-user interface nor API for GP conceptual design.

Usually, commercial GIS products support an operation interface, at the logical level, that implements a variation of the so-called cartographic modeling technique as it was proposed by Tomlin (Tomlin, 1991). In the cartographic modeling each data set is considered a layer. The notation usually represents layers by their names involved in a box, while the functions (or operations) that act on these data are indicated over oriented arcs between boxes. The cartographic model of most products completely hides the database sub-schema that lies below the concept of a layer. At the GDB logical level, a layer may be either a table, or a complex view created by some join operation over a number of tables.

Several specific conceptual models for GDB design have been proposed and improved during the last years. In the literature, one can find the GeoOOA (Kösters, 1997), GMOD (Oliveira, 1997), MADS (Parent, 1999), and OMT-G (Davis, 1999) among others. Most of them support the design of static aspects of the GDB (e.g. classes and associations). GMOD is one of the few models that enable designers to represent dynamic aspects such as the causal relationship between classes (e.g. the occurrence of rain for a certain period of time may cause an occurrence of a flood in a certain region). On the basis of a so-called transformation diagram, the OMT-G support the modeling of the internal aspects of a process, including geographic analysis operations, relying on its own semantics definition.

This paper presents a solution to the specification of geographic analysis processes at the conceptual level, regarding both external and internal aspects, through an extension of resources offered by the conceptual framework GeoFrame (Lisboa, 1999). Since GeoFrame is based on UML, GPs can be defined in a high level language that is independent of a specific GIS product. By adapting UML CASE tools, it is possible to support interoperability for a GP by translating its UML definition onto a definition accepted at the interface of any GIS that supports the types of operations provided at the conceptual level.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the conceptual framework GeoFrame introduced. In section 3 a classification of geographic analysis operations is presented. Main operation categories are supported by the GeoFrame extension to GP conceptual design (PGeoFrame-A). GP design with the proposed GeoFrame extension is discussed in section 4. The steps of a GP design are illustrated by means of an example in section 5. Section 6 concludes the paper and points out to future work.

2 THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK GEOFRAME

GeoFrame is a conceptual framework with basis on the formalism of object orientation that makes use of the UML language. The framework concept adopted in the GeoFrame is the one of a generic design in a domain that can be adapted to specific applications in order to serve as a pattern for construction of applications.

The framework offers a class diagram, which is specified in the PGeoFrame package (shown in Figure 1) (Lisboa, 2002), where each package has the classes that are used as a basis for modeling of classes of a GIS application. The data schema produced with usage of this framework can be denominated as UML-GeoFrame schema.

![Figure 1: The GeoFrame’s class diagram](image-url)

An extension to the PGeoFrame package, which stands temporal aspects, was proposed by Rocha (Rocha, 2001), who introduced the PGeoFrame-T package, that imports the PGeoFrame. Therefore, the GeoFrame user has two alternatives of modeling. To model only the spatial aspects of a GDB, only the PGeoFrame package must be used. Nevertheless, to
express the temporal aspects as well, the PGeoFrame-T must be used.

To specify the GDB conceptual schema of an application, the user sets forth classes of application as a specialization of the GeoFrame classes. Afterwards he forms groups with more similar class features in different themes, according to the application requirements, whereby for each theme a class diagram is developed using the UML resources.

The objects that have a spatial component are instances of the GeographicPhenomenon class, while the others, which are also denominated descriptive objects, are instances of NonGeographicObject classes. Following the sight dichotomy principle of fields and objects introduced by Goodchild (Goodchild, 1990), in the GeoFrame the GeographicPhenomenon class is specialized in the GeographicObject and GeographicField classes, which are respectively represented by SpatialObject and FieldRepresentation. According to what is shown in the Figure 2, the spatial representation is indicated through a set of stereotypes, introduced as pictograms at the upper right corner of the rectangle that indicates the class.

The conceptual framework GeoFrame differs from the other conceptual models with regard to types of geographical data when it searches a total compatibility with the UML language. The UML-GeoFrame schemes can be constructed with CASE tools able to present pictogram-shaped stereotypes.

![Figure 2: The GeoFrame's stereotypes](image)

So that the GeoFrame may also be used to describe the dynamic aspects of a GDB it is necessary to have an incorporation of resources, as described below:

- To offer a catalog with geoprocessing operations, in such a way that they may be used in processes specification;
- Ability to express in a class diagram the associations amid both original and derivative classes that result from geographic analysis processes;
- To offer a methodology that allows a specification of the geographic analysis processes, with usage of other UML resources not explored yet, like the behaviour diagrams or the processes expression in the classes diagram.

### 3 CLASSIFICATIONS OF GEOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OPERATIONS

The spatial nature of geographical data allows that geometric operations and topologic functions be applied to them. The way such operations must be arranged in groups is still a point at issue in the geoinformation field. Due to a diversification of concepts and nomenclatures on the geographic analysis operations, the designer who wishes to make clear the usage of these operations, while they are still in the conceptual period, will be facing knotty problems.

The basic set of operations has been formed from the classification developed by Albrecht (Albrecht, 1996), whereby concepts developed by other authors were also aggregated (Aronoff, 1989), (Câmara, 2000), (Chrisman, 1997), (Davis, 1999), (Open GIS Consortium, 2001), (Tomlin, 1991) leading it to suppression and addition of operations to that classification. For every operation the possible entry parameters and types of results have been determined according to the GeoFrame expected representations. We have opted to use specializations for the data types, whenever possible, so that when any field or object representation is applicable, it is possible to make use of GeographicObject and GeographicField classes. Only if any spatial representation is applicable the GeographicPhenomenon general class is used. The following list presents a synthetic description of the operations defined in the GeoFrame catalog (Ruschel, 2003):

- **Selection**: or "Non-Spatial Selection", it restrains the set of GeographicPhenomenon instances, on which it is applied, for instances that fulfill the selection attribute.
- **Spatial Selection**: it restrains the set of GeographicObject instances, on which it is applied, for the instances that fulfill a spatial predicate related to a GeographicObject of reference.
- **Region Selection**: similar to the Spatial Selection, it uses a settled spatial predicate, the “inside” topologic restraint, applicable to any GeographicPhenomenon. The region is determined by one and only Polygon instance or by GeographicField.
- **Classification or Algebra**: it only handles with those values associated to the GeographicPhenomenon. This definition includes the majority of Tomlin’s map algebra operations (Tomlin, 1991).
- **Buffer**: it establishes a region founded in the distances related to a GeographicPhenomenon of reference.
- **Overlay**: is a boolean or mathematic operation applied in a pair of GeographicPhenomenon. When only GeographicObject instances are involved, a geometric processing is carried out and the result presents new instances including the attribute set of the original instances.
- **Voronoi Diagram**: construction of a Tessellation, according to a set of points, so that each polygon may contain the points of the plan closer to a specific place, instead of any other place.
- **Slope**: applicable from an instance of GeographicField of continuous distribution, with values that may be discretized in plans.
- **Viewshed**: it classifies a GeographicField as "visible" or "non-visible", according to a spot or region defined at a determined elevation over the ground.
- **Spread**: it is applied both to a net topologic structure and a GeographicField instance. It takes into consideration the existence of a starting point and values, generically called a cost, in its structure, having as a result paths of minor cost.
- **Transform**: calculation of the coordinates amounts of any GeographicPhenomenon for a system of cartographic projection different from the original.
- **Distance**: it returns the distance between two GeographicObject instances.
- **Centroid**: attainment of a point in a secure way within a polygon, useful for generation of topology in vectorial GIS.
- **Dissolve**: when the spatial relationship of two instances of a GeographicObject class is of vicinity and both possess the same value for a determined attribute, they are aggregated into one only instance.
- **Interpolation**: according to Geographic Phenomenon instances, an interpolation method is applied (ex: polynomial regression, Fourier, Kriging) to get another set of data as a result, which inclusively may have another representation format. Some methods may require additional numeric parameters.

### 4 SPECIFICATIONS OF GEOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS PROCESSES

According to Booch (Booch, 1999), the UML (Unified Modeling Language) is a language for specification, mainly for complex systems of software. However, it is also enough expressive to model systems that are not software.

To model geographic analysis processes, we have opted for the adaptation of RUP (Rational Unified Process) methodology, for development of software using the UML. The simplified method described in (Quatrani, 1997) has been utilized.

Instead of starting the acquirement of necessary data directly from the class diagram, RUP suggests that such acquirement be started through the use case diagram. Our attempt is to find out “what” the system must do. In this diagram the actors, the use cases and the relationships among the use cases are identified.

This methodology will be presented in the sequence together with an example in the basic sanitation field. In our example, we attempt to determine the water pressure surface in a supplying system portioned with several reservoirs.

![Figure 3: Initial use case diagram](image)

The example presented in the Figure 3 shows an use case diagram, where the use case “Generate Pressure Surface”, requested by an user of the Water Supply Department, includes the use cases “Generate Terrain Surface” and “Generate Piezometric Surface”. It means that the water pressure depends on the height values of the natural terrain and on the height of the piezometric line in every spot.

At this point of modeling, preliminary activity diagrams may be created to show the flow through use cases, or inside a particular use case.

![Figure 4: Example of preliminary activity diagram](image)
The example presented in the Figure 4 furnishes a preliminary identification of objects to be used and the actions to be taken. To generate the terrain surface, a set of contours is required. To generate the piezometric surface, one needs the water system limits and the reservoir responsible of the water supply for that system. A head loss function is also required, obtained from the pipes properties. The difference calculated of these two surfaces generates the water pressure surface.

For the next phases of modeling the semantics introduced in version 2.0 of UML (OMG, 2004) is used. The modeling element Activity, specialization of Class in the UML metamodel is defined as a specification of parameterized behavior. Therefore, an Activity may be represented in the class diagram or have its behavior detailed in the activity diagram.

By applying this semantics to proposal of GeoFrame extension, after it has been identified, a Geographic Analysis Process (GP) must be modeled as an UML Activity class and expressed in the class diagram. This class possesses associations with classes of the user model that furnish entrance parameters and as a result of its instantiation, some instances of geographic classes or not. In the GeoFrame such kind of classes may be plainly called Process.

The class diagram in the Figure 5 formalizes the list of all elements that have been identified. The objects that appeared in the preliminary activity diagram now are arranged in classes. The GeoFrame pictograms indicate the spatial representation of each class, with exception of the pictogram that shows a gear, thus indicating that the class belongs to the Process type. So, the classes WaterSupplySystem, Reservoir and Terrain supply instances to the process CalculatePressureSurface.

As an exit of this process, new instances of the WaterPressure class are created.

The details on behavior of the classes inserted as Process in the user model appear through the refinement of the activity diagram. At this level of details the operations of geographic analysis should already be evident. In the UML the behavior of an Activity is characterized as a sequence of subordinated units where each individual element is an Action.

![Figure 5: Class diagram incorporating a class of Process type](image)

Just like a GP defined by the user, the GeoFrame catalog operations should be modeled as activities invoked in an activity diagram through an action of the kind of "CallBehaviorAction". Taking into consideration that such operations are already implemented in the GIS software to be used for the GP execution, there is no need to have them detailed. Other actions, like the "Read/Write Action" and "ComputationalAction" will be necessary to complete the diagram. An Action is represented as a round square in this diagram.

In the example of Figure 6 the operations Spatial Selection, Buffer, Classification, Overlay and Interpolation have been used.

![Figure 6: Activity diagram of a Process class](image)
Besides these operations, in this activity diagram it is possible to highlight the application of the following modeling elements (OMG, 2004):

- **Object node**: represented with a rectangle, it holds all kinds of data that is involved in the process.
- **Data flow and pins**: the pins (little squares) at the extremities of the arches represent a temporary object that flows between actions.
- **Expansion region**: it is represented as an activity in a broken line and is executed as many times as is the number of elements of an entrance collection.
- **Expansion node**: it is situated at the edge of the expansion region. The entrance node maintains an element separated from the collection during each execution of the region. The exit node accepts one element of every execution of the region, making available a collection in case of a complete execution of the region.

To avoid any harm to GeoFrame framework original structure, necessary adaptations to make modeling possible have been developed in a new package called PGeoFrame-A, which also imports the PGeoFrame package.

This class is associated with classes that provide entrance parameters, the same way they can provoke the creation of new instances of `NonGeographicObject` and `GeographicPhenomenon`.

### 5 RELATED AND FUTURE WORKS

A series of projects is under development in parallel, like:

- **GisCase**: the GisCase project implements a CASE tool, in free software, that allows modeling of GP and, with use of components of libraries that can execute geographic analysis operations, code generation. When this code is compiled and executed, it access a GDB where the input data are read and the generated data are stored. The initial implementation is being built with the Poseidon for UML Community Edition CASE tool (Gentleware, 2004) and the TerraLib library (Câmara, 2004), generating code in XML and C++. However, the architecture of this tool supports implementation with other CASE tools and libraries;

- **InterSIG**: the InterSIG project intends to complement and extend the GeoFrame-T framework to modeling of spatial-temporal GDB and documentation of analysis patterns for GDB, as a base for construction of a geographic catalog system;

- **ArgoCASEGeo**: is also a CASE tool under development which the main propose is to explore how an Analysis Patterns Catalog can improve the GIS users’ productivity and the GDB quality (Lisboa, 2004). This tool transforms conceptual data schemas into logical implementation of ArcView GIS, Geomedia GIS and TerraLib library.

Taken as possible future works related to geographic analysis processes, one can mention integration of PGeoFrame-A and PGeoFrame-T packages; examination of applicability of other resources introduced by version 2.0 of UML and not utilized in this work; and the organization of catalogs of GP, in a format analogous to the GeoFrame analysis patterns.

### 6 CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented a method to specify geographic analysis processes compatible with the UML language, so that the user can be able to develop the model diagrams in a compatible CASE...
tool. With these tools it is possible to not only construct the GBD schema but even generate executable code.

This method is based upon a conceptual framework, the GeoFrame, which offers resources that simplifies the usage of UML in the elaboration of GDB conceptual schemas. The proposed extension follows the same line, except that now it simplifies the usage of UML at the specification of geographic analysis processes.

The solution presented as a whole has been incorporated to the PGeoFrame-A package, which imports the contents from the original framework, the PGeoFrame package. In a synthetic way, the user of this framework who may choose to use the PGeoFrame-A will find semantics that supports the expression of geographic analysis processes, together with a catalog of expandable operations.

Besides that, with utilization of GeoFrame it is possible to develop a catalog of analysis patterns that will progress along the time it is used. In a similar way, our intent is to offer together with the GeoFrame extension for specification of GP a basic catalog for operations. This catalog has to be independent of software and be able to be enlarged by the user, according to the requirements of the GP that may be implemented on the GDB.
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