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Abstract: In this paper, a system that is designed and implemented for automatic interpretation of some patterns in satellite images is described. Some natural patterns such as land, island, water body, river, fire in remote-sensed images are extracted and summarised in linguistic terms using fuzzy sets. A new graphical tool (Multimedia University’s RSIMANA-Remote-Sensing Image Analyser) developed for image analysis, which is part of the system is also described in this paper.

1 INTRODUCTION

Knowledge discovery and data mining systems draw upon methods and techniques from the field of pattern recognition, as well as related topics in database systems, artificial intelligence, machine learning, statistics, and expert systems, where the unifying goal is extracting knowledge from large volumes of data (Friedman, Kandel, 1999). Pattern recognition can be considered as a form of data mining because both concentrate on the extraction of information or relationships from data (Kennedy et al., 1997). Many pattern classification techniques have been proposed in literature. These include neural nets, genetic algorithms (GA), Bayesian methods, statistical methods, decision tables, decision trees etc. A multimedia database system (Thuraisingham, 2001) is an example of a heterogeneous database system because it manages heterogeneous data types such as audio, images, video etc. Such data is typically unstructured in format. In the past, many techniques for representing, storing, indexing and retrieving multimedia data have been proposed. However, the area of multimedia mining has seen few results (Zaine et al., 1998a), (Zaine et al., 1998b). This is mainly due to the fact that multimedia data is not as structured as relational data (Zaine et al., 1998b).

There is also the issue of diverse multimedia types such as images, sound, video etc. While one method of data mining may find success with one type of multimedia such as images, the same method may not be well suited to many other types of multimedia due to varying structure and content. In (Zaine et al., 1998a), (Zaine et al., 1998b), the objective is to mine internet-based image and video. The results generated could be a set of characteristic features based on a topic (keyword), a set of association rules which associate data items, a set of comparison characteristics that contrast different sets of data, or classification of data using keywords. Most of the other related studies are confined to the data-filtering step of the knowledge discovery process as defined by (Shapiro et al., 1996). (Czyzewski, 1996) shows how KDD methods could be used to analyse audio data and remove noise from old recordings. In (Chien et al., 1997), knowledge-based AI techniques are used to assist image processing in a large image database generated from the Galileo mission. In (Bhandari et al., 1997), the authors combine a data mining application with multimedia resources. They use video clips to support the knowledge discovered from a numerical database. (Blaschke et al., 2000) describe some possible object-oriented segmentation techniques in an integrated GIS/remote-sensing environment. From another perspective, (Barnard et
(Barna et al., 2003a), (Barna et al., 2003b) describe the approach involved in matching images to text. Their work describes models used for automatic image annotation, browsing support and auto-illustration of blocks of text. Such models are focussed on prediction of words (from an available pool) that match with specific image regions. This is a form of labelling and requires assistance from training data and manually annotated images.

This paper describes a system that classifies and automatically interprets natural patterns such as land, island, water body, river, fire in remote-sensed images and utilises fuzzy logic (Nair, 2003), (Nair, Chai 2004), (Nair, 2004) to describe these patterns. Some feature descriptors such as area, length etc., of such patterns are extracted and stored in a relational database. Data mining techniques that employ clustering and genetic algorithms are then used to develop the most suitable linguistic summary of each pattern/object stored in the database. This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the system architecture, section 3 describes the approach, section 4 discusses the implementation issues, and section 5 discusses the conclusions and future work.

2 SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The system architecture is shown in Figure 1. The input image is analysed using the graphical tool developed as part of this research (RSIMANA) and some feature descriptors extracted. These descriptors are stored thereafter in a relational table in the database. The knowledge base uses geographic facts to define feature descriptors using fuzzy sets. It interacts with a built-in library of linguistic labels, which also interacts with the summariser as it supplies the necessary labels to it. The summariser receives input from these components and performs a comparison between actual feature descriptors of the image patterns stored in the database with the feature definitions stored in the knowledge base. After this comparison, the summariser uses the linguistic labels supplied by the library to formulate some possible summaries for each pattern/object in the database. From among these summaries, the most suitable one describing each pattern is selected by interaction with the engine (genetic algorithm).

RSIMANA is developed in Java®. Some of the classes and algorithms developed as part of this tool are described next. Euclidean distance measure is used to calculate feature descriptors such as length and perimeter. Area is calculated by means of a pixel-counting algorithm. A morphology algorithm is included to implement erosion of the binary-thresholded image. Erosion can be used to locate the centroid of an object/pattern, which is recorded for use with the remainder of the system. The tool includes a histogram feature to construct histogram for a selected region of interest. Additional user-friendly features are implemented such as zoom and also scale to convert pixel area, length, and perimeter to appropriate units.

The tool also aims to identify or classify patterns such as river, land, island, other water body (excluding river), fire in remote-sensed images based on the attributes of their envelopes in the image. Some of the attributes being considered are grey level values, colour density slicing, histogram distribution etc. This research focuses on analysing multi-band (RGB) satellite images. The tool implements only unsupervised pattern classification at this stage. The following set of rules is developed to perform pattern classification in multi-band satellite images.

1. If a pattern/object is to be classified as an island, it should have a water envelope surrounding it such that it has a uniform band ratio at at least eight points on this envelope (corresponding to directions E, W, N, S, NE, NW, SE, SW). Also grey level values on the envelope could be lower than the grey level values on the object.
2. If an object does not have an envelope in all directions as described in rule (1) above, then it is classified as land.
3. If an object is to be classified as water body (expanse of water, river), it is necessary that it should have a uniform band ratio.

4. Fire is classified as a separate pattern. It is identified by applying colour density slicing to the image and by viewing the histogram of the affected area. The histogram would show a majority of pixels at lower intensity for the burnt scar area near the fire.

This tool can analyse images in standard formats such as tif, jpg, bmp, gif, png and generate the recorded feature descriptors in delimited ASCII text format.

3 APPROACH

Area, length and location (X, Y pixel co-ordinates of centroid of pattern in image), and Additional Information or Pattern Id, are the attributes of the patterns/objects that are used to develop their linguistic summaries. Area, length and location are extracted automatically by RSIMANA. Additional information contains the pattern’s id, which is obtained by using the classification rules described in the earlier section. The linguistic summary of patterns/objects is evaluated as follows.

\[
\text{If} \quad Y = y_1, y_2, ..., y_p \\
\text{then} \quad \text{truth}(y_j;\text{is}F) = \mu_F(y_j) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, ..., p.
\]

where \( \mu_F(y_j) \) is the degree of membership of \( y_j \) in the fuzzy set \( F \) and \( 0 \leq \mu_F(y_j) \leq 1 \). The linguistic proposition \( y_j \) is \( F \) could be instantiated as for example, \( \text{Island is small} \).

Thus referring to equations (1) and (2), \( y_j \) could be \( \text{island or area of land or expanse of water or river} \). For each object \( y_j \), the degree of membership of its feature descriptor such as area or length in corresponding fuzzy sets is calculated. An example of a typical linguistic summary for land generated by the system in this paper would be:

\[ A \text{ fairly large area of land at the centre of the image.} \]

In order to generate such summaries, it is necessary to formulate fuzzy sets that quantify area/length attributes of the object/pattern. Trapezoidal fuzzy sets formulated for area are \( \text{large, fairly large, moderately large, and small} \) and fuzzy sets for length are \( \text{long, relatively long, fairly long and short} \).

The linguistic description is calculated as follows:

\[
T_j = m_{1j} \land m_{2j} \land ... m_{nj},
\]

where \( m_{ij} \) is the matching degree (Kacprzyk, Ziolkowski, 1986) of the \( i \text{th} \) attribute in the \( j \text{th} \) tuple. \( m_{ij} \in [0, 1] \) is a measure of degree of membership of the \( i \text{th} \) attribute value in a fuzzy set denoted by a fuzzy label. The logical AND (\( \land \)) of matching degrees is calculated as the minimum of the matching degrees (Kacprzyk, Ziolkowski, 1986).

\[
T = \sum_{j=1}^{k} T_j \forall m_{ij} \neq 0
\]

\( T \) in equation (4) is a numeric value that represents the truth of a possible set of summaries of the \( k \) objects in the database. The next section discusses how the GA evolves the most suitable linguistic summary for all the objects by maximising \( T \).

4 IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

This section explains the genetic algorithm approach and then discusses the results from applying this approach to analysing images.

4.1 GA Approach

A genetic algorithm emulates biological evolutionary theories as it attempts to solve optimisation problems (Filho et al., 1994), (Goodman, 1996), (Smith et al., 1994). Each binary chromosome string in a population represents a possible linguistic summary for a pattern. Such a population of strings is manipulated by selection, cross-over and mutation operators in the GA (Filho et al., 1994) such that as the GA evolves through several generations, only those strings with highest fitness survive. The evaluation or fitness function for the linguistic summaries or descriptions of all objects in the table is

\[
f = \text{max}(T),
\]

where \( T \) is evaluated as shown in the previous section and \( f \) is the maximum fitness value of a particular set of linguistic summaries that has evolved over several generations of the GA.

4.2 Results and discussion

In general, image objects/patterns are classified at the highest level into land, water or fire. Land is further classified into island and other land. Water is
further classified into river and other water body. The fuzzy sets that quantify area or length are defined with reference to geographic facts such as:

- Largest continent is Asia with area of 44579000 km$^2$
- Largest freshwater lake is Lake Superior with area of 82103 km$^2$
- Smallest continent is Australia/Oceania with area of 7687000 km$^2$
- Longest river is the Nile with length 6669 km

A total of 29 fuzzy sets have been formulated in this research. Formulation of these fuzzy sets is based on the universal geographic facts given earlier. Only some of the trapezoidal fuzzy sets formulated are shown here due to space limitation. The fuzzy sets for large expanse of water, fairly large expanse of water and small expanse of water are formulated as shown in equation (6), (7), and (8).

$$
\mu_{\text{large expanse of water}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{for } 82103 \leq x \\
\frac{x}{2203} - 36.27, & \text{for } 79900 \leq x < 82103 \\
0, & \text{for } x < 79900 
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\mu_{\text{fairly large expanse of water}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{for } 100 \leq x < 900 \\
1-(\frac{x-900}{100}), & \text{for } 900 \leq x \leq 1000 \\
0, & \text{for } x < 9 \\
0, & \text{for } x > 1000 
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\mu_{\text{small expanse of water}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 100 \\
-x/900 + 1.11, & \text{for } 100 < x \leq 1000 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

An example SPOT Multi-spectral satellite image to be analysed is shown in Figure 2. Table 1 shows the data collected from the image to perform k-means clustering (Mather, 1999) in order to cluster the pixels in the image. The feature vector used consists of X, Y, R, G, B values. Table 2 shows a small sample data set of feature descriptors calculated/collected from the patterns in the image using RSIMANA. The R band grey level at centroid location of pattern is shown in the table, and this band shows all patterns clearly. Area of each pattern is in sq km. Length is in km. Pattern id attribute denotes numbers as follows: 0=River, 1=Water Body, 2=Island, 3=Land, 4=Fire. Location is indicated by X, Y pixel co-ordinates of centroid of pattern/object. The additional information or pattern id attribute of each object in Table 2 is calculated automatically using the classification rules in Section 2, which hold for multi-band images. For land, island, and water body (expanse of water), area is the most significant parameter in calculations and therefore their length is ignored. A river’s length is its most significant parameter in calculations and therefore its area is ignored. This analysis uses only unsupervised classification algorithms. In order to extract more patterns such as different types of vegetation, supervised classification is necessary for which observational ground data is required for training. Such data could not be afforded in this research.

In Figure 2, the pattern or object that is selected as ROI is the water body at the lower left. The frame on the right shows the area and perimeter of the pattern in pixel units and other information about the pattern, which is collected/calculated by RSIMANA. Thus data is calculated and collected for all the patterns in the image in table 2.

The GA is run with following input parameter set. These parameter values are set after several trial runs.

- Number of bits in a chromosome string of the population = 10
- Generations per cycle = 27
- Population size = 200 strings
- Probability of cross-over = 0.53
- Probability of mutation = 0.001

After 216 generations, the linguistic summaries generated for the data in Table 2 are:

- A short river at the centre
- A small area of land at the top left
- A small area of land at the right
- A small expanse of water at the lower left

Figure 3 shows another sample SPOT Multi-spectral image, which is analysed by the system. The k-means clustering table is Table 3 and the data collected/calculated by RSIMANA is shown in table 4.
Table 1: Data collected from image in Figure 2 for clustering. The header of the table denotes data from left to right as follows: \(X_{\text{object}}, Y_{\text{object}}, X_{\text{envelope}}, Y_{\text{envelope}}, R_{\text{object}}, G_{\text{object}}, B_{\text{object}}, R_{\text{envelope}}, G_{\text{envelope}}, B_{\text{envelope}}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X_{\text{object}}</th>
<th>Y_{\text{object}}</th>
<th>X_{\text{envelope}}</th>
<th>Y_{\text{envelope}}</th>
<th>R_{\text{object}}</th>
<th>G_{\text{object}}</th>
<th>B_{\text{object}}</th>
<th>R_{\text{envelope}}</th>
<th>G_{\text{envelope}}</th>
<th>B_{\text{envelope}}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>2917</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>2535</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>2657</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>2165</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>2665</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>2621</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2647</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>2604</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>2603</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>2605</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>2966</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>2546</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2066</td>
<td>2904</td>
<td>2647</td>
<td>2605</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2067</td>
<td>2553</td>
<td>2707</td>
<td>2653</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2072</td>
<td>5655</td>
<td>2802</td>
<td>2968</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2066</td>
<td>2904</td>
<td>2872</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2575</td>
<td>2903</td>
<td>2912</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2061</td>
<td>2515</td>
<td>2943</td>
<td>2513</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2067</td>
<td>2544</td>
<td>2943</td>
<td>2513</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1721</td>
<td>2546</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1722</td>
<td>2525</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>2093</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1677</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>2890</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1630</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>2073</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1633</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2088</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1646</td>
<td>1674</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2084</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1660</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1727</td>
<td>1672</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2069</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The corresponding output linguistic summaries from the system are also shown.
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Figure 3: SPOT Multi-spectral image analysed by RSIMANA. Approximate scale of image 1: 0.0003764 sq km. Image acquired on March 6, 1998

Table 2: Data calculated and collected from image in Figure 2 using RSIMANA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R-band grey level</th>
<th>Approximate Area in sq km</th>
<th>Approximate Length in km</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Pattern Id</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Data collected from image in Figure 3 for clustering

For the data in Table 4 corresponding to image in Figure 3, the GA is run with following parameter set. These parameter values are set after several trial runs.

- Number of bits in a chromosome string of the population = 10
- Generations per cycle = 26
- Population size = 200 strings
- Probability of cross-over = 0.53
- Probability of mutation = 0.001

Table 4: Data calculated and collected from image in Figure 3 using RSIMANA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R-band grey level</th>
<th>Approximate Area in sq km</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Pattern Id</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3300.84</td>
<td>1606</td>
<td>1457</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.683</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After 208 generations, the linguistic summaries generated are:
- A small area of land at the centre.
- A small expanse of water at the top right.

Figure 4 shows yet another sample SPOT Multi-spectral image of the same geographic area as in Figure 3 but acquired on a later date, which is analysed by the system. The k-means clustering table is Table 5 and the data collected/calculated by RSIMANA is shown in Table 6. The corresponding output linguistic summaries from the system are also shown.
Figure 4: SPOT Multi-spectral image analysed by RSIMANA. Approximate scale of image 1: 0.0003764 sq km. Image acquired on July 10, 2001

The GA is run with the following input parameter set. These parameter values are set after several trial runs.

- Number of bits in a chromosome string of the population = 10
- Generations per cycle = 27
- Population size = 200 strings
- Probability of cross-over = 0.53
- Probability of mutation = 0.001

After 216 generations, the linguistic summaries generated for the data in Table 6 are:

- Bluish white smoke indicating fire at the left
- A small expanse of water at the top right
- A small area of land at the centre

If the number of fuzzy labels and fuzzy sets are increased, then the number of possible summaries generated could also be increased. The GA can search for an optimal solution among these descriptions within a very short time.

The colour density sliced image corresponding to the fire and smoke region in Figure 4 is shown in Figure 5. It shows the smoke plume from the fire prominently. Figures 6 and 7 show the R-band histograms corresponding to the area in Figures 3 and 4 where fire is detected (image in Figure 4 acquired on a later date). It can be seen clearly from the histogram (Figure 7) that majority of the pixels are of lower intensity corresponding to the burnt scar near the fire, while this phenomenon is not indicated in the histogram of the image of the same area before the fire (Figure 6).

The summaries produced by this system have been verified to be correct using topographic maps of the areas in the images. The system thus generates the same summaries automatically as would be obtained by manual interpretation. In general, as RSIMANA is a user-interactive tool, it is limited by the accuracy of the user’s point and click action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R-band grey level</th>
<th>Approximate Area sq km</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Pattern Id</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>2874.38</td>
<td>1899</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.683</td>
<td>2506</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>1587</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the database. This method can be extended to an array of images of the same geographic area, taken over a period of several years, to describe many interesting and unusual patterns that emerge over time. Some directions for future work include:

1. Adding the provision to upload ground data in order to help classify more patterns such as vegetation using supervised classification techniques. Currently only unsupervised classification is used.

2. Adding enhancements to image analysis functions in RSIMANA.

3. As a future application, it would be possible to construct an index for an image database using the linguistic summaries developed here.

4. Adding more fuzzy sets and corresponding labels in knowledge base and library respectively to have a system that is richer and can generate a wider variety of linguistic summaries.

5. Adding a scripting feature that allows the user to program a sequence of image analysis instructions in RSIMANA in a user-friendly language.

6. Expanding the system to test application domains other than remote-sensing
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