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Abstract: We present a universal modular robot architecture. A robot consists of the following intelligent modules: central control unit (CCU), drive, actuators, a vision unit and sensor input unit. Software and hardware of the robot fit into this structure. We define generic interface protocols between these units. If the robot has to solve a new application and is equipped with a different drive, new actuators and different sensors, only the program for the new application has to be loaded into the CCU. The interfaces to the drive, the vision unit and the other sensors are plug-and-play interfaces. The only constraint for the CCU-program is the set of commands for the actuators.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the literature many approaches for software-modularity (Corder et al., 2002), hardware-modularity (Guilin and Chen, 2000) and framework projects exist.

Two particularly interesting framework projects are Player/Stage (Vaughan et al., 2003), which comes with a nice simulation environment, and the component based system Orca (Brooks et al., 2005) with special modularity features. While these systems are mainly focused in software modularity in our approach we provide methods for a smooth combination of software- and hardware-modularity.

1.1 Overview of the Architecture

As shown in figure 1 the hardware of the robot consists of five flexibly exchangeable parts or groups of parts. The mechanical structure provides an easy way of exchanging these hardware modules. The architecture comprises a central control unit, a drive, a vision unit and a sensor input unit and an actuator unit, which work as follows.

Central control unit (CCU): This unit contains a powerful embedded PC-board running Linux with all standard interfaces such as USB, AGP, firewire, ethernet, wlan, PC-card-slots and its own power supply built in a rugged cage with many slots and mountings. In order to exchange information with all the other components in a simple and uniform way, a CAN bus (Etschberger, 2002) acting as the information backbone completes this module. The high level intelligence utilizing machine learning techniques on the behaviour level of course is application dependent and at least parts of it have to be exchanged for new applications.

Vision unit: A VGA color CCD-camera is connected via firewire to a graphic processing unit which does the low level image processing. The high level image processing includes detection of objects, lines, structures as well as mapping, tracking and positioning of the robot. For this resource consuming task we spend a second embedded PC-board. The software interface between the vision unit and the CCU is the world model consisting of coordinates, shape, size and speed of all detected objects, which will be described in section 2. In subsection 2.1 we describe a concept which allows for an easy replacement of the vision unit by a different one, for example a stereo vision unit.

Drive: The drive of the robot drive must be easily exchangeable. Drive replacement is based on a fixed high level protocol for motion commands which have to be interpreted by the intelligent microcontroller of the drive unit.

Sensor input: Due to the flexible mounts at the cage
of the CCU and the drive, various secondary sensors can easily be attached on any side or on top of the robot. Depending on the particular task each sensor may communicate via the CAN bus either with the CCU, vision unit or even directly with the drive.

**Actuator(s):** Actuators have to be connected via the CAN-bus. A well defined and fixed set of (low-level) action commands to be sent from the CCU to the actuators is the basis for easy replacement of actuators.
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**2 SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE**

A reconfigurable robot hardware needs on the software side a flexible and modular architecture. To achieve this goal we need a middleware which bridges the gap between operating system and applications.

Our object oriented software architecture is based on linux and the ICE middleware (Henning and Spruiell, 2004). The Internet Communication Engine (ICE) gives us the possibility to develop autonomous software modules with different programming languages e.g Java, C++ and Python.

As shown in figure 1 the software architecture is divided into a vision unit with low- and high level processing, a world model and a central control unit (CCU) for planning the actions of the robot.

**2.1 Software Modularity**

Suppose we have configured the five units of the robot to solve a particular task. If we now want to reconfigure the system for a new, completely different task, we may for example need a different drive and a different actuator. Of course for a new task the software of the CCU has to be replaced by a new one.

For exchanging the drive, due to the application independent fixed interface between CCU and drive, we just attach any new drive (with its built in motor controller) to the robot with no software changes at all in the CCU or in the motor controller. Thus exchanging drives works in a plug-and-play manner.

The interface between CCU and the actuator(s) is more complex, because the commands to the actuators are application specific. This means that the CCU must send only commands which the actuator(s) can interpret. The CCU programmer of course has to know the actuator commands before programming any actions.

The interface between CCU and the vision unit seems to be even more complex because both units have to use the same structure of the world model. Thus, at least the mapping and tracking part of the vision unit has to be reprogrammed for every new CCU software. A solution for this problem is based on a generic vision unit which is able to detect objects with a wide range of different shapes and colours. When the CCU and the vision unit are connected, they start an initial dialogue in which the CCU sends a specification of the required world model structure to the vision unit. For example in a soccer application the CCU may send an object-description-list with items like

```
object("ball", moving,
  geometry(circle(20,25)),
  color([0.9,1],[0.7,0.8],[0,0.5]),
object("goal1", fixed,
  geometry(rectangle(200,100)),
  color([0,0.3],[0,0.3],[0.9,1]),
```

describing an object “ball” as a moving object with the shape of a circle, a diameter between 20 and 25 cm and orange colour. “goal1” is a fixed blue 200 × 100 cm rectangle. After this initialization, when the robot starts working, in each elementary perception-action-cycle the vision unit tries to detect objects of the types specified by the object-description-list and returns them together with their current position. For example in the simplest case the vision unit sends a list like

```
detected("ball", pos(2.47,11.93)),
detected("goal1", pos(5.12,3.70)),
```

to the CCU. This description may be more complex, including for example the size and color of the
detected objects. This protocol allows us to work with the same vision unit for a large class of different control units and the interface between CCU and vision unit becomes a plug-and-play interface.

With other sensors like infrared detectors which are simpler than a CCD-camera this interface may become simpler, but nevertheless it may use the same object description language.

2.2 The Vision Unit

The vision unit is an autonomous software module responsible for low level and high level image processing.

**Low Level Processing:** In this unit we use the power of a common Graphic Processing Unit (GPU) to extract information about the relevant objects from a raw picture. We developed a library which has implementations for standard image processing tasks like normalization of a picture which is useful for many mobile robot applications.

**High Level Processing:** Based on the data from the low level processing unit and the odometry the high level processing unit is responsible for more intelligent and complex tasks such as self localization and mapping using a particle filter (S. Thrun and Dellaert, 2001).

2.3 The World Model

The derived information from the high level vision processing unit is saved in a data structure called world model. The world model contains information about position, velocity and acceleration of the robot and detected obstacles. It forms the basis for decision-making in the central control unit.

2.4 The Central Control Unit

The central control unit (CCU) as shown in figure 1 provides the “intelligence” of the robot. It is structured in three levels of functions. The lowest level of **basic actions** outputs the control-commands for the drive or the actuators. The inputs for these basic actions are very simple. For example the call of the “move”-action of a robot as described in section 3.3 may be \( \text{move}(2.4, 35, 0) \), meaning, that the robot has to accelerate with \( 2.4\, \text{m/s}^2 \) in the relative direction \( 35^\circ \) with no rotation of its body, i.e. the alignment does not change.

The next level of **composite actions** aggregates a sequence of basic actions, which may form quite complex motion pattern. For example dribbling from position A to position B (while guiding the ball) is a composite action. In complex cases like this, programming an optimal motion sequence may become very hard, especially because we have no formal model of the motion sequence. Therefore machine learning techniques, such as reinforcement learning have to be used in order to optimize these composite actions.

At the top level, the **behaviour** of the agent has to be implemented. A wide variety of techniques from artificial intelligence can be applied, depending on the particular application. In many cases, supervised machine learning methods such as neural networks or decision trees may be applied if training data are available.

3 HARDWARE ARCHITECTURE

As shown in figure 2 the top level interconnection structure of the hardware modules is identical to that of the software modules described in section 2. To increase CPU performance and support modularity of the system the vision unit and the central control unit are distributed on two PC-boards.

3.1 Vision Unit

We use a color CCD camera to capture the pictures of the environment. The CPU sends the picture to the graphic processing unit (GPU) which executes the low level vision processing with a high degree of parallelism resulting in high performance. The high level
vision processing and mapping as described in section 2.2 is performed on the CPU of the vision board.

3.2 Central Control Unit

The CCU receives the world model data from the vision unit via ethernet and computes the actions of the robot e.g. motion and the activity of the actuators. The commands for the motion and the actuators are sent via a controller area network (CAN) controller to the different devices.

3.3 Drive

Robot drives are designed for special environments, e.g. for indoor activities like robot soccer or for outdoor activities like rescue applications. According to the specification of the environment a wide range of drives is available. If a robot should work in different environments the possibility to easily exchange the drive is essential.

One requirement to run a robot with an exchangeable drive is a well defined interface between the central control unit (CCU) and the drive. The second goal is to have all the motion control software on the drive unit to make the CCU and the drive unit independent.

We designed the interface such that the CCU asynchronously sends an array of three values to the drive. This array contains the desired acceleration value, the relative motion direction (relative to its current heading) and the new alignment of the robot relative to its current alignment. In some applications it may be sufficient to simply ignore the alignment angle. In others, however, the low level move-command in the CCU (section 2.4) has to be replaced.

To guarantee the required modularity (all low level movement control loops must be implemented in the drive) the drive must have its own CPU and an odometry system.

3.4 Actuators

The modular structure allows to add different actuators to the robot. To guarantee a maximum of flexibility an actuator-language with a fixed low level instruction set must be designed. This set must contain a number of universal instructions like grab, release, drop, hold, push, pull or kick.

4 CONCLUSION

We introduced a modularized flexible robot architecture. All hardware and software parts are exchangeable. To modify the robot for a special application drive, vision unit, sensors and actuators may be replaced by different (intelligent) devices. On the software side only the central control unit (CCU) has to be adjusted. However, there are limits to this flexibility. The long term experimental evaluation will show where these limits are. For the future we would like to develop hardware components that adapt to each other by means of machine learning techniques.
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