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Abstract: The adoption rate of Internet-based technologies by United Kingdom (UK) Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) is regularly surveyed by the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI). Over several decades information security has evolved from early work such as the Bell La Padula (BLP) model toward widely disseminated Information Security Guidelines containing comprehensive and detailed advice. The overwhelming volume and level-of-detail provided often fails to address the information security requirements of SMEs. SMEs typically fail to implement effective Internet strategies due to lack of information security awareness, lack of technical skills and inadequate financial resources. Awareness of information security issues among SMEs is poor. The European Union supported ISA-EUNET Consortium has developed a set of best practices to support SMEs. We present a sample mapping of the Computer Security Expert Assist Team (CSEAT) Information Security Review Areas onto the Alliance for Electronic Business (AEB) web security guidelines as an example of a possible roadmap approach for SMEs to gain information security awareness.

1 INTRODUCTION

The United Kingdom (UK) Department of Trade and Industry [DTI] conduct a series of International Benchmarking Studies to measure the UK’s progress towards the Information Age (“2001 International Benchmarking Study”, 2001). The study assesses the extent to which UK businesses are using Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) within their operations and compares UK usage with that of Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the Republic of Ireland, Sweden and the United States of America.

In the countries studied, the proportion of businesses with access to the Internet is greater than 90%, whilst in the UK it is around 94%. Over 80% of UK businesses now have a web site giving the UK and Sweden joint highest penetration rates. 1.9 million UK SMEs, defined as a business with less than 250 employees, are now online, well ahead of UK Government targets.

The recent growth in the number of businesses trading online has been among micro businesses (up to 10 employees), whilst in small and medium-sized bands it has fallen slightly and in the large band it remains unchanged. The (“2001 International Benchmarking Study”, 2001, p.4 ) defines a business as trading online “if it both 1) orders online or allows its customers to do so and 2) makes payments online or allows its customers to do so”.

Further research undertaken by the DTI as part of the study revealed that UK businesses, in common with their US and Canadian counterparts have expressed concerns about confidentiality and fraud. Despite these issues, there are high-levels of Internet access amongst SMEs.

Over several decades there has been considerable research and development effort put into information security. The United States (US) military funding for computer science has supported the development of advanced security policy models. There are a number of classic security models that describe various protection mechanisms. Anderson (2001) outlines several of the key security
policy models, including the Bell-LaPadula model (1973), the BIBA policy model, Biba (1975) and several multilateral security models. The Bell-LaPadula model enforces confidentiality in a multilevel secure system. Two key properties are enforced; no read up and no read down. The BIBA policy model enforces integrity and ignores confidentiality. Multilateral security models outlined by Anderson (2001) include compartmentation used by the intelligence community, the Chinese Wall model for preventing conflicts of interest in professional practice and the BMA model for information flows permitted by medical ethics.

These large-scale security policy models have been primarily of interest to the military, larger enterprises, members of the information security community and to security product vendors.

Over time, many information security standards and guidelines have been proposed and developed, for example, the “Common Criteria for Information Security Evaluation” (1999) is used as the basis of evaluation for security properties of IT products and systems. Using common criteria enables comparability between the results of independent security evaluations. This is achieved by providing a common set of requirements for the security functions of IT products and systems and their assurance mechanisms.

The evaluation process is used to establish a level of confidence that the product and system security functions and their assurance mechanisms will meet the security requirements. This helps system consumers determine whether the IT product or system is secure enough for the intended usage and to decide whether the implicit security risks in using it are tolerable.

The ISO/IEC 17799 “Information Technology - Code of Practice for information security management” (2000) provides recommendations on information security management to those who are responsible for initiating, implementing or maintaining security. It provides a common basis for developing organisational security standards and effective security management practice. Organisations are invited to select recommendations from the standard and use them in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

The code gives detailed recommendations and objectives on security policy (providing management direction and support for information security), organizational security (managing security within the organization), asset classification and control (maintaining appropriate protection of organizational assets), personnel security (reducing the risks of human error, theft, fraud or misuse of facilities), physical and environmental security (preventing unauthorized access, damage and interference to business premises and information), communications and operations management (ensuring the correct and secure operation of information processing facilities), access control (controlling access to information), system development and maintenance (ensuring that security is built into information systems), business continuity management (counteracting interruptions of business activities and protecting critical business processes from the effects of major failures and disasters) and compliance – (avoiding breaches of any criminal and civil law, statutory, regulatory or contractual).

Over many years security policy models have been introduced and gradually evolved into detailed and extensive information security standards, guidelines and other comprehensively documented forms of advice.

The maturity of a given organisation’s security engineering process can be assessed using the “Systems Security Engineering – Capability Maturity Model” (1999) (SSE-CMM). The volume of documentation and advice available may infer that standards play a key role in information security management.

However, Siponen (2002) raised several research questions and asserts that information security research in general, has focused on technical issues (such as access control mechanisms). Additionally, guidelines and maturity models, whilst comprehensive, tend to be too broad and too deep to be readily utilised by SMEs. SMEs are major users of IT yet struggle to adopt it successfully. In later research (2003) Siponen critically analyses some of the “normative” information security standards, including ISO/IEC 17799, “Generally Accepted Principles and Practices for Securing Information Technology Systems” (Swanson and Guttman, 1996), (frequently referred to as GASSP) and SSE-CMM to argue that they do not provide a “silver bullet”. The author comments for SMEs to use SSE-CMM “may be totally irrelevant and perhaps even detrimental”.

SMEs typically fail to implement effective Internet strategies due to lack of information security awareness, lack of technical skills and inadequate financial resources. Awareness of information security issues among SMEs is generally poor. The authors believe a systematically developed ‘roadmap’ will enable an SME to map their security requirements onto a practical and relevant set of security solutions.

In this paper we present a mapping of the Computer Security Expert Assist Team [CSEAT] “Automated Information Security Program Review Areas” (n.d.), onto the Alliance for Electronic

2 SME ICT AND INFORMATION SECURITY ISSUES

There are a number of challenges faced by SMEs with ICT. In a literature review-based study of the challenges faced by SMEs in their use of ICT Chesher and Skok (2000) indicated that organisations progress through evolutionary phases in their use of IT. These were identified as 1) inactive (with no current use of IT), 2) basic (using word processing and desktop packages), 3) substantial (with networked PCs and use of several applications) and 4) sophisticated (the integration of applications and exploitation of ICT to achieve service differentiation).

Chesher and Skok (2000) report that SMEs complain of being unable to fully utilise their ICT due to a lack of time and lack of resources. Some SMEs are making greater use of ICT and believe that they are allocating appropriate resources. Keeping up-to-date with ICT is not a high priority for many managers. When focussed, business oriented events are held that provide an opportunity to gain practical knowledge in the relevant business sector they are considered useful. Overall, ICT is not perceived as providing value for money. The costs of ICT frequently outweigh its benefits. ICT does not lead the business forward in areas such as innovation or research and development. ICT tends to be focussed on efficiency improvements. Financial applications are concerned with bookkeeping rather than financial management and long-term planning. The greatest potential gains in using ICT come from improving communications. Managers frequently seek external assistance to introduce and develop ICT with suppliers and IT consultants viewed as the main source of external advice. Government agencies or trade associations are not perceived as key sources of help.

Developing effective ICT strategies for SMEs is not simple, largely because SMEs are not a homogenous group. It is against the background of ICT adoption issues that the concerns of information security and SMEs can be considered.

In “small firms at risk from hackers” (Millar, n.d.) warns SMEs to wake up to the risks of Internet fraud and hackers. In a survey of 500 senior managers it is claimed that 61% of small businesses had no firewall in place, 76% of firms have some virus protection installed, 41% of senior management were not aware of their legal liabilities with regard to the Internet and their business, only 12% of SMEs were concerned that email was legally binding and only 10% were concerned about employee misuse.

3 EXISTING APPROACHES TO INFORMATION SECURITY

The rapid adoption of Internet-based ICT technologies has prompted organisations of all sizes to re-evaluate their approach to information security. European SMEs also face these challenges. Spinellis and Gritzalis (1999) describe the European Union supported ISA-EUNET integrated approach to computer security technology awareness, support, education and training aimed at disseminating security and safety know-how to SMEs.

The ISA-EUNET strategy relies on three main elements; 1) a TEChnical KNowledge Office (TEKNO), providing a kernel of scientific and technical competencies that share software best practices in security and safety applications; 2) regional partners that deal directly with target SMEs through support, training and tutoring and 3) a co-ordinator that oversees European events, maintains consortium consistency and enforces contractual conditions.

At the level of an individual small business Spinellis, Kokolakis and Gritzalis (1999) have proposed a risk, analysis methodology. The use of risk analysis techniques, risk management techniques and the role of third parties including vendors, service providers and government agencies are considered necessary to provide a secure baseline for small and home-based businesses.

For example, BT Ignite, a large UK-based vendor of digital certificates and trust services, has produced “Securing your Website for Business” (2001), a step-by-step guide for secure online commerce. The document describes the business benefits of online trading using digital certificates interspersed with outline details about how the featured technologies operate.

The UK DTI Information Security Policy Group aim to assist businesses to manage information security effectively and provide a number of entry point documents, on the DTI “Information Security website” (n.d.), including “Information Security Assurance Guidelines for the Commercial Sector” (n.d.). Based upon ISO/IEC 17799 and IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme (ITSEC) guidelines, this document considers security issues in terms of business organisation, product usage and the supply chain. “Protecting Business Information Understanding the Risks” (n.d.), outlines

The AEB has produced the “AEB web security guidelines” (2002). The guidelines provide a framework for developing and implementing security measures that affect web sites and e-business processes. The topics addressed by the guidelines cover asset classification and risk, risk assessment and management, security policy, security responsibility, personnel security, physical and environmental security, secure web site management, web site systems development, access control, encryption and authentication policies, legal compliance, business continuity management, and the test and review of security policy.

The National Institute of Standards and Technology [NIST] Computer Security Expert Team [CSEAT] has created a US federal agency self-assessment questionnaire based upon nine “Automated Information Security Program Review Areas” (n.d.), derived from the NIST Special Publication 800-26, “Security Self-Assessment Guide for Information Technology Systems” (Swanson, 2001). Each of the nine primary review areas has further sub-areas defined. Each review topic area contains critical elements, references and maturity levels. The review topic areas comprise of budget and resources, computer security management and culture, computer security plans, incident and emergency response, IT security controls, life cycle management, operational security controls, physical security and security awareness, training, and education.


CSEAT advises using all three documents to complete the questionnaire: the handbook for additional detail; principles and practices to provide a description of each security control; and the planning guide to form the basis of the question. To measure progress toward effective implementation of each security control, five levels of effectiveness are provided for each answer to each security control question. The levels are:

- Level 1 – control objectives documented in a security policy
- Level 2 – security controls documented as procedures
- Level 3 – procedures have been implemented
- Level 4 – procedures and security controls are tested and reviewed
- Level 5 – procedures and security controls are fully integrated into a comprehensive program

The CSEAT method for answering the questions is based upon an examination of the relevant documentation and a test of the controls. The Planning Guide comments that the first use of the checklist will involve considerable effort. However, a completed questionnaire can be used as a baseline for future annual rounds of assessment.

As the examples above illustrate, the various approaches described frequently overlap. They are often aimed at different sizes and types of organization, have differing levels of detail, and may be partial or proprietary in their scope and coverage.

From the viewpoint of an individual SME faced with commercial pressures and ICT challenges the authors believe that much of the information security advice available is more likely to confuse than to guide.

4 AN INFORMATION SECURITY AWARENESS ROADMAP

The CSEAT approach outlined above provides US federal agencies with a complete, referenced and auditable self-assessment solution for assessing the current state of information security maturity across the breadth of information security issues. In contrast, the AEB web guidelines described above provide useful management-level guidance for securing a web site.
It is suggested that the number of control areas and level-of-detail required for answering self-assessment questions using the CSEAT approach may deter SMEs from successfully completing a detailed security assessment. Documents such as the AEB web guidelines, whilst accessible and useful within their scope, may fail to cover all the control areas where an awareness of information security is required.

The authors believe that a combination of approaches may prove helpful to an SME. For example, combining elements of the CSEAT matrix approach with the Asset Classification and Control section of the AEB web security guidelines yields the results shown in table 1 above. Presenting an SME with such a questionnaire is not intended to be prescriptive, but it is anticipated that by answering the self-assessment questions an SME will be able to more easily determine their current state of information security awareness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEB Topic</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asset Classification and Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listing of Assets</td>
<td>Is there a policy that requires the listing of web site assets?</td>
<td>Are there procedures for listing web site assets?</td>
<td>Have web site assets been recorded?</td>
<td>Is there a periodic review to verify that web site assets are recorded?</td>
<td>Is recording of web site assets on a yearly basis standard business practice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What assets are owned by the web site, e.g. content and application data, web server?</td>
<td>Is there a policy that requires the listing of shared web site assets?</td>
<td>Are there procedures for listing shared web site assets?</td>
<td>Have shared web site assets been recorded?</td>
<td>Is there a periodic review to verify that shared web site assets are recorded?</td>
<td>Is recording of shared web site assets on a yearly basis standard business practice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What assets are shared by the web site, e.g. network infrastructure?</td>
<td>Is there a policy that requires the listing of dependent assets?</td>
<td>Are there procedures for listing dependent assets?</td>
<td>Have dependent assets been recorded?</td>
<td>Is there a periodic review to verify that dependent assets are recorded?</td>
<td>Is recording of dependent assets on a yearly basis standard business practice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any dependent assets, e.g. power supplies?</td>
<td>Is there a policy that requires the listing of third party assets?</td>
<td>Are there procedures for listing third party assets?</td>
<td>Have third party assets been recorded?</td>
<td>Is there a periodic review to verify that third party assets are recorded?</td>
<td>Is recording of third party assets on a yearly basis standard business practice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any assets owned by third parties, e.g. information, services?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is suggested that the number of control areas and level-of-detail required for answering self-assessment questions using the CSEAT approach may deter SMEs from successfully completing a detailed security assessment. Documents such as the AEB web guidelines, whilst accessible and useful within their scope, may fail to cover all the control areas where an awareness of information security is required.

The authors believe that a combination of approaches may prove helpful to an SME. For example, combining elements of the CSEAT matrix approach with the Asset Classification and Control section of the AEB web security guidelines yields the results shown in table 1 above. Presenting an SME with such a questionnaire is not intended to be prescriptive, but it is anticipated that by answering the self-assessment questions an SME will be able to more easily determine their current state of information security awareness.

## 5 Conclusions and Further Work

SMEs are frequently unaware of the real extent of the information security threats they face. They may lack information security awareness, necessary skills sets and resources for assessing their risks. They may also not be aware of available remedies. Government agencies, service providers and vendors can all contribute to increasing levels of awareness and protection against the risk of information security breeches.

SMEs have a problem in regard to information security awareness. At one extreme there are voluminous, high-ceremony guidelines and detailed best practice advice provided by information security organisations principally targeted at Government-related or larger institutional users. At the other extreme there are piecemeal, partial and vendor-centric documents aimed at general or specific issues and technologies.

The authors consider that only a small proportion of the available information security literature will prove relevant to the situation of an individual SME. The issue of matching generic
best practice and detailed guidance onto pragmatic information security advice relevant to the needs of a specific SME requires considerable further study. The authors have identified a number of areas for further work, including the identification and classification of security policy models and information security guidance considered relevant to the needs of SMEs. This may lead to the development of an SME focussed security program review possibly modelled on the CSEAT “Automated Information Security Program Review Areas” (n.d.) seeking to provide self-assessment in the key review areas.

A further literature review into SME ICT adoption and the particular problems faced in regard to information security may enable a comparison to be made between UK, European and US-based SMEs.

An investigation into the impact on SMEs of component-based software-frameworks (for example, Microsoft .NET) and Application Service Provider (ASP) solutions in regard to managed information security may prove informative. Ultimately, it is hoped to develop a detailed information security roadmap for a specific industry set of SMEs.
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